Exploration of the link between Breast Cancer and Body Image, Quality of Life

and Body Appreciation

Charlotte Lee X15018237

BA (Hons) Psychology

Submitted to the National College of Ireland

2017

Submission of Thesis and Dissertation

National College of Ireland Research Students Declaration Form (Thesis/Author Declaration Form)

Name: Charlotte Lee

Student Number: X15018237

Degree for which thesis is submitted: BA (Hons) Psychology

Material submitted for award

(a) I declare that the work has been composed by myself.

(b) I declare that all verbatim extracts contained in the thesis have been distinguished by quotation marks and the sources of information specifically acknowledged.

(c) My thesis will be included in electronic format in the College

Institutional Repository TRAP (thesis reports and projects)

(d) *Either* *I declare that no material contained in the thesis has been used in any other submission for an academic award.

Or *I declare that the following material contained in the thesis

formed part of a submission for the award of

(State the award and the awarding body and list the material below)

Signature of research student: Charlotte Lee

Date: 29/03/2017

Submission of Thesis to Norma Smurfit Library, National College of Ireland

Student name: <u>Charlotte Lee</u> Student number: <u>x15018237</u>

School: School of Business Course: BA (Hons) Psychology

Degree to be awarded: _____

Title of Thesis: <u>Exploration of the link between Breast Cancer and Body Image</u>, <u>Quality of Life and Body</u> <u>Appreciation</u>

One hard bound copy of your thesis will be lodged in the Norma Smurfit Library and will be available for consultation. The electronic copy will be accessible in TRAP (<u>http://trap.ncirl.ie/</u>), the National College of Ireland's Institutional Repository. In accordance with normal academic library practice all theses lodged in the National College of Ireland Institutional Repository (TRAP) are made available on open access.

I agree to a hard bound copy of my thesis being available for consultation in the library. I also agree to an electronic copy of my thesis being made publicly available on the National College of Ireland's Institutional Repository TRAP.

Signature of Candidate: Charlotte Lee

For completion by the School:	
The aforementioned thesis was received by	Date:

This signed form must be appended to all hard bound and electronic copies of your thesis submitted to your school

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my thanks to my supervisor Dr. Rebecca Maguire for her patience, guidance and insight throughout the development and course of the thesis process and to all the staff and lecturers at NCI for their advice over the last two years. I would like to express my appreciation to Greystones, Dochas Offaly, Galway, Circle of Friends Tipperary, Wicklow, Portiuncula, Cois Nore Kilkenny, CARA Iorrais, Hope Mayo Breast Cancer support centres for their participation in the study.

I would like to express my profound gratitude to my family who supported me throughout my degree and thesis for their support and encouragement and for always making me see the bigger picture.

Abstract

Aim: The present study aimed to explore the link between breast cancer and body appreciation, quality of life and body appreciation. **Method:** Women (N = 89) who received surgery were placed into five groups, single or double mastectomy, single or double mastectomy with breast reconstruction or breast conservation. Groups were compared with a control group (N = 89) for scores on three questionnaires, quality of life, body image after breast cancer, body appreciation scale. Results: Results revealed Lower psychological and physical wellbeing but higher body appreciation were experienced by the breast cancer group compared to the control group; Single/double mastectomy with breast reconstruction or breast conservation were associated with higher body satisfaction; time since diagnosis displayed lower body appearance concerns and higher body satisfaction; Treatment type demonstrated higher physical, psychological wellbeing, higher levels of social concern, lower levels of distress and fear; and age was the strongest predictor of quality of life for women after breast cancer. Conclusion: This research provides useful information to the health sector to try to improve psychological/physical wellbeing and long term quality of life for women. More research needs to be carried out on the effects of age on quality of life after breast cancer.

Keywords: breast cancer, quality of life, body appreciation, body image,

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declaration	2
Acknowledgements	3
Abstract	4
1. Introduction	7
Global Breast Cancer Statistics	7
Irish Breast Cancer Statistics	7
Financial cost of Breast Cancer	8
Detection of Breast Cancer	8
Treatment Options	9
Surgical Treatment Options	10
Effects of Breast Cancer	11
2. Method	17
Participants	17
Measures/Materials	
Design	19
Procedure	19
Data Analysis	20
3. Results	22
4. Discussion	34
5. References	40
6. Appendices	55
Appendix A	55
Appendix B	59
Appendix C	62
Appendix D	66
Appendix E	69
Appendix F	70
Appendix G	71
Appendix H	72

Cancer can have an extensive impact on physical, psychological, and emotional aspects of one's life as survivors try to deal with the disease. As the number of people diagnosed with cancer grows so does the need for up to date services that cater to the needs of the individual. Cancer, one of the biggest killers in the modern world after heart disease (World Health Organisation, 2014) affects men, women, children, infants and in some cases unborn babies. The National Cancer Institute's (2015) definition of cancer which depicts cancer as a disease affecting the processes of dividing and repairing bodily cells, resulting in abnormal cells which in turn split, divide and multiply without control leading to the invasion of other tissue areas in the body. Abnormal cells can be transported or carried around the body through the bloodstream or within the lymph nodes or the lymph systems (National Cancer Institute, 2015; Padera, Meijer, & Munn, 2016; Fawcett, & Drew, 2017). The most common cancer to affect women is breast cancer which affects milk ducts, glands and the surrounding breast tissue (National Cancer Institute, 2015). Breast cancer can have a dramatic impact on aspects of life such as the varying levels of quality of life women experience while they have breast cancer or after they have experienced breast cancer, large changes can occur in the way they view their body in terms of their body image and body appreciation especially after enduring breast cancer surgery. This research study will focus on the exploration of the link between breast cancer and body image, quality of life and body appreciation.

Breast cancer can be caused by many different aspects of life such as genetic influences that account for the structural or disruption of the normal process of cell division and renewal present in the breast tissue, milk ducts and glands. However, certain structural changes and disturbances can occur due to genetic influences from blood relations usually biological mothers or fathers who pass genes such asBRCA1 and BRCA2 to their children in some cases increasing the risk of developing breast cancer cells by 55- 65%. (Balmain, Gray, & Ponder, 2003; Balmain, Llacuachaqui, Lubinski, Lynch, Armel, Neuhausen, Ghadirian, Sun, & Narod, 2013; Kreso & Dick, 2014; Ellberg, Jernström, Broberg, Borg, & Olsson, 2015; Vos, Oosterwijk, Aalfs, Rookus, Adank, van der Hout, van Asperen, Gómez Garcia, Mensenkamp, Jager, Mourits, de Bock, & Ausems, 2016).

Global Breast Cancer Statistics

Breast cancer statistics are increasing dramatically, although quite a substantial amount of available cancer statistical data recorded consists of data from the United States and the United Kingdom and Europe (Ferlay, Steliarova-Foucher, Lortet-Tieulent, Rosso, Coebergh, Comber, Forman, & Bray, 2013). There is a limited amount of global statistical information available in relation to cancer sufferers. The number of women diagnosed with breast cancer is increasing dramatically every year. Statistics show an increase of 20% in diagnoses of new breast cancer cases from 2008-2012 resulting in a 14% rise in mortality leading to the figure of 20% of all women diagnosed with breast cancer dying in the first-year rates on a global scale (Ferlay, Soerjomataram, Ervik, Dikshit, Eser, Mathers, Rebelo, Parkin, Forman, Bray, 2013). The National Cancer Institute (2016) most recent estimation after analysing statistics from each country worldwide in the previous year 2013, predicted breast cancer diagnoses in the range of 1.38 million women were diagnosed with breast cancer making up 25% of all new cancer cases diagnosed in the same year (Ferlay, Héry, Autier, & Sankaranarayanan, 2013).

Irish Breast Cancer Statistics

Breast cancer rates are expected to increase by an extra 1, 151, 300 cases by the year 2030 (Coleman, Quaresma, Berrino, Lutz, De Angelis, Capocaccia, Baili, Rachet, Gatta, Hakulien, Sant, Weir, Elwood, Tsukuma, Koifman, Azevedo e Silva, Francisci, Santaguilani, Verdecchia, & Micheli, 2008; Ferlay et al., 2013). Breast cancer instances in Irish women have also increased in recent years due to an aging population, statistics analysed by the National Cancer Registry Ireland from 1994-2010 have seen a sizable increase of 4% every year in the number of breast cancer incidences in Ireland throughout a period of sixteen years. Leading on average to the probability of every 1 in 9 women developing breast cancer in Ireland throughout their life (National Cancer Registry Ireland, 2016). Most recent data collected in 2012 by the National Cancer Registry Ireland (2016) and the Irish Cancer Society (2012) display figures at 2, 899 new cases diagnosed every year, leading to 18.4% of all cancer related deaths in Ireland (National Cancer Registry Ireland, 2016; Irish Cancer Society, 2012). The most recent estimations of future breast cancer diagnosis in Ireland in the coming years have been

projected as far as 2040, during this time new diagnosable breast cancer cases will have risen from 2, 899 in 2012 by 36% in 2025 to 5000 and by 63% in 2040 to 7000 in 2040 (National Cancer Registry Ireland, 2016).

