

Anxiety and depression levels compared between LGBTQ+ and non-LGBTQ+ individuals in  
higher education.

Keith Walker

20300501

Supervisor: Dr. Amanda Kracen

**BA (Hons) Psychology**

**Submitted to the National College of Ireland, March 2023**

## Submission of Thesis and Dissertation

National College of Ireland  
Research Students Declaration Form  
(Thesis/Author Declaration Form)

Name: Keith Walker

Student Number: 20300501

Degree for which thesis is submitted: BA (Hons) Psychology

Title of Thesis: Comparing Anxiety and Depression levels in LGBTQ+ individuals to non-LGBTQ+ Individuals in Higher Education.

Date: 13/03/2023

### Material submitted for award

A. I declare that this work submitted has been composed by myself.



B. I declare that all verbatim extracts contained in the thesis have been distinguished by quotation marks and the sources of information specifically acknowledged.



C. I agree to my thesis being deposited in the NCI Library online open access repository NORMA.



D. *Either* \*I declare that no material contained in the thesis has been used in any other submission for an academic award.

*Or* \*I declare that the following material contained in the thesis formed part of a submission for the award of

---

(State the award and the awarding body and list the material below)



### **Acknowledgements**

I would like to take this opportunity to express my sincerest gratitude to my parents, who have been a constant source of support throughout my academic journey. Their strong encouragement in me has been instrumental in my success.

I would also like to thank my girlfriend Emma for her constant love and support. She has been there for me throughout the ups and downs of this research journey, and her unwavering belief in me has been a constant source of strength.

I am also grateful to my thesis supervisor Dr. Amanda Kracen for her guidance and support throughout the research process. Her expertise and insights have been invaluable during this process.

### **Abstract**

**Aims:** The current study sought to assess levels of depression and anxiety in college students in Ireland. The study's focus was on determining whether there were higher levels of both depression and anxiety in LGBTQ+ college students compared to their non-LGBTQ+ peers.

**Method:** A survey was administered to participants ( $n = 180$ ) through the Microsoft forms platform. The survey consisted of questions from scales such as The Centre for

Epidemiologic Studies Depression, The Becks Anxiety Inventory, The Internalised Homophobia Scale, and The Rugged Resilience Measure, along with general demographic

questions. **Results:** Results indicate that those who identify as LGBTQ+ have higher levels of both depression and anxiety compared to their non-LGBTQ+ peers. However, those who did not identify as LGBTQ+ showed higher levels of resilience. **Conclusion** Findings from

this study provide a greater understanding of the general mental health of LGBTQ+ college students. Importantly, these findings further show that LGBTQ+ individuals face many challenges in day-to-day life leading to the development of higher levels of depression and anxiety.

**Table of Contents**

**Introduction.....5**

**Methods..... 11**

**Participants..... 11**

**Design ..... 11**

**Materials ..... 12**

**Procedure..... 14**

**Results ..... 16**

**Discussion..... 20**

**Practical Implications..... 23**

**Limitations and Future Research..... 24**

**Conclusion ..... 26**

**References ..... 27**

**Appendices..... 38**

## **Introduction**

It has been shown repeatedly that individuals who identify as part of the LGBTQ+ community have higher levels of anxiety and depression than non-LGBTQ+ individuals. This is due to a range of events that are commonly experienced by LGBTQ+ individuals (Cochran et al., 2007; Dhejne et al., 2016; Hatzenbuehler, 2009; Livingston et al., 2020). In fact, LGBTQ+ individuals are 1.5 times more likely to report symptoms of depression than non-LGBTQ+ individuals (King et al., 2008). This is similar in symptoms of anxiety with LGB women being 3 times more likely to report generalised anxiety and, LGB men being 4.7 times more likely to experience panic disorders (Cochran et al., 2003). Individuals who identify as lesbian, gay, and bisexual not only have higher levels of mental health issues they also have a higher chance of developing these problems compared to non-LGBTQ+ individuals (Clarke et al., 2010; Yi et al., 2017). Previous research gives us an insight into the possible causes of these elevated levels of anxiety and depression within the LGBTQ+ population, as well as common risks that are unique to LGBTQ+ individuals.

## **Minority stress**

The risks specific to the LGBTQ+ population have been identified and given the name “minority stressors.” Minority stressors refer to the unique events that occur in the life of LGBTQ+ individuals. The idea of minority stressors was proposed by Meyer (2003). This article explores the idea of a distal-proximal minority stress model. The model states that identifying with a minority population exposes an individual to higher chances of discrimination and harassment which then leads to additional stress. This additional stress is more internal for the individual (proximal stressors) and includes expectations of rejection, internalised homophobia, and concealment of identity. An individual’s proximal stressors stem from their external experiences of the world around them (distal stressors) (Meyer, 2003).

These external experiences include harassment, homophobia, and discrimination. Discrimination can lead to a knock-on effect of proximal stressors which impacts mental health (Eldahan et al., 2016; Mustanski et al., 2014; Rendina et al., 2018). It has been shown consistently within the literature that LGBTQ+ individuals are exposed to discrimination due to their sexual identity frequently, maybe even daily, throughout their lives (Hendricks & Testa, 2012; James et al., 2016; Livingston et al., 2016). These experiences of discrimination are linked with poor mental health states, such as higher levels of depression and anxiety, as well as increased health-risk behaviours (Hatzenbuehler et al., 2008; Lehavot & Simoni, 2011). It has also been suggested that individuals with high levels of anxiety are more likely to internalise discrimination (Mohr, 1999).

While discrimination in terms of the LGBTQ+ population is well explored, the proximal stressors such as identity concealment also play a significant role in anxiety and depression levels. More frequent concealment of identity leads to elevated levels of both depression and anxiety (Feinstein et al., 2022; Frost et al., 2007; Jackson & Mohr, 2016). There is also a higher risk for anxiety and depression with more frequent identity concealment (Pachankis et al., 2015). Identity concealment is a method by which LGBTQ+ individuals can keep themselves safe from threats, for example, harassment, discrimination, etc. (Legate et al., 2012). While concealment is used for protection the constant anticipation of distal stressors is seen to affect mental health (Pachankis, 2007). This evidence suggests that LGBTQ+ individuals who conceal their identity are at a higher risk for developing anxiety and/or depression.

Identity concealment may be caused by a fear of rejection. For example, it has been shown that LGBTQ+ individuals who have parents that are “rejecting” of their identity report higher levels of mental health issues (Puckett et al., 2015). The fact is, LGBTQ+ youth have to be careful who they share their identities with as they may create conflict within their

families upon sharing this information and this can have traumatic effects on the young person (McConnell et al., 2016). These negative experiences with family may set the individual up for elevated anxiety levels surrounding potential rejection, essentially creating a habit of expectation of rejection. It has been shown that if an individual has both high levels of anxiety around potential rejection and high expectations of rejection it leads to poor mental health outcomes (such as anxiety and depression) and poor connection with identity (London et al., 2012; Mendoza-Denton et al., 2002). The rejection LGBTQ+ youth face from peers and family members alike is shown to be associated with lower self-esteem, poor mental health, and higher levels of internalised homophobia (Herek et al., 2009a; Herek & Garnets 2007).

Internalised homophobia (IH) has been recognised through many research studies as having associations with poor mental health (Szymanski et al., 2008) specifically with depression and anxiety (Igartua et al., 2003; Rubino et al., 2018). IH has been defined as an individual taking in negative social beliefs about homosexuality as their own personal opinions (Meyer & Dean, 1998), and essentially believing these things about themselves. So, it can be assumed that IH is the most persistent of the minority stressors as it becomes part of the individual's own belief system. Overall, IH has been associated with many maladaptive psychological symptoms such as decreased self-esteem, increased suicidality, and elevated levels of depression (Herek et al., 1998; Meyer, 1995).

While minority stressors affect the LGBTQ+ population it does not affect all LGBTQ+ individuals in the same way. For example, bisexual individuals (as well as additional labels used for multi-gender attraction) are reported to be more likely to conceal their identities than gay and lesbian individuals (Balsam & Mohr, 2007; Mohr et al., 2017). This at large, is seen to be due to the fact that negative opinions of bisexual individuals and discrimination comes from both heterosexual and gay/lesbian populations (Feinstein & Dyar,



2017), suggesting possible increases in internalised homophobia for bisexual individuals.

There are stressors specific to bisexual/pansexual individuals. For example, bisexual/pansexual individuals are stereotyped in unique ways, such as the idea of unfaithfulness (Dyar et al., 2019). This discrimination towards bisexual/pansexual individuals that is enacted by both heterosexual individuals and other sexual minorities has been described as “double discrimination” (Bostwick & Hequembourg, 2014; Roberts et al., 2015). This double discrimination of bisexual/pansexual individuals has been shown to be associated with a sense of isolation and invisibility for this population (Rust, 2002). Due to these factors, bisexual individuals tend to have higher levels of anxiety and depression than both gay/lesbian and heterosexual individuals (Ross et al., 2018).