Financial Cost of Breast Cancer

In most cases, the cost of cancer affects the individual on a personal level and on a financial level which can be seen as being highly stressful to cancer suffers and their families. Past research by the Irish cancer society (2015) estimate on average the extra financial cost to a woman or her family while experiencing breast cancer or after breast cancer regarding cost of drugs, treatments, therapies, hospital stays, day care for children and so forth can be anywhere between €863 and €1, 200 a month (Luengo-Fernandez, Leal, Gray, & Sullivan, 2013). Placing a considerable amount of financial risk upon cancer suffer, leading to 12 to 62% of cancer suffers experiencing financial debt as a direct result of breast cancer treatments, drugs and therapies (Zafar, Peppercorn, Schrag, Taylor, Goetzinger, Zhong, & Abernethy, 2013) therefore, forcing 55% of cancer suffers to take money from their savings accounts for the future to help pay for treatments and drugs (National Cancer Registry Ireland, 2016; Irish Cancer Society, 2015).

Detection of Breast Cancer

Detection of early breast cancer cases has risen in the last number of years due to services such as the mammogram and clinical breast checks (García-Fernández, Barco, Fraile, Lain, Carmona, Gonzalez, Passarrodona, Giménez, & García-Font, 2016). Both Mammograms which involve an x-ray of the breast tissue to investigate the health of the breast and clinical breast checks where the clinician examines breast tissue looking for abnormalities in the breast have proven to be an effective method of early breast cancer detection for women who avail of the services (Heinävaara, Sarkeala, & Anttila, 2016; Johns, Coleman, Swerdlow, & Moss, 2016; Coldman, Phillips, Wilson, Decker, Chiarelli, Brisson, Zhang, Payne, Doyle, 2014).

Treatment Options

Women experiencing breast cancer have certain treatment options depending on the severity and stage of their breast cancer such as chemotherapy or radiation. Both options have side effects for the cancer suffer as both chemotherapy drugs and radiation help to eliminate cancer cells. However, healthy cells are also being damaged in the process that is unavoidable leading to reduced immune system functioning, fatigue, hair loss, nausea, vomiting, problems with fertility (Litiere, Werutsky, Fentiman, Rutgers, Christiaens, Van Limbergen, Baaijens, Bogaerts, Bartelink, 2012; Irish Cancer Society, 2012). Since the introduction of screening services such as the mammogram into Ireland in 2001 mortality statistics have decreased by 2% every year since 1994, leading to a larger number of women (increasing from 20% to 33%) in 2012 getting diagnosed with stage one breast cancer resulting in more women surviving breast cancer (National Cancer Registry Ireland, 2015). Treatment paths such as surgery, radiation and chemotherapy all increase chances of survival for women with breast cancer by 90% in the following five years after any form of treatment, however, depending on the success of the treatment and the progression of years living survival rates decrease to 83% to 78% (Burstein, Prestrud, Seidenfeld, Anderson, Buchholz, Davidson, Gelmon, Giordano, Hudis, Malin, Mamounas, Rowden, Solky, Sowers, Stearns, Winer, Somerfield, Griggs, 2010).

Furthermore, breast cancer that has spread into the surrounding tissues or lymph nodes reduce survival rates significantly, however, survival rates greatly vary after treatment ranging from 78% to 24% (Berry, Cronin, Plevritis, Fryback, Clarke, Zelen, Mandelblatt, Yakovlev, Dik, Habbema, & Feuer, 2005; Jatoi, & Proschan, 2005). Irish survival rates have increased from the first recorded statistical information 1999-2012 survival rates have increased from 72% to 82% in thirteen years, leading to more women living at least five years after diagnosis (Stewart, Wild, & International Agency for Research on Cancer, 2014; Allemani, Weir, Carreira, Harewood, Spika, Wang, Bannon, Ahn, Johnson, Bonaventure, Stiller, Azevedo e Silva, Chen, Ogunbiyi, Rachet, Soeberg, You, Matsuda, Bielska-Lasota, Storm, Tucker, Coleman, & Marcos-Gragera, 2015).

Surgical Treatment Options

Women may require surgery to eliminate or lessen the degree of cancerous cells present in the body. Surgery undertaken by women experiencing breast cancer include mastectomy's, breast conservation surgery (BCS) or lumpectomy and breast reconstruction (Irish Cancer Society, 2012; National Cancer Institute, 2015). Depending on the amount of cancer cells, specific area of the cancer cells and the size of the breast cancer tumour women may require a mastectomy which involves the removal of the whole tissue within the breast and the surrounding areas (Miller, Siegel, Lin, Mariotto, Kramer, Rowland, Stein, Alteri, & Jemal, 2016). The number of women who require a mastectomy has increased in recent years from a small 5% of women receiving a mastectomy in 1999 which has grown considerable to 30% of women receiving a mastectomy in 2011 (Kummerow, Du, Penson, Shyr, Hooks, 2015).

However, there are five different types of mastectomy procedures women can avail of (1) Simple/ Total mastectomy surgery involves taking the entire breast tissue away by means of surgery, while leaving the surrounding tissues and lymph nodes intact (Kummerow et al., 2015). (2) Modified radical mastectomy surgery leads to the removal of both breasts including tissue in the breasts and any lymph nodes present in the breast tissues or the surrounding areas in order to try to avoid the spread of cancerous cells to elsewhere in the body (Parker, Peterson, Bedrosian, Crosby, Shen, Black, Babiera, Kuerer, Ying, Dong, & Cantor, 2016). (3) Radical mastectomy surgery requires the removal of the entire breast along with the muscles in the lower chest located under the breast tissue (National Cancer Institute, 2015). (4) Partial Mastectomy surgery involves taking away areas of the breast tissue that has been affected by cancerous cells and some of the surrounding tissues (Gupta, King, Korzeniowski, Wallace, & Mackillop, 2016), and (5) Nipple sparing mastectomy surgery which includes taking away all tissue in the breast, while leaving the nipple in the centre of the breast intact (Murphy & Sacchini, 2016; Salibian, Harness, & Mowlds, 2017).

Breast conservation surgery otherwise known as a lumpectomy removes part of the cancerous cells or tumour in the breast and part of the surrounding tissue in the breast area leaving the remaining tissue untouched. The amount of breast tissue surgically removed depends on the size and the location of the tumour (National Cancer Institute, 2016; Irish Cancer Society, 2012). On the other hand, breast reconstruction is carried out directly, months or years after surgery which ever option the woman decides on after before the beginning of the surgery process. There are two types of breast reconstruction surgery, implant reconstruction which involves a silicone implant being placed under the skin to replicate the appearance of normal breasts and the autologous implant which includes taking tissue mainly of fat or muscle from one area of the body (back, thigh or stomach) to create an implant that in many cases last longer and pose less problems in the future, creating a 92% approval rate in survivors who have had breast reconstruction (Groen, Negenborn, Twisk, Ket, Mullender, & Smit, 2016; Albornoz, Matros, Lee, Hudis, Pusic, Elkin, Bach, Cordeiro, & Morrow, 2015; Pyfer, Chatterjee, Chen, Nigriny, Czerniecki, Tchou, & Fisher, 2016).

Effects of Breast Cancer

Breast cancer can have damaging effects on one's perceptions, attitudes, beliefs and thoughts relative to themselves (Mens, & Scheier, 2015; Przezdziecki, Sherman, Baillie, Taylor, Foley & Stalgis-Bilinski, 2013) this relates to the proposed research question for this study which focuses on the link between breast cancer and body image, quality of life and body appreciation. Breast cancer surgery has a substantial impact on a woman's body image and appreciation as physical bodily changes can be slight or dramatic often resulting in dissatisfaction, self-blame, anxiety and depression (Antoni, Jacobs, Bouchard, Lechner, Jutagir, Gudenkauf, Blomberg, Glück, & Carver, 2017; Brunault, Champagne, Huguet, Suzanne, Senon, Body, Rusch, Magnin, Voyer, Réveillère, & Camus, 2015; Suppli, Johansen, Christensen, Kessing, Kroman, & Dalton, 2014; Cvetković, & Cvetković, 2017; Falk Dahl, Reinertsen, Nesvold, Fosså, & Dahl, 2010; Eltahir et al. 2013; Bellino et al., 2011). Body image can be defined as an individual's attitude or belief towards their health functioning, physical appearance and sexuality (Cohen, Mabjish, & Zidan, 2011; Falk Dahl et al. 2010; Sabiston, Rusticus, Brunet, McDonough, Hadd, Hubley, & Crocker, 2010).

Researchers have found the type of surgical treatment received influences the degree of impact on a woman's body image and appreciation, for example, women who have received breast reconstruction after a single or double mastectomy displayed a 95 % higher body image, were more satisfied with their appearance, displayed a positive body appreciation level continuing six months after surgery than those women who received a double or single mastectomy (Al-Ghazal, Sully, Fallowfield, & Blamey, 2000; Eltahir, Werners, Dreise, van Emmichoven, Jansen, Werker, & de Bock, 2013; Fingeret, Nipomnick, Guindani, Baumann, Hanasono & Crosby, 2014; McGaughey,

2006; Grogan & Mechan, 2016). Studies estimate 51% of breast cancer survivors who have undergone a single or double mastectomy without a mastectomy have displayed significant differences in body image compared to those women who have received breast reconstruction, breast conservation, or a lumpectomy. Women who received a single or double mastectomy without a breast reconstruction experience a considerably lower level of body image and body appreciation (McGaughey, 2006; Lehmann, Hagedoorn, & Tuinman, 2015). In many cases, leading women to hold negative attitudes towards their bodies and themselves, for example, "I am no longer a woman" (Nasser, 2007), "I do not like anything about my body" (Brunet, Sabiston & Burke, 2013) and "I feel less sexually desirable" (Nasser, 2007; Helms, O'Hea, & Corso, 2008).

On the other hand, a small handful of studies have reported older breast cancer survivors displaying a positive body image and body appreciation after any type of surgical treatment (Lehmann et al. 2015; Fallbjörk, Rasmussen, Karlsson, & Salander, 2013; Brunet et al. 2013; Tiggemann & McCourt, 2013), suggesting the positive relationship may be due to appreciation to one's body as it has survived throughout their traumatic experience of breast cancer treatment, surgery and recovery (Esmaili, Saiidi, Majd, & Esmaieli, 2010; Rezaei, Elyasi, Janbabai, Moosazadeh & Hamzehgardeshi, 2016). Previous research around the area body image and body appreciation have been investigated using, three scales, the Body Image After Breast Cancer Questionnaire (BIBCQ) developed by Baxter, (1998) and the Body Appreciation Scale (BAS) developed by Avalos, Tylka, & Wood-Barcalow, (2005). Theses questionnaires asked questions such as "Are you comfortable in your body", "I respect my body" & "I avoid going clothes shopping" (Avalos et al., 2005; Baxter, 1998; Satinsky, Reece, Dennis, Sanders, & Bardzell, 2012).

Quality of life is very important to everyone. Quality of life focuses on aspects of one's life such as life satisfaction, psychological, physical, emotional, social, spiritual health and well-being (Brunault, 2015; Selim, Rogers, Fleishman, Qian, Fincke, Rothendler, & Kazis, 2009; Barcaccia, Esposito, Matarese, Bertolaso, Elvira & De Marinis, 2013). Previous research demonstrated women who have experienced breast cancer display a lower quality of life than healthy women of the same age, factors impacting quality of life suggesting certain factors have a considerable negative impact of quality of life such as body mass index (BMI), low levels of social support, presenting high levels of self-blame, psychological distress, depression, fatigue, and anxiety as issues that greatly impact and decrease quality of life for women who have experienced breast cancer (Fobair, Stewart, Chang, D'Onofrio, Banks & Bloom, 2006; Scott & Kayser 2009; DiSipio, Rye, Newman & Hayes, 2013; Brunault et al., 2015; Razdan, Patel, Jewell, & McCarthy, 2016; Brandberg, Sandelin, Erikson, Jurell, Liljegren, Lindblom, Lindén, von Wachenfeldt, Wickman & Arver, 2008; Chen, Cano, Klassen, King, McCarthy, Cordeiro, Morrow & Pusic, 2010; Bloom et al., 2004; Kroenke, Kwan, Neugut, Ergas, Wright, Caan, Hershman & Kushi, 2013). Lower quality of life has also been linked to a poorer body image and body appreciation and fear of reoccurrence of breast cancer (Koch, Bertram, Eberle, Holleczek, Schmid-Höpfner, Waldmann, Zeissig, Brenner & Arndt, 2014; Begovic-Juhant, Chmielewski, Iwuagwu & Chapman, 2012).

Previous research has suggested the longer the amount of time since diagnosis occurred and age at time of diagnosis is associated with higher levels of quality of life, higher levels of acceptance to changes in the body caused by breast cancer, sexuality, less self-blame and anger (Härtl, Engel, Herschbach, Reinecker, Sommer & Friese, 2010; Champion, Wagner, Monahan, Daggy, Smith, Cohee, Ziner, Haase, Miller, Pradhan & Unverzagt, 2014; Gudenkauf, Antoni, Stagl, Lechner, Jutagir, Bouchard, Blomberg, Glück, Derhagopian, Giron, & Avisar, 2015; Ozkan, Mentes, Ozturk & Soyuer, 2014). Women who received breast reconstruction or breast conservation surgery displayed a higher quality of life than those women who received either a single or double mastectomy (Fobair et al. 2006; Baucom, Porter, Kirby, Gremore & Keefe, 2006; Razdan et al., 2016), therefore, in some cases it has been suggested leading to a low body image resulting in a depleted quality of life (Bolte, & Zebrack, 2008; Maiorino, Chiodini, Bellastella, Giugliano & Esposito, 2016).

However, other studies have found women who received breast reconstruction along with either a single or double mastectomy displayed a similar quality of life than those women who received breast conservation surgery (Fang, Shu & Chang, 2013; Fang, Balneaves & Shu, 2010). Studies report breast reconstruction surgery assist breast cancer survivors to feel whole, feminine and restore their sexuality to a certain degree as it has been suggested women relate their breasts with their sexuality in turn heightening their quality of life (Pinell-White, Duggal, Metcalfe, Sackeyfio, Hart & Losken, 2015; Matthews, Carroll, Renshaw, Turner, Park, Skillman, McCarthy & Grunfeld, 2017; Razdan et al. 2016). Despite, the advantageous benefits to receiving breast reconstruction or breast conservation surgery, studies report a small number of women have trouble accepting their new breasts as it has been suggested because of changes to the breasts they may feel ugly, flowed or unnatural (Abu-Nab & Grunfeld, 2007; Fang et al. 2010).

Peripheral factors such as sexual problems, support networks such as spouse/ partner, immediate and external family, friends have a big impact quality of life for a breast cancer survivor. Sexual problems with a spouse or partner are reported quite often in research around breast cancer survivors, studies have found 83% of women experience sexual dysfunction (problems experienced throughout sexual activity) (Maiorino et al. 2016), 51% of women report problems with desire and arousal resulting in distress experienced for three or more years after surgery (Aerts, Christiaens, Enzlin, Neven & Amant, 2014; Boquiren, Esplen, Wong, Toner, Warner & Malik, 2016; Raggio, Butryn, Arigo, Mikorski & Palmer, 2014).

External networks of social support are extremely important in the recovery process, research has found having a supportive spouse or partner reduces the levels of morality, adjustment problems experienced, help to increase acceptance and become more comfortable with their changed body appearance, become more comfortable heightened levels of quality of life and decreased levels of depression and anxiety (Kroenke, Quesenberry, Kwan, Sweeney, Castillo & Caan, 2013; Hasson-Ohayon, Goldzweig, Braun & Galinsky, 2010; Leung, Pachana & McLaughlin, 2014; Drageset, Lindstrøm, Giske & Underlid, 2015). Social networks are important for all women as friends help to reduce levels of psychological distress felt, encourages self-compassion, self-esteem, optimism, survivors exhibit better outlook after surgery, higher levels of physical and mental health (Hasson-Ohayon et al. 2010; Kroenke et al., 2013; Hughes, Jaremka, Alfano, Glaser, Povoski, Lipari, Agnese, Farrar, Yee, Carson & Malarkey, 2014; Hasson-Ohayon, Tuval-Mashiach, Goldzweig, Levi, Pizem & Kaufman, 2016; Neff, 2016). Extended family networks provide emotional support, help to deal with psychological distress of surgery and feel more comfortable opening up to female family members, therefore, improving quality of life (Jutagir et al., 2016; Spatuzzi, Vespa, Lorenzi, Miccinesi, Ricciuti, Cifarelli, Susi, Fabrizio, Ferrari, Ottaviani & Giulietti, 2016).