### **Depression and anxiety**

Minority stressors are quite disruptive to the daily lives of LGBTQ+ individuals and can often lead to poor mental health. This in combination with the literature that suggests college students, in general, have higher levels of depression and anxiety than the general population (Stallman, 2011) raises even more concern for LGBTQ+ students. Minority stress has also been seen to lead to social isolation and loneliness (Mereish & Poteat, 2015) which in turn can increase levels of depression and anxiety. Overall, LGBTQ+ students seem to be more susceptible to poor mental health and loneliness than their non-LGBTQ+ peers.

Loneliness, referring to lacking meaningful relationships (Mahon et al., 2006), is a negative effect caused by minority stressors. Loneliness has been consistently linked with poor mental health specifically depression (Hawkley & Cacioppo, 2010). It has also been shown that levels of loneliness are higher in LGBTQ+ individuals than in non-LGBTQ+ individuals (Yadegarfar et al., 2014), which may account for the elevated levels of anxiety and depression in the LGBTQ+ population. Loneliness as a result of depression often leads to more serious mental states such as isolation and suicidal thoughts. Depression, while being

very common in LGBTQ+ individuals, is also a major risk factor for suicide (Bostwick et al., 2010). This fact is reiterated when looking at the various research studies that report higher frequencies of suicidal behaviour among LGBTQ+ youth (Kann et al., 2011), with some studies showing that they are twice as likely to report suicide ideation and three times as likely to report an attempted suicide (Marshall et al., 2008). The results showing higher levels of suicidal behaviours in the LGBTQ+ population compared to the non-LGBTQ+ population suggest it is important to investigate the risks specific to LGBTQ+ individuals (such as minority stressors) (Puckett et al., 2016). These results also suggest a need to look at differences between the heterosexual and homosexual youth populations, specifically within an educational setting.

Poor mental health is increasing in third-level education with depression, anxiety, and stress all being common among third-level students (Cheung et al., 2020; Meckamalil et al., 2020). Mental health in education is cause for huge concern as poor mental health can have a significant effect on academic performance and even suicidality among students (Dyrbye et al., 2006; Stewart et al., 1999). A possible explanation for college students not completing their education is poor mental health (Ali et al., 2015). This suggests that the levels of anxiety and depression within an educational setting can be so debilitating that it inhibits students from dealing with the everyday stresses that college life may throw at them (Bassi et al., 2014; Bramness et al., 1991).

Similarly, to mental health, resilience has been studied in the context of college students. Resilience is the ability to overcome difficult situations, reducing the harmful effects of stress on health (Woodford et al., 2018). As well as developing the minority stress model, Meyer (2003) emphasises that through assets such as good coping mechanisms and resources like community connections, a buffering effect may occur for targeted individuals from the negative impacts of discrimination. Very little research has examined resilience

among both sexual and gender minority students. Woodford et al. (2018) suggest further research is needed in this area.

### **The Current Study**

While good mental health should be a goal for all students, it is important to recognise the lack of research done on depression and anxiety specifically in LGBTQ+ students compared to non-LGBTQ+ students, within third-level education. With the additional stress that the LGBTQ+ population is subjected to such as minority stressors, it is only logical to suggest there would be significant differences in terms of mental health, particularly anxiety and depression, between LGBTQ+ and non-LGBTQ+ students. While there is a rationale behind this argument, it is not well-documented in the literature.

The current study aims to determine whether rates of self-reported anxiety and depression differ between LGBTQ+ students and non-LGBTQ+ students in third-level education. The question this study aims to address is: “Do LGBTQ+ students have higher levels of depression and anxiety than their non-LGBTQ+ peers?” It is hypothesised that sexual orientation and gender identity will have a significant effect on self-reported levels of depression and anxiety.

The current study will also examine secondary research questions such as: whether bisexual/pansexual individuals have higher levels of anxiety and depression than both gay/lesbian individuals and heterosexual individuals. As well as whether bisexual/pansexual individuals have higher levels of internalised homophobia than gay/lesbian individuals. This study also aims to examine whether there is a difference in resilience levels between LGBTQ+ individuals and non-LGBTQ+ individuals.

The current study is addressing a gap in the literature, as to date, there is very little research that examines the differences in anxiety and depression levels between the LGBTQ+

population and the non-LGBTQ+ population within third-level education. This is similar to the case of resilience and highlights the importance of further research in these areas.

## Methods

### Participants

Participants were recruited using a convenience sampling technique as the study required responses from college students. As independent samples t-test were conducted, G-Power: Statistical Power Analyses (Faul et al., 2009) was used to determine the sample size needed for this study, with an accepted 5% margin of error, a power of 95% and an expected medium effect size of ( $r = 0.5$ ) of LGBTQ+ belongingness for depression and anxiety levels. The results indicated that a sample of 210 participants is required for a statistically powerful analysis. No incentives were used in recruiting participants.

The initial sample consisted of 210 individuals all over the age of 18. However, 30 individuals were excluded from the analysis as they were not currently enrolled in a college course in the Republic of Ireland. The final sample then consisted of 180 individuals (49 Men, 119 Women, 1 Trans-Man, 1 Trans-Woman, 8 Non-Binary, 2 Gender-Queer/Gender Non-Conforming) with a mean age of 21.38 ( $SD = 5.86$ ) ranging from 18 to 56 who were currently attending college in the Republic of Ireland.

### Design

The current study used a quantitative approach with a cross-sectional between-groups design. The study had two independent variables: gender, and sexual orientation. There were four dependent measures that were analysed separately: depression, anxiety, resilience, and internalised homophobia ratings provided by the participants. Participants identifying as LGBTQ+ took part in all sections of the survey. However, those who did not identify as LGBTQ+ did not take part in the Internalised Homophobia Scale.

**Materials**

The study questionnaire, which was comprised of demographic questions and four established scales, was developed using the Microsoft Forms platform.

***Demographics.***

Participants were asked to report their age, gender identity (man, women, trans-man, trans-women, non-binary, gender-queer, prefer to self-identify), sexual orientation (straight, gay, lesbian, asexual, bisexual, prefer to self-identify), college enrolment status, and LGBTQ+ community belongingness (See Appendix A). Participants were also asked to provide general information about their previous and current living conditions such as the country they were born in, the county in Ireland they were born in, and the county they currently live in.

***Centre for Epidemiologic Studies Depression***

The Centre for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D; Radloff, 1977) is a 20-item, 4-point Likert scale which measures a person's depressive symptoms from the past week (See Appendix B). An example of an item from this scale is as follows: *I enjoyed life*. To score this scale, Items 1-3, 5-7, 9-11, 13-15, and 17-20 are scored "0 - Rarely or none of the time", "1 - Some or a little of the time", "2 - Occasionally or a moderate amount of the time", "3 - Most or all of the time". Whereas items 4, 8, 12, and 16 are reverse scored "0 - Most or all of the time", "1 - Occasionally or a moderate amount of the time", "2 - Some or a little of the time", "3 - Rarely or none of the time". The score of this scale can range from 0 to 60. A score of 0-16 is considered low to mild depressive symptomatology, 16-23 moderate depressive symptomatology, and 24-60 severe depressive symptomatology. Scores 16 or greater reflect individuals at risk for clinical depression. The Cronbach's alpha for this scale is .92.

***Becks Anxiety Index***

The Becks Anxiety Index (BAI; Beck et al., 1988) is a 21-item, 4-point Likert scale. The BAI measures the frequency of common anxiety symptoms (See Appendix C). An example of an item from this scale is as follows: *In the past week I've felt Numbness or Tingling*. Questions are answered "0 - Not at all", "1 - Mildly it didn't bother me much", "2 - Moderately it wasn't pleasant at times", "3 - Severely - it bothered me a lot". The items are summed to obtain a total score that can range from 0-63. A score of 0-7 is considered minimal, 8-15 is mild, 16-25 is moderate and 26-63 is severe. The Cronbach's alpha for this scale is .97.

***Internalised Homophobia Scale***

The Internalised Homophobia Scale (IHP; Herek et al., 2009b) is a 5-point Likert scale ranging from "1 - strongly disagree" to "5 - strongly agree" (See Appendix D). Scores are measured by summing responses and dividing them by the total number of items. An example of an item from this scale is as follows: *I wish I weren't a part of the LGBTQ+ community*. The scale was edited slightly in order to make it more suitable for this study, specifically, the last question was removed as it did not fit the research question and overall hypothesis. Due to this removal, the scale will instead be a 4-point Likert scale in order to carry out the same measure of scores. The Cronbach's alpha for this scale is .81.

***Rugged Resilience Measure.***

The Rugged Resilience Measure (RRM; Jefferies et al., 2021), a 5-point Likert scale, was used to determine the resilience levels of participants (See Appendix E). Individuals were asked to read 10 statements and rated them on a 5-point Likert scale from "1 - Not at all" to "5 - A lot". An example of an item from this scale is as follows: *I believe in myself*. Scores ranged from 10-50. A score of 10-27 indicates low resilience, a score of 28-41

indicates moderate resilience and a score of 42-50 indicates high resilience. The Cronbach's alpha for this scale is .90.

### **Procedure**

The data for this study were collected through a survey using the Microsoft Forms platform. The survey was initially piloted on a sample of five individuals to ensure there were no issues and to determine the average length of the survey. The survey took an average of 8 minutes to complete, and no issues were detected within the pilot.