Research carried out in recent years on quality of life after experiencing breast cancer has been assessed using the Quality of Life/Breast Cancer Scale (QoL/BCS) developed by Ferrell, Grant, Hassey-Dow, (1995). This scale has been used in many research studies, it accesses four areas physical, psychological, social, and spiritual well-being. It asks such questions such as "How good is your quality of life" and "How satisfying is your life" (Ferrell et al., 1995). Previous research has shown the devastating effects any type of cancer can have on the body and support systems, research helps to illuminate the problems in the areas, of financial cost of treatments and drugs, actions that heighten the risk of cancer development, survival rates and damages caused to the suffers view of their body image, body appreciation and quality of life after breast cancer.

Research around breast cancer is invaluable in that every research study expands and modifies the research pool of knowledge and gain a deeper understanding about breast cancer to improve services and cater for women who are active in treatment, survivors and future individuals. A vast amount of research has been carried out on the physical effects of breast cancer, however, more research needs to be done on the psychological impacts of breast cancer. Research around breast cancer helps to create interventions, support groups and psychological services for women after experiencing breast cancer. Extremely limited research papers focus on all three all three variables (body appreciation, body image and quality of life) together or have compared results against that of a healthy control group. The research aim of this present study is to examine, the link between breast cancer, body image, quality of life and body appreciation using two samples (1) breast cancer group of women aged 30-65 who have received surgery because of breast cancer, (2) healthy control group of women aged 30-65 and five hypotheses. (1) The healthy control group will score higher on the Quality of Life (QoL) and Body Appreciation Scale (BAS) than the women who have experienced breast cancer. (2) There will be a significant relationship between treatment type and body image, quality of life and body appreciation score for women after breast cancer. (3) Women who have had breast reconstruction or breast conservation will have a higher body image score than those women who did not get breast reconstruction or breast conservation. (4) Time since diagnosis will be associated with higher body appreciation and body image scores in the breast cancer group. (5) Demographics (age, children, BMI, relationship status (Married/ Partner, Single), body appreciation scores and body image subscales (vulnerability, arm concerns, body concerns, body stigma, transparency, limitations) will predict higher levels of Quality of Life (QoL) for women after breast cancer.

Method

Participants

Participants in the present research study consisted of an opportunistic sample of 200 women. Data from 22 participants had to be excluded due to incomplete questionnaires. Therefore, 178 women were included in the present study. A control group consisting of 89 healthy women from Cavan, Leitrim, Sligo, Dublin, Offaly, Galway, Clare and Donegal. A breast cancer group consisting of 89 women from Greystones, Enniscorthy, Wicklow, Galway and Mayo breast cancer support centres who had received one of four surgeries (single mastectomy, double mastectomy, single mastectomy with breast reconstruction, double mastectomy with breast reconstruction or breast conservation) because of breast cancer to receive the optimal overview of women who have received breast cancer related surgery. Breast cancer subgroups (1) single mastectomy consisted of 22 participants, (2) double mastectomy consisted of 18 participants, (3) single mastectomy with breast reconstruction consisted of 20 participants, (4) double mastectomy with breast reconstruction consisted of 16 participants, (5) breast conservation consisted of 13 participants. Participants ranged in ages from 30-65, (M = 49.61, SD = 9.30) the median age was 53. Both the breast cancer group and the healthy control group displayed a mean of 1.50. Cronbach's Alpha for the current sample is $(\alpha = .8)$.

Measures/Materials

Four measures were used in the present research study (1) Demographic factors and treatment type (2) Body Appreciation Scale (BAS), (3) Quality of Life Questionnaire/Breast Cancer version (QoL/BC), (4) Body Image after Breast Cancer questionnaire (BIBCQ).

(1) Information on demographic factors (age, relationship status (Married/ Partner, Single), children, height and weight) and type of treatment received were collected using a mailed questionnaire.

(2) Body Appreciation Scale (BAS) developed by Tylka, Wood-Barcalow and Avalos (2005) is a self-report scale of measure consisting of 13 items related to positive body image measuring the amount of appreciation one has for their body by examining areas such as acceptance, respect, favourable options towards themselves. The body appreciation scale is a 5 item Likert scale ranging from 1 (never) to 5 (always), with high scores being associated with a higher level of body appreciation. A total sore can be achieved by adding all the scores, a score close to the maximum of 65 indicates a high body appreciation. The scale contains questions such as "I have a positive attitude towards my body" and "I do not allow unrealistically thin images of women presented in the media to affect my attitudes towards my body" (Tylka et al., 2005). This scale has been widely used in breast cancer research and displays a Cronbach's Alpha of .94 indicating a high level of internal consistency and reliability (Swami, 2008; 2009; Tylka, & Wood-Barcalow, 2015; Marta-Simões, Mendes, Oliveira, Trindade & Ferreira, 2016). (See Appendix, E).

(3) Quality of Life Questionnaire/Breast Cancer version (QoL/BC) developed by Ferrell, Grant Hassey-Dow (1995) is a self-repost scale containing 46 items related to four major subscales (physical wellbeing, psychological wellbeing, social concerns and spiritual wellbeing) and two minor subscales (distress and fear). This measure is a 10 item Likert scale ranging from 0 (no problem, no fear, no distress, not at all important) and 10 (severe problem, very distressing, extreme fear, very important). The scale contains questions such as "How much concern do you have for your daughter(s) or close female relatives regarding breast cancer?" and "Has your illness or treatment caused changes in your self-concept (the way you see yourself)?" (Ferrell, Grant Hassey-Dow, 1995). This questionnaire displays a moderate internal consistency and reliability with a Cronbach's Alpha of .70 (Mollica, Nemeth, Newman, Mueller & Sterba, 2014; Sawyer, 2014). Several questions were to be recoded, for example, the participant circled 4 as the answer of an item, (4-10 = 6) the answer is recorded as six. Items to be recoded are: 1-7, 9, 10, 17-29, 31, 33-39 & 43. (See Appendix, C &D)

(4) Body Image after Breast Cancer Questionnaire (BIBCQ) developed by Baxter, Goodwin, Mcleod, Dion, Devins, Bombardier (2006) is a 5 item Likert selfreport measure consisting of 53 questions, responses ranging from 1 (Strongly Disagree/ Never/ Almost Never) to 5 (Strongly Agree/ Always/ Almost Always). This measure consists of six subscales vulnerability (feelings of susceptibility of the body to cancer and illnesses), transparency (concerns about obviousness of cancer related changes to appearance), body stigma (feelings of a need to keep the body hidden), arm concerns (concerns for arm symptoms and appearance), body concerns (satisfaction with body appearance and shape), limitations (feelings about competence and ability). Each subscale containing questions such as "I try to hide my body" (Body Stigma) and "I have problems concentrating" (Limitations). Cronbach Alpha for this measure is reported as .90 displaying high internal consistency and reliability (Baxter, Goodwin, Mcleod, Dion, Devins, Bombardier, 2006; Zhang, Zhu, Tang, Chen, Wang, Yang & Li, 2014). (See Appendix, A & B).

Design

The present research study used a non-experimental, cross-sectional, quantative research design. The key independent variable in the present research was breast cancer with five subdivisions, single, double mastectomy, single, double mastectomy with breast reconstruction and breast conservation. Key dependent variables were body appreciation, quality of life subscales (physical, psychological, (distress, fear), social and spiritual), body image subscales (vulnerability, limitations, arm concerns, body concerns, body stigma and transparency) and demographic variables (age, children, relationship status and BMI).

Procedure

Each breast cancer support centre received 20 posted questionnaires with an information letter and consent form attached for participants after letters of permission and confirmation from the board of directors from each breast cancer support centre were received stating they were giving their permission to carry out the study in their breast cancer support centre and that they had the appropriate staff members, for example, psychologists or counsellors in the event a participant may become distressed. Participants were asked to complete the three questionnaires taking on average 7-10 minutes to complete after the information letter was explained and questions answered. Participants were instructed to answer each questionnaire to the best of their ability in an honest and meaningful manner. The participants were informed they could stop the completion of the questionnaires at any time. After approx. four weeks, the completed questionnaires from all breast cancer support group were posted back to the researcher.