The survey was posted to various social media platforms including Instagram and Snapchat, along with a poster (see Appendix F) which contained information about the current study including eligibility criteria, as well as contact information and a QR code that linked the participants directly to the survey.

The first page of the survey contained the participation information sheet (see Appendix G) which detailed the nature and purpose of the study, as well as the eligibility criteria. Participants were informed that participation was voluntary, and that there would be no penalty to exiting the survey at any point before submission was permitted if they no longer wished to take part. Individuals were informed that as data is de-identified and there would be no way to withdraw data from the survey once submitted. Participants were also reminded that data from the survey may be held in accordance with the NCI data retention policy. The information form also contained the name of the researcher, institution, and supervisor, whom participants could contact if questions arise about their participation.

The second page of the survey contained the consent form (see Appendix H), which further outlined the nature of the study and reiterated that all data is anonymous and de-identified, reminding participants that once submitted data cannot be withdrawn. For participants to continue with the survey they were required to tick a box labelled yes, which indicated they give informed consent to voluntarily take part in the survey.

The third page of the survey asked the participant for demographic information such as age, gender identity, and sexual orientation. Within the demographic questions, participants were asked whether they attend a college course in the Republic of Ireland. The survey ended for those who answered no to this question, as they did not meet the eligibility criteria of the current study. Following this, the IHP, CES-D, BAI, and RRM were used to gather data.

After completion of the survey participants were met with a debriefing form (see Appendix I), which described the nature and aim of the current study while also thanking participants for taking part in the survey. Contact information was provided for both the researcher and supervisor if further questions or concerns arose while taking part in the survey. Additionally, the debriefing form listed numbers to several helplines to provide support to any participant who may have experienced psychological distress while partaking in the survey.

### **Ethical Considerations**

Ethical approval for the current study was granted by the National College of Ireland's Psychology Department's Ethics Committee. The study adhered to the ethical guidelines provided by the National College of Ireland and the Psychological Society of Ireland.

Consent was obtained from participants. The nature of the study was clearly outlined to participants through both the information sheet and consent form at the start of the survey. This ensured that all participants understood what would be involved in their participation. Within both of these forms, participants were informed of their right to withdraw consent at any point throughout the survey.

However, as the study aims to keep all participants anonymous, individuals were further informed prior to the commencement of the survey that all data provided would be de-



identified and that once data were submitted, there would be no way to withdraw from the study. As this study deals with some sensitive topics and may have caused psychological distress to participants, contact information for various helplines was provided in the debriefing form once the survey had been completed.

**Results**

Descriptive statistics for the variables Gender Identity, Sexual Orientation, LGBTQ+ Belongingness, Country Born, County Born, and County Live were run (see Table 1).

Table 1

*Descriptive Statistics for Gender Identity, Sexual Orientation, LGBTQ+ Belongingness, Country Born, County Born, and County Live.*

Variable	Frequency	Valid %
<b>Gender Identity</b>		
Man	49	27.2
Women	119	66.1
Trans-Man	1	0.6
Trans-Women	1	0.6
Non-Binary	8	4.4
Gender Queer / Gender Non-Conforming	2	1.1
<b>Sexual Orientation</b>		
Straight	93	51.7
Gay	8	4.4
Lesbian	13	7.2
Bisexual	49	27.2
Asexual	2	1.1
Pansexual	15	8.3

---

<b>LGBTQ+ Belongingness</b>		
LGBTQ+	85	47.2
Non-LGBTQ+	95	52.8
<b>Country Born</b>		
Ireland	156	86.7
Other	24	13.3
<b>County Born</b>		
Dublin	96	53.3
Cork	32	17.8
Louth	8	4.4
Offaly	5	2.8
Wicklow	5	2.8
Kildare	1	0.6
Galway	6	3.3
Meath	6	1.7
Outside Ireland	24	13.3
<b>County Live</b>		
Dublin	114	63.3
Cork	26	14.4
Louth	10	5.6
Offaly	11	6.1
Wicklow	11	6.1
Kildare	2	1.1
Galway	3	1.7
Meath	3	1.7

---

Descriptive statistics for the variables Total Depression, Total Anxiety, Total Internalised Homophobia, Total Resilience, and Age were run (see Table 2).

Table 2

*Descriptive statistics for Total Depression, Total Anxiety, Total Internalised Homophobia, Total Resilience, and Age.*

Variable	<i>M</i> [95% CI]	<i>SD</i>	Range
Total Depression	27.37	12.78	3-55
Total Anxiety	23.53	17.15	0-63
Total Internalised Homophobia	9.05	3.38	4-16
Total Resilience	32.88	8.08	14-50
Age	21.51	5.86	18-56

### **Inferential Statistics**

Preliminary analyses were performed to ensure no violation of the assumptions of normality, linearity, and homoscedasticity. As the assumptions of normality were violated for the variables Total Depression, Total Anxiety and Total Internalised Homophobia, three separate Mann-Whitney U tests were conducted. However, as there were no violations of the assumptions of normality, linearity, and homoscedasticity for Total Resilience, an independent samples t-test was performed.

A Mann-Whitney U Test was conducted to compare scores for Total Depression between LGBTQ+ and non-LGBTQ+ individuals. There was a significant difference in the scores between LGBT ( $Md = 34, n = 85$ ) and non-LGBTQ+ individuals ( $Md = 21, n = 95$ ),  $U = 6135, z = 348, p < .001$ , with a medium effect size ( $r = .45$ ).

A second Mann-Whitney U Test was conducted to compare scores for Total Anxiety between LGBTQ+ and non-LGBTQ+ individuals. There was a significant difference in the scores between LGBT ( $Md = 27, n = 85$ ) and non-LGBTQ+ individuals ( $Md = 14, n = 95$ ),  $U = 5778.50, z = 4.99, p < .001$ , with a medium effect size ( $r = .37$ ).

A third Mann-Whitney U Test was conducted to compare scores for Total Internalised Homophobia between gay/lesbian and bisexual/pansexual individuals. There was no significant difference in the scores between gay/lesbian ( $Md = 9, n = 21$ ) and bisexual/pansexual individuals ( $Md = 9, n = 62$ ),  $U = 641, z = -.11, p = .916$ .

An independent samples t-test was conducted to compare scores for Total Resilience between LGBTQ+ and non-LGBTQ+ individuals. There was a significant difference in the scores between LGBT ( $M = 31.39, SD = 7.73$ ) and non-LGBTQ+ individuals ( $M = 34.22, SD = 8.18$ ),  $t(178) = 2.38, p = .018$  two-tailed. The magnitude of differences in the means (mean difference = 2.83, 95% CI [.48, 5.18]) was large (Cohen's  $d = .98$ ).

A one-way between-groups ANOVA was conducted to determine if there were differences in depression scores determined by sexual orientation. Participants were divided into three groups according to their sexual orientation (Straight; Gay/Lesbian; and Bisexual/Pansexual). There was a statistically significant difference in levels of depression scored between the three groups,  $F(2, 175) = 19.65, p < .001$ . The effect size indicated a small difference in depression levels (eta squared = .18)

Post-hoc analyses using the Tukey HSD test indicated that the mean depression score for participants who identified as gay/lesbian ( $M = 34.38, SD = 12.37$ ) was significantly higher ( $p < .001$ ) than those who identified as straight ( $M = 22.00, SD = 11.97$ ). It was also indicated that the mean depression score for participants who identified as bisexual/pansexual ( $M = 32.13, SD = 10.30$ ) was significantly higher ( $p < .001$ ) than those who identified as straight. There was no statistically significant difference ( $p = .714$ ) between depression levels for participants who identified as bisexual/pansexual and who identified as gay/lesbian.

A second one-way between-groups ANOVA was conducted to determine if there were differences in anxiety scores determined by sexual orientation. Participants were divided into three groups according to their sexual orientation (Straight; Gay/Lesbian; and

Bisexual/Pansexual). There was a statistically significant difference in levels of anxiety scored between the three groups,  $F(2, 175) = 12.66, p < .001$ . The effect size indicated a small difference in anxiety levels ( $\eta^2 = .13$ )

Post-hoc analyses using the Tukey HSD test indicated that the mean anxiety score for participants who identified as gay/lesbian ( $M = 31.86, SD = 19.95$ ) was significantly higher ( $p < .001$ ) than those who identified as straight ( $M = 17.74, SD = 15.41$ ). It was also indicated that the mean anxiety score for participants who identified as bisexual/pansexual ( $M = 28.91, SD = 15.20$ ) was significantly higher ( $p < .001$ ) than those who identified as straight. There was no statistically significant difference ( $p = .714$ ) between anxiety levels for participants who identified as bisexual/pansexual and who identified as gay/lesbian.

A third one-way between-groups ANOVA was conducted to determine if there were differences in resilience scores determined by sexual orientation. Participants were divided into three groups according to their sexual orientation (Straight; Gay/Lesbian; and Bisexual/Pansexual). There was no statistically significant difference in levels of resilience scored between the three groups,  $F(2, 175) = 2.75, p = .067$ .