Participants in the breast cancer group were placed into five groups depending on treatment type received (1) single mastectomy, (2) double mastectomy, (3) single mastectomy with breast reconstruction, (4) double mastectomy with breast reconstruction, (5) breast conservation. Subscales from both the quality of life questionnaire and body image after breast questionnaire were removed as questions contained in the subscales were not applicable to a healthy population. The minor subscales of distress and fear in quality of life were removed as they were directly related to how fearful one was of cancer reoccurrence and how distressful one found their treatment. Body image subscales of arm concerns, vulnerability, body stigma and transparency were removed from the questionnaire as they were directly related to cancer experiences, susceptibility of the body to cancer, changes to body and arm appearance after cancer surgery.

Several questions were reworded to be considered suitable to the healthy population and to suit the time frame of the last two years, for example, "How difficult is it for you to cope today as a result of your treatment" was reworded to "How difficult has it been for you to cope over the last two years". Helpline numbers were provided in the information letter in the event any participants experienced emotional distress as a result of questions contained in the questionnaires. Each breast cancer support centre was asked to asked to state they had the relevant individuals such as therapists, psychotherapists or psychologists present to deal with any support issues. Participants were debriefed after completing the questionnaires, participants were told why the research was carried out and the part they played in the research study.

Data Analysis

Data was analysed using two Independent Sample T-tests, two Pearson Product-Moment Coefficients and one Hierarchical multiple regression analysis. Hypothesis 1 was examined using an Independent Samples T-test to compare scores from the body appreciation scale and the quality of life questionnaire subscales of physical wellbeing, psychological wellbeing (fear and distress), social wellbeing and spiritual wellbeing between the healthy control group and the breast cancer group. Hypothesis 2 was investigated using a Pearson Product-Moment Coefficient to examine the relationship between the type of surgical treatment received and body image, quality of life subscales physical, psychological (distress or fear), social, spiritual wellbeing, body image subscales (body stigma, vulnerability, transparency, body concerns, arm concerns, limitations) and body appreciation.

Hypothesis 3 was tested using an Independent Samples T-test to compare scores from the body image subscales of body stigma, limitations, body concerns, transparency, arm concerns and vulnerability of women who had received a single or double mastectomy with breast reconstruction or breast conservation and women who had received a single or double mastectomy without reconstruction. Hypothesis 4 was investigated using a Pearson Product-Moment Coefficient to examine the relationship between time since diagnosis and higher body image and body appreciation scores for women in the breast cancer group. A Hierarchical multiple regression was preformed to examine hypothesis 5 to investigate the ability of demographic factors (children, relationship status (Married/ Partner, Single), body mass index (BMI), age) to predict higher levels of quality of life for women after breast cancer after controlling for the body appreciation scale and body image subscales (body concern, limitations, transparency, arm concerns, vulnerability, body stigma).

Results

Descriptive statistics

Descriptive statistics display frequencies for demographic variables for both the control group and breast cancer group.

Table 1. Frequencies for the current sample of the healthy control group and breast cancer survivors for each demographic variable relationship status, children, body mass index (N = 178)

Variable	Frequency	Valid Percentage
Group		
Healthy Control Group	89	50.0
Breast Cancer Survivor	89	50.0
Relationship Status		
Married/Partner	112	62.9
Single	66	37.1
Children		
Yes	113	63.5
No	65	36.5
Body Mass Index (BMI)		
Under 19	6	3.4
20-24	52	32.6
25-35	90	50.6
30+	30	16.9
Age		
30-35	13	7.30
36-41	27	14.6
42-47	36	20.2
48-53	43	24.2
54-59	27	15.2
60+	32	18

Descriptive statistics displaying mean (M), 95 % confidence intervals, standard error mean (SE), standard deviation (SD), median and range for body appreciation scale, treatment and when diagnosed, children, relationship, age, quality of life subscale and body image subscale (N=178).

Breast Cancer Group **Control Group** Variables Mean (95% CI) SE SD Mean (95% CI) SE Μ Μ SD Treatment 2.78 (2.48-3.07) .15 3.00 1.39 ___ __ __ ___ __ Diagnosed 3.04 (2.84-3.25) 3.00 .95 .10 Children 2.75 (2.26-3.25) .25 2.00 2.34 1.82 (1.36-2.28) .23 1.00 2.19 Rel .66 (.56 - .76) .64 (0.54 - 0.75) .05 1.00 .05 1.00 .47 .48 Age 54.16 (52.55-55.76) .80 53.50 7.65 45.16 (44.88-45.00) .93 45.00 8.86 Body App 49.58 (48.15-51.02) .72 50.00 6.80 48.56 (46.72-50.40) .92 38.00 8.70 **Quality of life** Ph.Wel 48.73 (46.47-50.99) 1.13 48 10.73 57.98 (55.21-60.75) 1.39 60 13.15 Psy.Wel 63.36 (60.27-66.45) 1.55 63 14.66 67.74 (64.54-70.95)1.61 67 15.22 Distress 36.69 (34.52-38.85) 1.09 36 10.29 __ __ Fear 26.16 (24.16-28.15) 1.00 27 9.46 ___ Social 49.67 (46.94-52.40) 1.37 57.47 (54.33-60.61) 1.58 59 14.89 51 12.96 50 42.45 (40.91-43.98) .77 43 7.29 Spiritual 41.96 (39.73-44.18) .72 6.80 **Body Image** Vul 31.76 (30.41-33.12) .68 6.44 31 Tra 12.54 (11.77-13.31) .39 3.67 12 BS 61.06 (59.88-62.23) .59 61 5.58 ___ ___ BC 20.22 (19.53-20.92) .35 20 3.31 ___ __ AC 12.75 (11.90-13.61) .68 31 6.44 22 Lim 21.89 (21.25-22.52) .32 3.02 16.17 (15.95-16.39) .11 38 1.08

Table 2. Descriptive statistics for the body appreciation scale, treatment and when diagnosed, children, relationship, age, quality of life subscales, body image subscales, treatment and when diagnosed for both groups. (N=178).

Note. Relt = Reltationship; Body App = Body Appreciation; Ph. Wel = Physical Wellbeing; Psy. Wel = Psychological Wellbeing; Vul= Vulnerability; Tra= Transparency; BS = Body Stigma; BC = Body Concern; AC = Arm Concerns; Lim= Limitations. Descriptive statistics displayed no significant difference in ages between the breast cancer group (M = 54.16, SD = 7.65) and the healthy control group (M = 45.16, SD = 8.81), therefore, enabling both groups to be compared against each other when applicable.

Independent Samples T-test

Table 3. Group differences for breast cancer group and healthy control group for body appreciation and quality of life subscales.

Variable	Group	Ν	М	SD	t
Body App	Breast Cancer	89	49.58	6.81	.872
	Control	89	48.56	8.72	
Quality of Life					
Physical	Breast Cancer	89	48.73	10.73	-5.14*
	Control	89	57.98	13.14	
Psychological	Breast Cancer	89	63.36	14.66	-1.96*
	Control	89	67.74	15.21	
Distress	Breast Cancer	89	36.69	10.25	-4.03
	Control				
Fear	Breast Cancer	89	21.09	26.16	9.46
	Control	89			
Social	Breast Cancer	89	49.67	12.96	-3.73
	Control	89	57.47	14.89	
Spiritual	Breast Cancer	89	41.96	10.55	364
	Control	89	42.45	7.28	

Note. Body App = Body Appreciation; Statistical significance: *p < .05

An independent samples T-test was conducted for hypothesis 1 to compare scores from the body appreciation scale and the quality of life questionnaire subscales of physical wellbeing, psychological wellbeing (fear and distress), social wellbeing and spiritual wellbeing between the healthy control group and the breast cancer group. Results demonstrate a significant difference in quality of life subscales physical and psychological scores between both the healthy control group and the breast cancer group, t(169.20) = -5.14, p = .001, with the healthy control group (M = 57.98, SD = 13.15) scoring higher than those in the breast cancer group (M = 48.73, SD = 10.73) in the physical wellbeing subscale. The breast cancer group (M = 63.36, SD = 14.66) scored lower on the psychological wellbeing subscale than the healthy control group (M = 67.74, SD = 15.22). The magnitude in the differences of means (mean difference = -4.38, 95% CI: -12.80 to -5.70) was large (Cohen's d = .9). Results indicated no significant difference between the breast cancer group and the healthy control group, t(166.2) = .872, p = .38, with the control group (M = 48.56, SD = 8.72) scoring lower in the body appreciation scale than the breast cancer group (M = 49.58, SD = 6.81). The magnitude in the differences of means (mean difference = .872, 95% CI: -1.29 to -3.34) was small (Cohen's d = .2).