### **Discussion**

The objective of the current study was to examine the levels of depression and anxiety among both LGBTQ+ and non-LGBTQ+ college students. The current study aimed to determine whether individuals who identify themselves as LGBTQ+ have higher levels of depression and anxiety than their non-LGBTQ+ peers. As part of this study, levels of resilience and internalised homophobia were also analysed. The results showed that individuals who identified as LGBTQ+ had higher levels of depression and anxiety compared to their non-LGBTQ+ peers. Additionally, it was found that LGBTQ+ individuals had lower levels of resilience than their non-LGBTQ+ peers. However, there were no significant

differences in the levels of internalised homophobia between gay/lesbian or bisexual/pansexual individuals.

As expected, the results from the first and second Mann-Whitney U tests indicated that LGBTQ+ students had higher levels of both anxiety and depression compared to non-LGBTQ+ students. This supports the hypothesis of the current study, which predicted that those who identify as LGBTQ+ would experience higher levels of anxiety and depression than those who do not. This finding is also in line with prior research which looks at mental health disparities in LGBTQ+ individuals (Dhejne et al., 2016; Livingston et al., 2020). It is worth noting that the increased daily stressors faced by LGBTQ+ individuals, as outlined by Meyerhom (2003) in the minority stress model, may contribute to these heightened levels. This model is particularly relevant to understanding the mental health disparities experienced by LGBTQ+ individuals.

The findings of the current study are reiterated throughout the literature. For example, a study by Kerr et al., (2013) found many mental health disparities between lesbian/bisexual women and heterosexual women in undergraduate college courses, specifically with anxiety depression and suicide ideation/attempts. The study also found that lesbian/bisexual women engaged more with mental health services than heterosexual women. These findings, along with those in the current study, highlight the importance of mental health services tailored towards sexual minorities. Research also suggests that perceived social support from friends and family reduces emotional symptoms such as anxiety and depression in LGBTQ+ students (Chambi-Martínez et al., 2021). While this was not examined in the current study, further research on social support in combination with other factors is important to reduce anxiety and depression in LGBTQ+ individuals. Further research is also needed in identifying the exact factors which increase the risk of anxiety and depression in LGBTQ+ individuals.

The studies secondary research question of whether bisexual/pansexual individuals have higher levels of anxiety and depression than both heterosexual individuals and other sexual minorities was also addressed. It was found that bisexual/pansexual individuals have higher levels of anxiety and depression than heterosexual individuals, but there was no significant difference with gay/lesbian individuals. These results differ from the available research on this topic. This may be due to the large difference in the sample size between the aforementioned populations. Prior research describes the factors which contribute to these heightened levels of anxiety and depression such as double discrimination, and the heightened experience of violent victimisation compared to other sexual minorities. This is due to the discrimination coming from both heterosexual and gay/lesbian populations (Bostwick & Hequemboourg, 2014; Katz-Wise & Hyde, 2012). While the results from the current study do not correlate with previous findings, it is assumed to be due to sampling error. So, this suggests that bisexual/pansexual individuals face specific stressors which contribute significantly to increased anxiety and depression levels within these populations. Further research is required to identify these factors and address them.

Another secondary research question for the current study was whether individuals who identified as having multi-gender attraction (bisexual/pansexual) would have higher levels of internalised homophobia than those who identified as having a single-gender attraction to the same sex (gay/lesbian). The findings were not consistent with the current literature as no significant difference was found in IHP scores. This could be due to the low sample size in the gay/lesbian populations and the large difference in the number of participants between both groups. Despite this, the current study must reject the hypothesis that bisexual/pansexual individuals have higher levels of internalised homophobia than gay/lesbian individuals.

However, previous research suggests that bisexual/pansexual individuals have higher levels of internalised homophobia than gay/lesbian individuals (Chard et al., 2015). This could be in part due to the double discrimination that is faced by bisexual/pansexual individuals. The rejection from both heterosexual and other sexual minorities puts bisexual individuals at a unique disadvantage regarding mental health. It has also been shown that prejudice from heterosexual individuals towards bisexual individuals is higher than towards gay/lesbian individuals (Eliason, 1997). Even more recently, this prejudice towards bisexual individuals has been shown to remain consistent (Dodge et al., 2016). Further research in this area is needed to examine possible explanations for this prejudice.

The current study found that non-LGBTQ+ individuals had higher levels of resilience than LGBTQ+ individuals. There is little available research comparing resilience levels between LGBTQ+ and non-LGBTQ+ populations. However, Woodford et al., (2018) found that high levels of resilience is a protective factor that moderates the microaggression-suicide relationship within cis-gendered LGBTQ+ individuals. While further research is necessary to establish this relationship it could be suggested that high resilience levels may also moderate anxiety and depression levels in the same way. With this in mind, the results from the current study are in line with the literature as LGBTQ+ individuals had lower levels of resilience and higher levels of both anxiety and depression. This suggests that there is importance in improving resilience in LGBTQ+ student populations. Further research is required to examine these concepts further and identify whether resilience truly is a factor in LGBTQ+ individuals' levels of depression and anxiety.

### **Practical Implications**

The practical implications of this study are that it highlights the need for targeted interventions and support to improve the mental health outcomes of LGBTQ+ individuals, particularly those in third-level education. It is important for higher education institutes to



provide resources and support to meet the specific needs of LGBTQ+ students. Counselling services and mental health resources should be made easily accessible to all students.

Additionally, it is important for educators and administrators to receive training and education on how to support and advocate for LGBTQ+ students in order to create a safe and inclusive environment for all students.

The study also emphasises the importance of creating safe and inclusive environments within colleges and universities. Educational institutions should work to create an inclusive atmosphere, where students can feel comfortable and supported, regardless of their sexual orientation or gender identity. This includes the need for policies and practices that promote diversity and inclusion, such as the use of gender-neutral language, and the provision of gender-neutral bathrooms.

### **Limitations and Future Research**

While the current study addresses an important gap in the literature regarding the differences in anxiety and depression levels between LGBTQ+ students and non-LGBTQ+ students in third-level education, there are several limitations to consider.

Firstly, as the initial G\* Power analysis indicated, a sample of 210 participants was needed for statistical significance. However, the exclusion of 30 participants that did not meet the eligibility criteria resulted in a small sample size ( $N = 180$ ), which may have affected the statistical power of the study. Due to the low sample size there was a risk of a Type II error occurring resulting in no significant results being found. Despite this, five out of seven statistical analyses showed significant results. The low sample size may explain the non-normally distributed data. As a result, non-parametric tests were required meaning that the findings are not as accurate as they could have been with parametric tests.

Secondly, the study sample was recruited using a convenience sampling method. The use of convenience sampling may have limited the generalisability of the findings to other

countries or cultural contexts. The study only included participants from the Republic of Ireland, which may not be representative of the experiences of LGBTQ+ students in other countries. Additionally, the use of convenience sampling may have resulted in a non-random sample that may not accurately represent the larger population of LGBTQ+ students in third-level education. Therefore, it is important to acknowledge the limitations of the study and consider the potential impact of the sampling technique on the interpretation of the findings.

Thirdly, the current study's sample was comprised of 8 gay and 13 lesbian individuals, in comparison to 49 bisexual and 15 pansexual individuals. The difference in sample size among these groups may have contributed to the absence of differences in the levels of internalised homophobia between them. Contrary to the current study's findings, previous literature suggests that bisexual and pansexual individuals typically score higher on internalised homophobia measures than gay and lesbian individuals (Chard et al., 2015).

Lastly, the study relied on self-report measures to assess anxiety, depression, internalised homophobia, and resilience, which may be subject to biases or social desirability effects. Participants may have under or over-reported their mental health levels resulting in inaccurate data. Furthermore, the study did not take into account other potential factors that may contribute to anxiety and depression levels, such as previous mental health history or socioeconomic status, which may have affected the reported levels.

While the current study provides valuable insights into the mental health of LGBTQ+ students in third-level education, the limitations mentioned above highlight the need for further research to address these limitations and provide a more comprehensive understanding of the topic. Future research should aim to increase the sample size, use a more representative sampling method, and account for potential confounding factors to increase the reliability and generalisability of the findings.

## **Conclusion**

The current study expands on the understanding of mental health in LGBTQ+ populations. Specifically, it examines the prevalence of depression and anxiety in both LGBTQ+ and non-LGBTQ+ college students while comparing these conditions between the two groups. The study provides support to previous literature by confirming that LGBTQ+ individuals experience higher levels of depression and anxiety than their non-LGBTQ+ peers. Moreover, the current study adds to the literature by exploring levels of resilience and internalised homophobia in LGBTQ+ individuals.

The study found no significant differences in the levels of internalized homophobia between gay/lesbian or bisexual/pansexual individuals, this contrasts with previous literature that has found those identifying as bisexual/pansexual to have higher levels of internalized homophobia. This highlights the need for further research in this area to clarify the relationship between different sexual identities and internalised homophobia.

Additionally, the current study is one of the first to compare levels of resilience in LGBTQ+ individuals to those of non-LGBTQ+ individuals. The findings reveal that LGBTQ+ individuals have lower levels of resilience than their non-LGBTQ+ peers. This highlights the need for further research exploring how resilience may impact the mental health of LGBTQ+ individuals, particularly young adults.

Overall, the results of this study have important implications for the mental health and well-being of LGBTQ+ college students. By identifying the higher levels of depression and anxiety and lower levels of resilience in this population, this study highlights the need for targeted interventions and support to improve the mental health outcomes of LGBTQ+ individuals.

### References

- Ali, M., Asim, H., Edhi, A. I., Hashmi, M. D., Khan, M. S., Naz, F., Qaiser, K. N., Qureshi, S. M., Zahid, M. F., & Jehan, I. (2015). Does academic assessment system type affect levels of academic stress in medical students? A cross-sectional study from Pakistan. *Medical Education Online*, 20(1), 1-10.  
<https://doi.org/10.3402/meo.v20.27706>
- Balsam, K. F., & Mohr, J. J. (2007). Adaptation to sexual orientation stigma: A comparison of bisexual and lesbian/gay adults. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 54(3), 306–319. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0167.54.3.306>
- Bassi R, Sharma S, Kaur M. (2014). A study of correlation of anxiety levels with body mass index in new MBBS students. *National Journal of Physiology, Pharmacy & Pharmacology*, 4(3), 208-212. <https://doi.org/10.5455/njppp.2014.4.080420142>
- Beck, A. T., Epstein, N., Brown, G., & Steer, R. (1988). *Beck Anxiety Inventory* [Database record]. APA PsycTESTS. <https://doi.org/10.1037/t02025-000>
- Bostwick, W. B., Boyd, C. J., Hughes, T. L., & McCabe, S. E. (2010). Dimensions of sexual orientation and the prevalence of mood and anxiety disorders in the United States. *American Journal of Public Health*, 100(3), 468-475.  
<https://doi.org/10.2105/ajph.2008.152942>
- Bostwick, W., & Hequembourg, A. (2014). ‘Just a little hint’: Bisexual-specific microaggressions and their connection to epistemic injustices. *Culture, Health & Sexuality*, 16(5), 488-503. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13691058.2014.889754>
- Bramness, J. G., Fixdal, T. C., & Vaglum, P. (1991). Effect of medical school stress on the mental health of medical students in early and late clinical curriculum. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 84(4), 340–345. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0447.1991.tb03157.x>

Chambi-Martínez, C. A. A., Moraga-Escobar, E. I., Peralta-Jiménez, G. A., Vera-Calzaretta,

A., Barrientos, J., Pihán R., Klaassen, G., & Páez, D. (2021). Social support, stress and emotional symptoms among LGBTQ+ college students in Chile. *International Journal of Sexual Health, 34*(2), 277-290.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/19317611.2021.2014014>

Chard, A. N. Finneran, C., Sullivan, P. S., & Stephenson, R. (2015). Experiences of

homophobia among gay and bisexual men: Results from a cross-sectional study in seven countries. *Culture, Health & Sexuality, 17*(10), 1174-1189.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/13691058.2015.1042917>

Cheung, K., Yuen Tam, K., Tsang, H., Weiwei Zhang, L., & Wai Lit, S. (2020). Depression, anxiety and stress in different subgroups of first-year university students from 4-year cohort data. *Journal of Affective Disorders, 274*, 305-313.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2020.05.041>

Clarke, V., Ellis, S. J., Peel, E., Riggs, D. W. (2010). *Lesbian, gay, bisexual, trans and queer psychology: An introduction*. Cambridge University Press.

Cochran, S. D., Sullivan, J. G., & Mays, V. M. (2003). Prevalence of mental disorders, psychological distress, and mental health services use among lesbian, gay, and bisexual adults in the United States. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 71*(1), 53–61. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-006X.71.1.53>

Cochran, S. D., Mays, V. M., Alegria, M., Ortega, A. N., & Takeuchi, D. (2007). Mental health and substance use disorders among Latino and Asian American lesbian, gay, and bisexual adults. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 75*(5), 785–794.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-006X.75.5.785>

- Dhejne, C., Van Vlerken, R., Heylens, G., & Arcelus, J. (2016). Mental health and gender dysphoria: A review of the literature. *International Review of Psychiatry, 28*(1), 44–57. <http://doi.org/10.3109/09540261.2015.1115753>
- Dodge, B., Herbenick, D., Friedman, M. R., Schick, V., Fu, T. C., Bostwick, W., Bartelt, E., Muñoz-Laboy, M., Pletta, D., Reece, M., & Sandfort, T. G. M. (2016). Attitudes toward bisexual men and women among a nationally representative probability sample of adults in the United States. *Plos One, 11*(10), 1-18. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0164430>
- Dyar, C., Feinstein, B. A., & Davila, J. (2019). Development and validation of a brief version of the Anti-Bisexual Experiences Scale. *Archives of Sexual Behavior, 48*(1), 175–189. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-018-1157-z>
- Dyrbye, L. N., Thomas, M. R., & Shanafelt, T. D. (2006). Systematic review of depression, anxiety, and other indicators of psychological distress among U.S. and Canadian medical students. *Academic Medicine: Journal of the Association of American Medical Colleges, 81*(4), 354–373. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00001888-200604000-00009>
- Eldahan, A. I., Pachankis, J. E., Rendina, H. J., Ventuneac, A., Grov, C., & Parsons, J. T. (2016). Daily minority stress and affect among gay and bisexual men: A 30-day diary study. *Journal of Affective Disorders, 190*, 828–835. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2015.10.066>
- Eliason, M. J. (1997). The prevalence and nature of biphobia in heterosexual undergraduate students. *Archives of Sexual Behavior, 26*, 317-326. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1024527032040>

- Faul, F., Erdfelder, E., Buchner, A., & Lang, A. G. (2009). Statistical power analyses using G\* Power 3.1: Tests for correlation and regression analyses. *Behavior Research Methods*, 41(4), 1149-1160. <https://doi.org/10.3758/BRM.41.4.1149>
- Frost, D. M., Parsons, J. T., & Nanín, J. E. (2007). Stigma, concealment and symptoms of depression as explanations for sexually transmitted infections among gay men. *Journal of Health Psychology*, 12(4), 636–640. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1359105307078170>
- Feinstein, B. A., & Dyar, C. (2017). Bisexuality, minority stress, and health. *Current Sexual Health Reports*, 9(1), 42–49. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11930-017-0096-3>
- Feinstein, B. A., Dyar, C., Poon, J. A., Goodman, F. R., & Davila, J. (2022). The affective consequences of minority stress among bisexual, pansexual, and queer (Bi+) adults: A daily diary study. *Behavior Therapy*, 53(4), 571-584. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beth.2022.01.013>
- Hatzenbuehler, M. L., Nolen-Hoeksema, S., & Erickson, S. J. (2008). Minority stress predictors of HIV risk behavior, substance use, and depressive symptoms: Results from a prospective study of bereaved gay men. *Health Psychology*, 27(4) 455-462. <http://doi.org/10.1037/0278-6133.27.4.455>
- Hatzenbuehler, M. L. (2009). How does sexual minority stigma “get under the skin”? A psychological mediation framework. *Psychological Bulletin*, 135(5), 707-730. <http://doi.org/10.1037/a0016441>
- Hawley, L. C., & Cacioppo, J. T. (2010). Loneliness matters: A theoretical and empirical review of consequences and mechanisms. *Annals of Behavioural Medicine*, 40(2), 218-227. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12160-010-9210-8>
- Hendricks, M. L., & Testa, R. J. (2012). A conceptual framework for clinical work with transgender and gender nonconforming clients: An adaptation of the minority stress

model. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 43(5), 460–467.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/a0029597>

Herek, G. M., Cogan, J. C., Gillis, J. R., & Glunt, E. K. (1998). Correlates of internalized homophobia in a community sample of lesbians and gay men. *Journal of the Gay & Lesbian Medical Association*, 2(1), 17–25.

Herek, G. M., & Garnets, L. D. (2007). Sexual orientation and mental health. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, 3, 353–375.

<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.clinpsy.3.022806.091510>

Herek, G. M., Gillis, J. R., & Cogan, J. C. (2009a). Internalized stigma among sexual minority adults: Insights from a social psychological perspective. *Journal of Counselling Psychology*, 56(1), 32–43. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0014672>

Herek, G. M., Gillis, J. R., & Cogan, J. C. (2009b). *Revised Internalized Homophobia Scale* [Database record]. APA PsycTESTS. <https://doi.org/10.1037/t10966-000>

Igartua, K. J., Gill, K., & Montoro, R. (2003) Internalized homophobia: A factor in depression, anxiety, and suicide in the gay and lesbian population. *Canadian Journal of Community Mental Health*. 22(2), 15-30. <https://doi.org/10.7870/cjcmh-2003-0011>

Jackson, S. D., & Mohr, J. J. (2016). Conceptualizing the closet: Differentiating stigma concealment and nondisclosure processes. *Psychology of Sexual Orientation and Gender Diversity*, 3(1), 80–92. <https://doi.org/10.1037/sgd0000147>

James, S., Herman, J., Rankin, S., Keisling, M., Mottet, L., & Anafi, M. A. (2016). *The Report of the 2015 US Transgender Survey*. National Center for Transgender Equality.