Table 4. Group differences for single or double mastectomy without reconstruction and single or double mastectomy with reconstruction or breast conservation for body image subscales.

Variable	Group	Ν	М	SD	t
Body Image Question	naire				
Body Stigma	SM/DM	49	60.20	5.81	-1.68
	SM/DM/BR/BC	39	62.21	5.19	
Limitations	SM/DM	49	22.27	3.15	1.54
	SM/DM/BR/BC	39	21.28	2.71	
Body Concerns	SM/DM	49	20.63	3.30	1.28
	SM/DM/BR/BC	39	19.73	3.36	
Transparency	SM/DM	49	12.86	3.67	.903
	SM/DM/BR/BC	39	12.15	4.19	
Arm Concerns	SM/DM	49	21.09	4.09	6.68*
	SM/DM/BR/BC	39	10.18	2.10	
Vulnerability	SM/DM	49	31.41	6.62	516
	SM/DM/BR/BC	39	32.13	6.34	

Note. SM = Single Mastectomy; DM = Double Mastectomy; SM/BR = Single Mastectomy with Breast Reconstruction; DM/BR = Double Mastectomy with Breast Reconstruction; Statistical significance: *p < .05

An independent samples T test was carried out for hypothesis 3 to compare scores from the body image subscales of body stigma, limitations, body concerns, transparency, arm concerns and vulnerability of women who had received a single or double mastectomy with breast reconstruction or breast conservation and women who had received a single or double mastectomy without reconstruction. Results demonstrate there was a significant difference in the quality of life subscale arm concerns between both groups, t(74.65) = 6.68, p = .001, with women who received a single or double mastectomy with breast reconstruction or breast conservation (M = 14.69, SD = 4.09) scoring higher on the arm concerns subscale than those women who received a single or double mastectomy without a breast reconstruction (M = 10.18, SD = 2.10). The magnitude of differences of means (mean difference = 4.51, 95% CI: 3.17 to 5.86) was very large (Cohen's d = 2.7).

Correlations

Table 5. Correlations between treatment type and quality of life subscales and body image subscales (N = 89)

Variables	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
1 Tre. Ty	1	.43												
2 Ph. Well	.19	1	.48											
3 Ps. Well	.07	.25*	1	.32										
4 Distress	.16	.18	.05	1.	53									
5 Fear	.10	.05	04	.26*	1	.49								
6 Soc. Con	02	.42**	• .36**	.36**	.17	1	.45							
7 Spt. Well	09	.16	.30**	.16	09	.27**	1	.52						
8 Body Stig	19	.13	90	.03	.02	.01**	01	1	.20					
9 Limitat	.07	.05	.05	.07	.14	.07	.10	.23*	1	.64				
10 Bod Con	.08	.09	.17	.09	.03	.19	03	37**	07	1	.05			
11 Arm Con	.45**	04	.27*	.12	.08	.02	.01	30**	.03	01	1	.67		
12 Vuln	15	.13	19	06	.01	02	.04	.48**	.29**	32**	.23*	1	.59	
13 Transp	.11	.14	.14	.09	.14	.04	.30**	.28**	.38**	21*	.04	.26*	1	.75
14 BAS	34	.12	.42**	.13	.15	.20*	.26*	04	.10	.03	.14	11	.06	1
Means	2.78	48.73	63.36	36.69	26.16	49.67	41.96	61.06	21.89	20.22	12.75	31.76	12.54	49.58
SD	1.38	10.73	14.66	10.29	9.46	12.96	10.55	5.58	3.02	3.32	4.05	6.44	3.67	6.80
Range	4	57	103	48	47	56	52	34	27	15	16	17	33	16

Note. Phy. Well = Physical Wellbeing; Psy. Well = Psychological Wellbeing; Soc Con = Social Concerns; Spt Well = Spiritual Wellbeing; Body Stig = Body Stigma; Limitat = Limitations; Body Con = Body Concerns; Arm Con = Arm Concerns Vuln = Vulnerability; Transp = Transparency; BAS = Body Appreciation Scale; SD = Standard Deviation; Cronbach's Alpha highlighted in bold numbering; Statistical significance: *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01

Hypothesis 2 was tested using a Pearson Product-Moment Coefficient to examine the relationship between the type of surgical treatment received and body image, quality of life subscales physical, psychological (distress or fear), social, spiritual wellbeing, body image subscales (body stigma, vulnerability, transparency, body concerns, arm concerns, limitations) and body appreciation. Spearman's Rho was carried out as the preliminary analysis revealed non-normally distributed data, analysis demonstrated a weak to moderate, positive relationship between treatment type and quality of life subscales physical wellbeing, psychological wellbeing, distress, fear and the body image questionnaire subscales limitations, body concerns, arm concerns and transparency.

Therefore, this indicated the type of surgical treatment received is associated with higher levels of physical and psychological wellbeing, lower levels of fear and distress experienced and can be linked to higher confidence in competence (limitations), satisfaction with arm appearance (arm concerns), body shape (body concerns) and lower levels of concern in relation to cancer related appearance changes (transparency). However, analysis revealed a weak to moderate, negative relationship between treatment type and the body appreciation scale, quality of life subscales social concerns, spiritual wellbeing and body image questionnaire subscales body stigma and vulnerability. This indicates type of treatment received is associated with lower levels of spiritual wellbeing, body appreciation, higher levels of feelings of susceptibility to cancer (vulnerability), hiding one's body (body stigma) and higher levels of social concern.

Variables	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1. Time Sin	1	.52						
2. Body Stig	02	1	.20					
3. Limitat	17	.23	1	.64				
4. Body Con	.10	37**	07	1	.05			
5. Arm Con	.03	30**	.47**	01	1	.67		
6. Vuln	07	.48**	.29**	32**	23*	1	.59	
7. Transp	02	.28**	.38**	21*	.04	.26*	1	.75
8. BAS	02	04	.10	.03	.14	11	.06	1
9. Means	8.25	61.08	21.89	20.22	12.75	31.76	12.54	49.58
10. SD	5.26	5.58	3.02	3.32	4.05	6.44	3.67	6.81
Range	21	34	27	15	16	17	33	16

Table 6. Descriptive statistics, reliability and correlations for time since diagnosis and body image and body appreciation scores. (N = 89)

Note. Time Sin = Time Since; Body Stig = Body Stigma; Limitat = Limitations; Body Con = Body Concerns; Arm Con = Arm Concerns Vuln = Vulnerability; Transp = Transparency; BAS = Body Appreciation Scale; SD = Standard Deviation; Cronbach's Alpha highlighted in bold; Statistical significance: *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01

Hypothesis 4 was tested using a Pearson Product-Moment Coefficient to examine the relationship between time since diagnosis and higher body image and body appreciation scores for women in the breast cancer group. Spearman's Rho was carried out as preliminary analysis revealed non-normally distributed data, analysis demonstrated a weak positive relationship between time since diagnosis and body image subscales of body concern and arm concerns. Indicating time since diagnosis is associated with a lower level of concern for bodily appearance and shape of arms (arm concern) and a higher level of body satisfaction (body concern). Results demonstrated a negative relationship between time since diagnosis and body appreciation and subscales of body image of body stigma, limitations, transparency and vulnerability. This indicates time since diagnosis is associated with lower levels of body appreciation, feelings of competence (limitations), higher levels of feelings of suitability to cancer, bodily illness, higher levels of concealment of the body and lower levels of acceptance to cancer related changes to appearance.

Hierarchical Multiple Regression

Table 7. Hierarchical	$\frac{Regressio}{R}$	$\frac{n mode}{R^2}$	$\frac{r o g Q u u u y}{R^2}$	B	SE	β	t
			Change	2	~2	P	-
Step 1	.30	.09					
Age				1.42	.56	.27**	2.52
Children				13.54	10.05	.14	1.35
Body Mass Index				2.70	5.30	.05	.51
Relationship Status				-2.67	9.19	03	29
Step 2	.53**	.28*	.19**				
Age				1.77	.53	.33***	3.31
Children				9.55	9.50	.10	1.00
Body Mass Index				74	5.21	01	14
Relationship				.18	8.64	.002	.02
Body Stigma				1.46	.91	.20	1.60
Limitations				1.18	1.55	.08	.76
Body Concerns				3.78	1.38	.31**	2.73
Arm Concerns				2.38	1.06	.24**	2.23
Vulnerability				13	.73	02	17
Transparency				2.54	1.25	.23*	.23
Body Appreciation				2.02	.59	.37***	3.45

Table 7. Hierarchical Regression Model of Quality of Life

Note. Statistical Significance: *p < .05; **p < .01; ***p < .001

Hierarchical multiple regression was preformed to examine hypothesis 5 to investigate the ability of demographic factors (children, relationship status Married/Partner, Single), body mass index (BMI), age) to predict higher levels of quality of life for women after breast cancer after controlling for the body appreciation scale and body image subscales (body concern, limitations, transparency, arm concerns, vulnerability, body stigma). Preliminary analysis was carried out to ensure no violation of linearity, homoscedasticity and normality occurred. Correlations existing between predictor variables are displayed in Table. 7. All correlations displayed a weak to moderate relationship ranging between r = .05 to -.46, Predictor variables were correlated with quality of life except for six variables children, body mass index (BMI), relationship status (Married/Partner, Single), body stigma (feelings of a need to keep the body hidden), limitations (feelings about competence and ability) and vulnerability (feelings of susceptibility of the body to cancer and illnesses) indicating the data was suitable for the multiple linear regression analysis.