Jefferies, P., Vanstone, R., & Ungar, M. (2021). Rugged Resilience Measure [Database record]. APA PsycTESTS. <https://doi.org/10.1037/t84242-000>



Kann, L., Olsen, E. O., McManus, T., Kinchen, S., Chyen, D., Harris, W. A., Wechsler, H., &

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) (2011). Sexual identity, sex of sexual contacts, and health-risk behaviors among students in grades 9-12--youth risk behavior surveillance, selected sites, United States, 2001-2009. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report Surveillance Summaries*, 60(7), 1-133.

Katz-Wise, S. L., & Hyde, J. S. (2012). Victimization experiences of lesbian, gay, and bisexual individuals: A meta-analysis. *The Journal of Sex Research*, 49(2-3), 142-167. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00224499.2011.637247>

Kerr, D. L., Santurri, L., & Peters, P. (2013). A comparison of lesbian, bisexual, and heterosexual college undergraduate women on selected mental health issues. *Journal of American College Health*, 61(4), 185-194. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07448481.2013.787619>

King, M., Semlyen, J., Tai, S. S., Killaspy, H., Osborn, D., Popelyuk, D., & Nazareth, I. (2008). A systematic review of mental disorder, suicide, and deliberate self-harm in lesbian, gay and bisexual people. *BMC Psychiatry*, 70(8), 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-244X-8-70>

Legate, N., Ryan, R. M., & Weinstein, N. (2012). Is coming out always a “good thing”? Exploring the relations of autonomy support, outness, and wellness for lesbian, gay, and bisexual individuals. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, 3(2), 145-152. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1948550611411929>

Lehavot, K., & Simoni, J. M. (2011). The impact of minority stress on mental health and substance use among sexual minority women. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 79(2), 159-170. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0022839>

Livingston, N. A., Christianson, N., & Cochran, B. (2016). Minority stress, psychological distress, and alcohol misuse among sexual minority young adults: A resiliency-based

conditional process analysis. *Addictive Behaviours*, 63, 125-131.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2016.07.011>

Livingston, N. A., Flentje, A., Brennan, J., Mereish, E. H., Reed, O., & Cochran, B. N.

(2020). Real-time associations between discrimination and anxious and depressed mood among sexual and gender minorities: The moderating effects of lifetime victimization and identity concealment. *Psychology of Sexual Orientation and Gender Diversity*, 7(2), 132-141. <https://doi.org/10.1037/sgd0000371>

London, B., Downey, G., Romero-Canyas, R., Rattan, A., & Tyson, D. (2012). Gender-based

rejection sensitivity and academic self-silencing in women. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 102(5), 961-979. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0026615>

Mahon, N. E., Yarcheski, A., Yarcheski, T. J., Cannella, B. L., & Hanks, M. M. (2006). A

meta-analytic study of predictors for loneliness during adolescence. *Nursing Research*, 55(5), 308-315. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00006199-200609000-00003>

Marshal, M. P., Friedman, M. S., Stall, R., King, K. M., Miles, J., Gold, M. A., Bukstein, O.

G., & Morse, J. Q. (2008). Sexual orientation and adolescent substance use: A meta-analysis and methodological review. *Addiction*, 103(4), 546-556.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1360-0443.2008.02149.x>

McConnell, E. A., Birkett, M. A., & Mustanski, B. (2015). Typologies of social support and

associations with mental health outcomes among LGBT youth. *LGBT Health*, 2(1), 55-61. <https://doi.org/10.1089/lgbt.2014.0051>

Meckamalil, C., Brodie, L., Hogg-Johnson, S., Carroll, L. J., Jacobs, C., & Côté P. (2020).

The prevalence of anxiety, stress and depressive symptoms in undergraduate students at the Canadian Memorial Chiropractic College, *Journal of American College Health*, 70(2), 371-376. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07448481.2020.1751173>

- Mendoza-Denton, R., Downey, G., Purdie, V. J., Davis, A., & Pietrzak, J. (2002). Sensitivity to status-based rejection: Implications for African American students' college experience. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 83(4), 896-918. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.83.4.896>
- Mereish, E. H., & Poteat, V. P. (2015). A relational model of sexual minority mental and physical health: The negative effects of shame on relationships, loneliness, and health. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 62(3), 425-437. <https://doi.org/10.1037/cou0000088>
- Meyer, I. H. (1995). Minority stress and mental health in gay men. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 36(1), 38-56. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2137286>
- Meyer, I. H. (2003). Prejudice, social stress, and mental health in lesbian, gay, and bisexual populations: Conceptual issues and research evidence. *Psychological Bulletin*, 129(5), 674-697. <http://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.129.5.674>
- Meyer, I. H., & Dean, L. (1998). Internalized homophobia, intimacy, and sexual behavior among gay and bisexual men. In G. Herek (Ed.), *Stigma and sexual orientation* (pp. 160-186). SAGE Publications, Inc., <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781452243818>
- Mohr, J. J. (1999). Same-sex romantic attachments. In J. Cassidy & P. R. Shaver (Eds.), *Handbook of attachment: Theory, research, and clinical applications* (pp. 378-394). Guilford Press.
- Mohr, J. J., Jackson, S. D., & Sheets, R. L. (2017). Sexual orientation self-presentation among bisexual-identified women and men: Patterns and predictors. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 46(5), 1465–1479. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-016-0808-1>
- Mustanski, B., Andrews, R., Herrick, A., Stall, R., & Schnarrs, P. W. (2014). A syndemic of psychosocial health disparities and associations with risk for attempting suicide

among young sexual minority men. *American journal of public health*, 104(2), 287-294. <http://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2013.301744>

Pachankis, J. E. (2007) The psychological implications of concealing a stigma: A cognitive-affective-behavioural model. *Psychological Bulletin*, 133(2), 328-345. <http://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.133.2.328>

Pachankis, J. E., Cochran, S. D., & Mays, V. M. (2015). The mental health of sexual minority men in and out of the closet: A population-based study. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 83(5), 890-901. <https://doi.org/10.1037/ccp0000047>

Puckett, J. A., Woodward, E. N., Mereish, E. H., & Pantalone, D. W. (2015). Parental rejection following sexual orientation disclosure: Impact on internalized homophobia, social support, and mental health. *LGBT Health*, 2(3), 265-269. <https://doi.org/10.1089/lgbt.2013.0024>

Puckett, J. A., Horne, S. G., Surace, F., Carter, A., Noffsinger-Frazier, N., Shulman, J., Detrie, P., Ervin, A., & Mosher, C. (2016). Predictors of sexual minority youth's reported suicide attempts and mental health, *Journal of Homosexuality*, 64(6) 697-715. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00918369.2016.1196999>

Radloff, L. S. (1977). The CES-D Scale: A self-report depression scale for research in the general population. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, 1(3), 385-401. <https://doi.org/10.1177/014662167700100306>

Rendina, H. J., Millar, B. M., & Parsons, J. T. (2018). The critical role of internalized HIV-related stigma in the daily negative affective experiences of HIV-positive gay and bisexual men. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 227, 289–297. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2017.11.005>

- Roberts, T. S., Horne, S. G., & Hoyt, W. T. (2015). Between a gay and a straight place: Bisexual individuals' experiences with monosexism. *Journal of Bisexuality, 15*(4), 554-569. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15299716.2015.1111183>
- Ross, L. E., Salway, T., Tarasoff, L. A., MacKay, J. M., Hawkins, B. W., & Fehr, C. P. (2018). Prevalence of depression and anxiety among bisexual people compared to gay, lesbian, and heterosexual individuals: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Sex Research, 55*(4-5), 435-456. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00224499.2017.1387755>
- Rubino, C., Case, R., & Anderson, A. (2018). Internalized homophobia and depression in lesbian women: The protective role of pride. *Journal of Gay & Lesbian Social Services, 30*(3), 244-260. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10538720.2018.1470419>
- Rust, P. C. (2002). Bisexuality: The state of the union. *Annual Review of Sex Research, 13*, 180-240.
- Stallman, H. M. (2011). Psychological distress in university students: A comparison with general population data. *Australian Psychologist, 45*(4), 249-257. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00050067.2010.482109>
- Stewart, S. M., Lam, T. H., Betson, C. L., Wong, C. M., and Wong, A. M. P., (1999). A prospective analysis of stress and academic performance in the first two years of medical school. *Medical Education, 33*(4), 243-250. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2923.1999.00294.x>
- Szymanski, D. M., Kashubeck-West, S., & Meyer, J. (2008). Internalized heterosexism: Measurement, psychosocial correlates, and research directions. *The Counseling Psychologist, 36*(4), 525-574. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0011000007309489>
- Woodford, M. R., Weber, G., Nicalazzo, Z., Hunt, R., Kulick, A., Coleman, T., Coulombe, S., & Renn K. A. (2018). Depression and attempted suicide among LGBTQ college

students: Fostering resilience to the effects of heterosexism and cisgenderism on campus. *Journal of College Student Development*, 59(4), 421-438.