Hierarchical multiple regression step 1 included four predictor variables, demographic variables (children, age, BMI, relationship status). Results demonstrate a non-statistically significant model F (4, 84) = 2.07, p < .09, explaining 9% of variance in quality of life displayed in Table. 7. Body image subscales of limitations, body concerns, arm concerns, body stigma, vulnerability, transparency and total body appreciation were entered in step 2 displaying total variance explained by the model as 37.4% F (11, 77) = 4.22, p < .001. Entering body image subscales of body stigma, limitations, body concerns, arm concerns, vulnerability, transparency and total body appreciation explained an additional 28.6% variance for quality of life, after controlling for age, children, body mass index (BMI) and relationship status (R² Change = .28; F (7, 77) = 5.05; p = .00. Overall, five Predictor Variables (body appreciation, body concerns, arm concerns, transparency, age) uniquely predicted higher levels of quality of life, furthermore, children, body mass index (BMI), relationship, body stigma, limitations and vulnerability did not uniquely predict higher levels of quality of life. Age was found to have the strongest effect on quality of life.

Discussion

The purpose of the present study was to explore the link between breast cancer and body image, quality of life and body appreciation. The present research study consisted of 5 main hypotheses, (1) The healthy control group will score higher on the Quality of Life (QoL) and Body Appreciation Scale (BAS) than the women who have experienced breast cancer. (2) There will be a significant relationship between treatment type and body image, quality of life and body appreciation score for women after breast cancer. (3) Women who have had breast reconstruction or breast conservation will have a higher body image score than those women who did not get breast reconstruction or breast conservation. (4) Time since diagnosis will be associated with higher body appreciation and body image scores in the breast cancer group. (5) Demographics (age, children, BMI, relationship status), body appreciation scores and body image subscales (vulnerability, arm concerns, body concerns, body stigma, transparency, limitations) will predict higher levels of Quality of Life (QoL) for women after breast cancer.

Results demonstrate healthy women have a higher quality of life than those women who have experience breast cancer is in correlation with previous research stating healthy women display a higher quality of life and women who have experienced breast cancer display lower levels of quality of life due age at diagnosis, presenting high levels of self-blame and psychological distress which has a negative impact on quality of life (Scott & Kayser 2009; Brunault et al., 2015; Razdan et al., 2016; Brandberg et al., 2008; Chen et al., 2010; Bloom et al., 2004; Kroenke et al., 2013). Indicating the hypothesis was partly supported. However, an unexpected result displays women who have experienced breast cancer demonstrating higher levels of psychological wellbeing, body esteem, lower levels of body surveillance and body shame than healthy women.

However, findings from the present study reveal lower quality of life is associated with higher body appreciation, this finding conflicts with previous findings which suggest lower levels of quality of life are associated with lower levels of body appreciation (Koch et al., 2014; Begovic-Juhant et al., 2012). Studies suggest this result may be related to women who have experienced breast cancer developing an appreciation that their body has survived throughout their traumatic experience of breast cancer treatment, surgery, recovery and they have a strong support system to help them to accept and become conformable with their changed body (Esmaili et al., 2010; Rezaei et al., 2016; Kroenke et al., 2013; Hasson-Ohayon, Goldzweig, Braun & Galinsky, 2010; Leung et al., 2014) and helps to encourage self-compassion (Neff, 2016) self -esteem and optimism which are associated with body appreciation (Drageset et al., 2015; Hughes et al., 2014; Tylka et al., 2015).

Previous research has demonstrated the type of treatment received impacts quality of life and body image which is in parallel with findings from hypothesis 2 and has been supported, treatment type has been associated with higher levels of quality of life, acceptance and satisfaction with appearance (Fobair et al., 2006; Baucom et al., 2006; Razdan et al., 2016). However, findings from the present study contradict previous research studies stating type of treatment received is associated with higher body appreciation, although, certain types of treatment such as double mastectomy with breast reconstruction is associated with higher levels of body appreciation and acceptance (Kroenke et al., 2013; Hasson-Ohayon, Goldzweig, Braun & Galinsky, 2010; Leung et al., 2014; Drageset et al., 2015), however, the present research study reveals the type of treatment received has a negative relationship with body appreciation which contradicts the current research that treatment type is associated with a higher body appreciation for women after breast cancer.

Past research demonstrates women who received a single mastectomy or a double mastectomy with breast reconstruction displayed lower levels of satisfaction with the appearance of their body after breast cancer surgery, this finding oppose current research findings as the general result of previous studies display higher levels of satisfaction with appearance after receiving a single mastectomy or a double mastectomy (Fobair et al., 2006; Baucom et al., 2006; Razdan et al., 2016; Pinell-White et al., 2015; Matthews et al., 2017; Fang et al., 2013; Fang et al., 2010).

Previous research has revealed the period of time since a diagnosis of breast cancer can have a positive impact on body appreciation, self-acceptance (Fobair et al., 2006; Fallbjörk et al., 2013), it has been suggested the longer the amount of time since diagnosis the higher aspects of life are such as quality of life, higher levels of acceptance to changes in the body caused by breast cancer, sexuality, less self-blame and anger (Gudenkauf et al., 2015; Ozkan et al., 2014). However, results from the present study reveal time since diagnosis is associated with lower levels of acceptance to changes in appearance resulting from cancer, lower levels of body appreciation and higher levels of body concealment and body appearance concerns. Research suggests time since diagnosis is associated with lower levels of body appreciation due to high levels of body image disturbance and body dissatisfaction after breast cancer surgery (Sherman, Woon, French & Elder, 2016; Przezdziecki et al., 2013; Raggio et al., 2014).

Researchers in the past hold the view that variables such as having children, being in a stable and loving relationship and exhibiting a lower body mass index (BMI) can greatly increase one's levels of quality of life acting as protective factors for quality of life (DiSipio et al., 2013; Brandberg et al., 2008; Chen et al., 2010; Jagsi, Li, Morrow, Janz, Alderman, Graff, Hamilton, Katz & Hawley, 2015). However, findings deduce being in a stable relationship, having children or a lower body mass index are unable to significantly predict higher levels of quality of life coming in conflict with research studies suggesting certain factors such as these have a protective or buffering relationship with quality of life. Age at the time of diagnosis and high levels of body appreciation have been found by previous researchers to have a strong predictive effect on quality of life, it has been suggested older women display higher levels of optimism, body satisfaction and appreciation leading to reduced concerns regarding body appearance therefore focusing on aspects that lead to higher levels of quality of life (Tiggemann et al., 2013; Rezaei et al., 2016).

Limitations

There were some limitations involved in this study, although quality of life has been defined using four major subscales (physical wellbeing, psychological wellbeing, social wellbeing and spiritual wellbeing) and two minor subscales (distress and fear) there are many other aspects to take into account that may affect quality of life after breast cancer such as financial distress, disruption and distress caused to normal family functioning, which can impact quality of life that have not been significantly taken into account on the quality of life questionnaire.

Also, the study failed to take into account the difference in culture which may have an impact on certain areas from both the quality of life questionnaire around the subscales social concerns and spiritual wellbeing. In eastern and middle eastern cultures women prefer solitude to help them to cope after breast cancer whereas western cultures provide women with large amounts of support to help her cope after breast cancer. Spiritual wellbeing fails to account for many different types of religions which each have different practices and ways of dealing with difficult life experiences involves. The lack of diversity in this questionnaire may be due to the fact that it was developed in America who may classify different aspects as being more important than others, for example, social support may be more important in a western society than it is in a middle eastern culture. It would have been beneficial to the present research study to have used a quality of life questionnaire that was more inclusive to all cultures as Ireland is such a culturally diverse country, leading to the results of the study not demonstrating a true general overview of the Irish breast cancer population in the areas of quality of life, body image and body appreciation after breast cancer.