<https://doi.org/10.1353/csd.2018.0040>

Yadegarfar, M., Meinhold-Bergmann, M. E., & Ho, R. (2014). Family rejection, social isolation, and loneliness as predictors of negative health outcomes (depression, suicidal ideation, and sexual risk behavior) among Thai male-to-female transgender adolescents. *Journal of LGBT Youth*, 11(4), 347-363

<http://doi.org/10.1080/19361653.2014.910483>

Yi, H., Lee, H., Park, J., Choi, B., & Kim, S. S. (2017). Health disparities between lesbian, gay, and bisexual adults and the general population in South Korea: Rainbow Connection Project I. *Epidemiology and Health*, 39, 1-10.

<https://doi.org/10.4178/epih.e2017046>

## Appendices

### Appendix A

#### Demographic Variables

**What is your age?**

-----

**What country were you born in?**

-----

**What county were you born in?**

-----

**What county in Ireland do you currently live in?**

-----

**Are you currently enrolled in a college course (QQI level 5 - 10)?**

Yes     No

**What is your gender identity?**

Man     Women     Trans-man     Trans-woman     Non-Binary     Genderqueer /  
Gender non-conforming     Prefer to self-identify (please specify)

**What is your sexual orientation?**

Straight     Gay     Lesbian     Bisexual     Asexual     Prefer to self-identify  
(please specify)

**Are you part of the LGBTQ+ Community?**

Yes     No

## Appendix B

### Depression questionnaire (CES-D)

Below are possible ways you may feel have felt throughout the past week, please tick the box to indicate the right amount of time you have felt or behaved this way.

#### **I was bothered by things that usually don't bother me.**

- Rarely / none of the time (less than 1 day)    Some or a little of the time 1-2 days  
 Occasionally or a moderate amount of time (3-4 days )    Most or all of the time (5-7 days)

#### **I did not feel like eating; my appetite was poor.**

- Rarely / none of the time (less than 1 day)    Some or a little of the time 1-2 days  
 Occasionally or a moderate amount of time (3-4 days )    Most or all of the time (5-7 days)

#### **I felt that I could not shake off the blues even with help from my family or friends.**

- Rarely / none of the time (less than 1 day)    Some or a little of the time 1-2 days  
 Occasionally or a moderate amount of time (3-4 days )    Most or all of the time (5-7 days)

#### **I felt I was just as good as other people.**

- Rarely / none of the time (less than 1 day)    Some or a little of the time 1-2 days  
 Occasionally or a moderate amount of time (3-4 days )    Most or all of the time (5-7 days)

#### **I had trouble keeping my mind on what I was doing.**

- Rarely / none of the time (less than 1 day)    Some or a little of the time 1-2 days  
 Occasionally or a moderate amount of time (3-4 days )    Most or all of the time (5-7 days)

#### **I felt depressed.**

- Rarely / none of the time (less than 1 day)    Some or a little of the time 1-2 days  
 Occasionally or a moderate amount of time (3-4 days )    Most or all of the time (5-7 days)

#### **I felt that everything I did was an effort.**

- Rarely / none of the time (less than 1 day)    Some or a little of the time 1-2 days  
 Occasionally or a moderate amount of time (3-4 days )    Most or all of the time (5-7 days)



**I felt hopeful about my future.**

- Rarely / none of the time (less than 1 day)    Some or a little of the time 1-2 days  
 Occasionally or a moderate amount of time (3-4 days )    Most or all of the time (5-7 days)

**I thought my life had been a failure.**

- Rarely / none of the time (less than 1 day)    Some or a little of the time 1-2 days  
 Occasionally or a moderate amount of time (3-4 days )    Most or all of the time (5-7 days)

**I felt fearful.**

- Rarely / none of the time (less than 1 day)    Some or a little of the time 1-2 days  
 Occasionally or a moderate amount of time (3-4 days )    Most or all of the time (5-7 days)

**My sleep was restless.**

- Rarely / none of the time (less than 1 day)    Some or a little of the time 1-2 days  
 Occasionally or a moderate amount of time (3-4 days )    Most or all of the time (5-7 days)

**I was happy.**

- Rarely / none of the time (less than 1 day)    Some or a little of the time 1-2 days  
 Occasionally or a moderate amount of time (3-4 days )    Most or all of the time (5-7 days)

**I talked less than usual.**

- Rarely / none of the time (less than 1 day)    Some or a little of the time 1-2 days  
 Occasionally or a moderate amount of time (3-4 days )    Most or all of the time (5-7 days)

**I felt lonely.**

- Rarely / none of the time (less than 1 day)    Some or a little of the time 1-2 days  
 Occasionally or a moderate amount of time (3-4 days )    Most or all of the time (5-7 days)

**People were unfriendly.**

- Rarely / none of the time (less than 1 day)    Some or a little of the time 1-2 days  
 Occasionally or a moderate amount of time (3-4 days )    Most or all of the time (5-7 days)

**I enjoyed life.**

- Rarely / none of the time (less than 1 day)    Some or a little of the time 1-2 days
- Occasionally or a moderate amount of time (3-4 days )    Most or all of the time (5-7 days)

**I had crying spells.**

- Rarely / none of the time (less than 1 day)    Some or a little of the time 1-2 days
- Occasionally or a moderate amount of time (3-4 days )    Most or all of the time (5-7 days)

**I felt sad.**

- Rarely / none of the time (less than 1 day)    Some or a little of the time 1-2 days
- Occasionally or a moderate amount of time (3-4 days )    Most or all of the time (5-7 days)

**I felt that people dislike me.**

- Rarely / none of the time (less than 1 day)    Some or a little of the time 1-2 days
- Occasionally or a moderate amount of time (3-4 days )    Most or all of the time (5-7 days)

**I could not get “going”.**

- Rarely / none of the time (less than 1 day)    Some or a little of the time 1-2 days
- Occasionally or a moderate amount of time (3-4 days )    Most or all of the time (5-7 days)

## **Appendix C**

### **Becks Anxiety Inventory (BAI)**

Below are common symptoms of anxiety. Please indicate how much you have been bothered by these symptoms in the past months, including today.

#### **Numbness or tingling**

Not at all    Mildly but it didn't bother me much    Moderately – it wasn't pleasant at times    Severely – It bothered me a lot

#### **Feeling Hot**

Not at all    Mildly but it didn't bother me much    Moderately – it wasn't pleasant at times    Severely – It bothered me a lot

#### **Wobbliness in legs**

Not at all    Mildly but it didn't bother me much    Moderately – it wasn't pleasant at times    Severely – It bothered me a lot

#### **Unable to Relax**

Not at all    Mildly but it didn't bother me much    Moderately – it wasn't pleasant at times    Severely – It bothered me a lot

#### **Fear of worst happening**

Not at all    Mildly but it didn't bother me much    Moderately – it wasn't pleasant at times    Severely – It bothered me a lot

#### **Dizzy or lightheaded**

Not at all    Mildly but it didn't bother me much    Moderately – it wasn't pleasant at times    Severely – It bothered me a lot

#### **Heart pounding / racing**

Not at all    Mildly but it didn't bother me much    Moderately – it wasn't pleasant at times    Severely – It bothered me a lot

**Unsteady**

- Not at all    Mildly but it didn't bother me much    Moderately – it wasn't pleasant at times    Severely – It bothered me a lot

**Terrified or afraid**

- Not at all    Mildly but it didn't bother me much    Moderately – it wasn't pleasant at times    Severely – It bothered me a lot

**Nervous**

- Not at all    Mildly but it didn't bother me much    Moderately – it wasn't pleasant at times    Severely – It bothered me a lot

**Feeling of choking**

- Not at all    Mildly but it didn't bother me much    Moderately – it wasn't pleasant at times    Severely – It bothered me a lot

**Hands trembling**

- Not at all    Mildly but it didn't bother me much    Moderately – it wasn't pleasant at times    Severely – It bothered me a lot

**Feeling Shaky**

- Not at all    Mildly but it didn't bother me much    Moderately – it wasn't pleasant at times    Severely – It bothered me a lot

**Fear of losing control**

- Not at all    Mildly but it didn't bother me much    Moderately – it wasn't pleasant at times    Severely – It bothered me a lot

**Difficulty breathing**

- Not at all    Mildly but it didn't bother me much    Moderately – it wasn't pleasant at times    Severely – It bothered me a lot

**Fear of dying**

Not at all    Mildly but it didn't bother me much    Moderately – it wasn't pleasant at times    Severely – It bothered me a lot

**Feeling scared**

Not at all    Mildly but it didn't bother me much    Moderately – it wasn't pleasant at times    Severely – It bothered me a lot

**Indigestion or discomfort in abdomen**

Not at all    Mildly but it didn't bother me much    Moderately – it wasn't pleasant at times    Severely – It bothered me a lot

**Feeling Faint**

Not at all    Mildly but it didn't bother me much    Moderately – it wasn't pleasant at times    Severely – It bothered me a lot

**Face flushed**

Not at all    Mildly but it didn't bother me much    Moderately – it wasn't pleasant at times    Severely – It bothered me a lot

**Hot/cold sweats**

Not at all    Mildly but it didn't bother me much    Moderately – it wasn't pleasant at times    Severely – It bothered me a lot

## **Appendix D**

Internalised homophobia scale (IHP)

Below are possible ways you may feel about yourself. Please tick the box to indicate which answer you most agree with.

**I wish I weren't part of the LGBTQ+ community**

Strongly disagree    Disagree    Neither agree nor disagree    Agree    Strongly agree

**I have tried to stop being attracted to the same sex in general**

Strongly disagree    Disagree    Neither agree nor disagree    Agree    Strongly agree

**If someone offered me the chance to be completely heterosexual, I would accept the chance**

Strongly disagree    Disagree    Neither agree nor disagree    Agree    Strongly agree

**I feel that being LGBTQ+ is a personal shortcoming for me**

Strongly disagree    Disagree    Neither agree nor disagree    Agree    Strongly agree

## **Appendix E**

### Rugged Resilience Measure (RRM)

Below are common ways you may or may not feel about yourself on a day-to-day basis.

Please indicate how these following statements apply to you.

#### **I Believe in myself**

Not at all    A little    Somewhat    Quite a bit    A lot

#### **I can adapt to challenging situation**

Not at all    A little    Somewhat    Quite a bit    A lot

#### **I find solutions to problems I encounter**

Not at all    A little    Somewhat    Quite a bit    A lot

#### **I keep going despite difficulties**

Not at all    A little    Somewhat    Quite a bit    A lot

#### **I can cope with competing demands (for my time or attention)**

Not at all    A little    Somewhat    Quite a bit    A lot

#### **Even when there are setbacks or obstacles, I am hopeful about my future**

Not at all    A little    Somewhat    Quite a bit    A lot

#### **I am generally in control of my emotions**

Not at all    A little    Somewhat    Quite a bit    A lot

#### **I take pride in things I have achieved**

Not at all    A little    Somewhat    Quite a bit    A lot

#### **When faced with difficulties, I rise to the challenge**

Not at all    A little    Somewhat    Quite a bit    A lot

#### **I can find meaning in my life**

Not at all    A little    Somewhat    Quite a bit    A lot

**Appendix F**

# Psychology Study About Mood Sexuality and Gender

## What is this Study About?

- We are looking for volunteers to take part in a research study to examine the relationship between sexuality, gender and mood in college students
- Participants will be asked to carry out a brief survey on google forms which should take roughly 10 minutes of your time. If you think you would like to take part in this study simply use your phone to scan the QR code on this poster.

## You are Eligible for This Study if:

- You are aged 18+
- You are enrolled in third level education in The Republic of Ireland

## Contact

- Feel free to contact Keith Walker, at [x20300501@student.ncirl.ie](mailto:x20300501@student.ncirl.ie) if you have any questions





## **Appendix G**

You are invited to take part in a research study. Before deciding whether to take part, please take the time to read this document, which explains why the research is being done and what it would involve for you. If you have any questions about the information provided, please do not hesitate to contact me using the details at the end of this sheet.

I am a final year student in the BA in Psychology programme at the National College of Ireland. As part of our degree, we must carry out an independent research project.

For my project, I aim to investigate the links between the disruption of mood in day-to-day life, sexuality, and gender.

If you decide to take part in this research, you will be asked to take part in this google forms questionnaire. The questionnaire should take about 10 minutes to fully complete. Within this questionnaire, you will be asked questions regarding your mood from the past week. If you are LGBTQ+, you will be asked to complete questions about your identity and community. If you are not LGBTQ+, please tick the box stating you are not a part of the community for this section.

To take part in this research you must be aged 18+ and be in third-level education within the Republic of Ireland.

Whether you are part of the LGBTQ+ community or not you are welcome to take part as long as you reach the eligibility criteria above.

Participation in this research is completely voluntary. Any decision to not take part will have no consequences for you. If you do take part, you are still free to exit the survey at any time by simply closing your browser and your results will not be recorded.

As this survey deals with some sensitive topics within mood and sexuality it is possible that some participants may be upset or distressed by some of these questions. If you feel questions

of this nature may cause you to experience levels of distress, please feel free not to take part in this research.

This questionnaire is completely anonymous, all data collected for this study will be unidentifiable to the participant from the moment the questionnaire is submitted. Keeping this in mind once the survey is submitted it will not be possible to withdraw your data from the survey as it will be unidentifiable to you. The data from this survey will be held in accordance with the NCI data retention policy. Please note the anonymised data may be archived in an online data repository and may be used for secondary data analysis.

The results of this study will be presented in my final dissertation, which will be submitted to the National College of Ireland. The study may also be presented at conferences and/or submitted to an academic journal for publication.

Relevant contact information can be found at the end of this questionnaire for helplines if your participation does cause you distress.

If further information is required or you have any questions about this study, please feel free to contact me, Keith Walker, at [x20300501@student.ncirl.ie](mailto:x20300501@student.ncirl.ie) and/or my supervisor for this research Amanda Kracen, PhD at [amanda.kracen@ncirl.ie](mailto:amanda.kracen@ncirl.ie).

## **Appendix H**

This study will require participants to complete questions about their daily mood and sexuality.

If you have any issues about participating in the study, simply exit this page and the study will come to an end.

All information provided by you is and will remain anonymous and confidential.

You may withdraw consent at any time before submitting this survey however, once the survey is submitted there will be no way to withdraw your information as there will be no way to identify which response is yours (we will not ask for your name or contact information). It will take approximately 10 minutes to complete the survey.

You must be at least 18 years of age or older and enrolled in a college course in the Republic of Ireland to take part in this study.

You may receive the results of the overall study upon request once the study has been completed.

You can reach out to me, Keith Walker, via email at [x20300501@student.ncirl.ie](mailto:x20300501@student.ncirl.ie) and/or my supervisor for this research Amanda Kracen, PhD at [amanda.kracen@ncirl.ie](mailto:amanda.kracen@ncirl.ie), with any questions or issues you may have throughout the study, and also to obtain the overall results from the study once it has been completed.

Please tick this box to indicate that you consent to take part in this survey and that in doing so you are aware once the survey is submitted you cannot request to have your results withheld from the study.

Do you consent to take part in this survey?

Yes

No

## **Appendix I**

This study is aiming to find a relationship between high levels of anxiety and depression, and sexuality/gender identity among college students aged 18+.

How was this tested?

You were asked to complete the CES-D Depression Scale which measures possible ways you may have felt throughout the past week. The Beck's Anxiety Inventory, which measures the frequency of common symptoms of anxiety throughout the week., The Internalised Homophobia scale which measures possible ways you feel about your LGBTQ+ identity, and lastly, the Rugged resilience measure which measures your level of overall resilience.

What is this research expecting to find?

This study is aiming to determine whether there is a difference between levels of depression and anxiety in LGBTQ+ individuals compared to non-LGBTQ+ individuals.

Why is this research important?

This research is beneficial as, if the study finds anxiety and depression levels are in fact higher in LGBTQ+ individuals, it would call for more support to be given to those individuals in a college setting.

Confidentiality

As previously assured, all information provided by you will remain completely anonymous and will be unidentifiable. Your results have now been submitted and there is no longer a way to withdraw them from the study.

If you are experiencing distress as a result of participation

Thank you for taking part in this research study. If there is anything you would like to discuss in relation to this study, please feel free to contact either myself, Keith Walker at [x20300501@student.ncirl.ie](mailto:x20300501@student.ncirl.ie), or my supervisor Amanda Kracen, PhD at [amanda.kracen@ncirl.ie](mailto:amanda.kracen@ncirl.ie).

If throughout this questionnaire you felt in any way distressed, please feel free to contact support at the following numbers. The Samaritans: Call 116123. 50808 Crisis text line: Text HELLO to 50808. LGBT Dublin Helpline: Call 1800 929 539. Gay Switchboard Ireland: Call (01) 872 1055. BelongTo: Text LGBTI+ to 086 1800 280.

Appendix J

The screenshot displays the IBM SPSS Statistics Viewer interface. The main window shows the following content:

**Total\_IHP**

IHP_Group	N	Median
Gay/Lesbian	21	9.00
Bi+	62	9.00
Total	83	9.00

**Nonparametric Tests**

	Null Hypothesis	Test	Sig. <sup>a,b</sup>	Decision
1	The distribution of Total_DEPRESSION is the same across categories of LGBT.	Independent-Samples Mann-Whitney U Test	<.001	Reject the null hypothesis.
2	The distribution of Total_ANXIETY is the same across categories of LGBT.	Independent-Samples Mann-Whitney U Test	<.001	Reject the null hypothesis.
3	The distribution of Total_Resilience is the same across categories of LGBT.	Independent-Samples Mann-Whitney U Test	.016	Reject the null hypothesis.

a. The significance level is .050.  
b. Asymptotic significance is displayed.

**Independent-Samples Mann-Whitney U Test**

**Total\_DEPRESSION across LGBT**

Independent-Samples Mann-Whitney U Test Summary	
Total N	180
Mann-Whitney U	6135.000
Wilcoxon W	9790.000
Test Statistic	6135.000
Standard Error	348.848
Standardized Test Statistic	6.013
Asymptotic Sig.(2-sided)	<.001

The bottom status bar indicates 'IBM SPSS Statistics Processor is ready' and 'Unicode:ON Classic'.