Demographic factors requesting the age, height and weight of the participant to calculate body mass index (BMI) resulted in some women failing to fill in their age or weight. It would have been advantageous to the present research study to request BMI as it may not have been as intrusive. Questions contained in both quality of life subscales physical wellbeing, social concerns, body image subscales of body stigma and

transparency some women considered to be invasive to their personal privacy and refused to answer questions included in these subscales. This may have been attributed to the fact that women were asked to complete the questionnaires after a support group meeting, the presence of others may have influenced their decision not to complete the questions. Another limitation of the present research study included when participants instructed to complete the questionnaires by only answering what is on the page, a small number of women failed to answer questions. They proceeded to write notes as to why they were unable to complete the particular question, for example, a question presented in the quality of life subscale physical wellbeing (Rate your overall physical health from 0 (extremely poor) to 10 (excellent), some participants failed to answer the question as they wrote a list of why their health was poor. Although, the sample size was considerable it was extremely difficult to gather participants as support centres do not have a substantial number of women attending their centres in smaller rural places. It would have been of benefit to the present research study if hospitals or larger support centres had been approached to make the collection process of data easier.

Strengths

A number of strengths are associated with the present research study as it covers three important topics according to previous research studies never been investigated together. Results from this study will help place research into a new pool of breast cancer research to help to improve long term quality of life for women after breast cancer. The three measures used displayed high internal consistency and reliability therefore building reliable and valid foundations for the present research study. Results from the present research study are useful and beneficial to the breast cancer community and can be somewhat generalized to the breast cancer population of Ireland. Variables analysed in the present research study are important daily challenges women who have experienced breast cancer face and overcome, for example, body concerns, psychological wellbeing, sufficient social support, therefore, information obtained on variables such as these will be useful to present and future breast cancer survivors.

Implications

The present research study has many implications in relation to areas such as clinical settings. Clinicians working in the area of breast cancer diagnosis may benefit from the knowledge that age has a big impact on quality of life and suggest screening for breast cancer should be lowered from the age of 50 to 30 as a considerable number of women are being diagnosed with breast cancer in their early thirties. The earlier breast cancer is diagnosed the higher the chance of survival, more treatment options, higher success rates for treatment and long-term quality of life. It may be advantageous for clinicians to suggest to patients who require surgery to opt for breast reconstruction immediately after the mastectomy process as breast reconstruction results in higher levels of body satisfaction, decrease the psychological and physical impacts of breast cancer.

Health services in Ireland may benefit from the information that time since diagnosis impacts levels of body concern in regard to appearance, shape and negatively impacts body appreciation. It may be useful to health services to extend review appointments and request they attend support groups for emotional support of women who have experienced the same disease. More needs to be done in the areas of psychological and physical health for women after breast cancer as after surgery, health care services need to provide more physical therapy for women after breast cancer surgery and psychological services to help to improve quality of life for those affected.

More research needs to be carried out in the areas of age related quality of life after breast cancer as the amount of research available is limited. Although, there is a vast pool of research around the physical effects of breast cancer, researchers would benefit from more research from a psychological perspective to help improve quality of life after breast cancer. Additional research is required on cultural differences impact to quality of life as there is a limited amount of research on breast cancer in the eastern continents of the world, to discover is certain factors impact prognosis after breast cancer surgery, such as family, prayer, diet and so forth. Although a large amount of research has been carried out on the physical effects of breast cancer on quality of life, body image and body appreciation, this research helps to fill gaps and provides useful information to clinicians, health care providers and researchers. This research will be beneficial to women who have experienced breast cancer to help to improve services related to improving their overall quality of life, body image and body appreciation after breast cancer.

Conclusion

Many new facts were discovered as a result of the present research study such as women who have experienced breast cancer display higher body appreciation than healthy women and age is a significant predictor of higher levels of quality of life. Also, already established facts are improved in their validity and reliability such as the type of treatment received is associated with better physical and psychological outcomes, single or double mastectomy with breast reconstruction or breast conservation procedures give women higher levels of body satisfaction. The amount of time since diagnosis can be lead to less body appearance concerns and higher body satisfaction. These findings can lead to better long term prognosis after surgery, help women to achieve some normalcy in their lives by creating intervention groups or health services to improve psychological, physical outcomes and levels of body appreciation. Also, clinicians and cancer care nurses can use this information to try to lower feelings of cancer related changes to appearance, distress, fear, feelings of vulnerability and suitability after experiencing breast cancer. Future studies carried out on these particular variables will be beneficial to future women who will be diagnosed with breast cancer.

References

Abu-Nab, Z., & Grunfeld, E. A. (2007). Satisfaction with outcome and attitudes towards scarring among women undergoing breast reconstructive surgery. *Patient education and counseling*, 66(2), 243-249.

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17337153

Aerts, L., Christiaens, M. R., Enzlin, P., Neven, P., & Amant, F. (2014). Sexual functioning in women after mastectomy versus breast conserving therapy for earlystage breast cancer: a prospective controlled study. *The breast*, *23*(5), 629-636. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25082211

Albornoz, C. R., Matros, E., Lee, C. N., Hudis, C. A., Pusic, A. L., Elkin, E., Bach, P.
B., Cordeiro, P. G., & Morrow, M. (2015). Bilateral mastectomy versus breastconserving surgery for early-stage breast cancer: the role of breast reconstruction. *Plastic and reconstructive surgery*, *135*(6), 1518-1526.
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26017588

Al-Ghazal, S. K., Sully, L., Fallowfield, L., & Blamey, R. W. (2000). The psychological impact of immediate rather than delayed breast reconstruction. *European Journal of Surgical Oncology European Journal of Surgical Oncology*, 26(1), 17-19. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10718173

Allemani, C., Weir, H. K., Carreira, H., Harewood, R., Spika, D., Wang, X. S., Bannon,
F., Ahn, J. V., Johnson, C. J., Bonaventure, A., Marcos-Gragera, R. Stiller,
C., Azevedo e Silva, G., Chen, Q., Ogunbiyi, O.J., Rachet, B., Soeberg, M.J., You,
H., Matsuda, T., Bielska-Lasota, M., Storm, H., Tucker, T.C., Coleman, MP. (2015).
Global surveillance of cancer survival 1995–2009: analysis of individual data for 25
676 887 patients from 279 population-based registries in 67 countries (CONCORD2). *The Lancet*, 385(9972), 977-1010.

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4588097/

Antoni, M. H., Jacobs, J. M., Bouchard, L. C., Lechner, S. C., Jutagir, D. R., Gudenkauf, L. M., Blomberg, B.B., <u>Glück</u>, S.,& Carver, C. S. (2017). Post-surgical depressive symptoms and long-term survival in non-metastatic breast cancer patients at 11-year follow-up. *General Hospital Psychiatry*, *44*, 16-21. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28041571

Avalos, L., Tylka, T. L., & Wood-Barcalow, N. (2005). The Body Appreciation Scale: development and psychometric evaluation. *Body image*, *2*(3), 285-297. http://psycnet.apa.org/psycinfo/2005-16149-007

Balmain, A., Gray, J., & Ponder, B. (2003). The genetics and genomics of cancer. *Nature genetics*, *33*, 238-244. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12610533

Barcaccia, B., Esposito, G., Matarese, M., Bertolaso, M., Elvira, M., & De Marinis, M.
G. (2013). Defining quality of life: a wild-goose chase?. *Europe's Journal of Psychology*, 9(1), 185-203. http://ejop.psychopen.eu/article/view/484

Baucom, D. H., Porter, L. S., Kirby, J. S., Gremore, T. M., & Keefe, F. J. (2006). Psychosocial issues confronting young women with breast cancer. *Breast disease*, 23(1), 103-113. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16823173

Baxter, N. N., Goodwin, P. J., Mcleod, R. S., Dion, R., Devins, G., & Bombardier, C. (2006). Reliability and validity of the body image after breast cancer questionnaire. *The breast journal*, *12*(3), 221-232. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16684320

Begovic-Juhant, A., Chmielewski, A., Iwuagwu, S., & Chapman, L. A. (2012). Impact of body image on depression and quality of life among women with breast cancer. *Journal of psychosocial oncology*, *30*(4), 446-460. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22747107

Bellino, S., Fenocchio, M., Zizza, M., Rocca, G., Bogetti, P., & Bogetto, F. (2011). Quality of life of patients who undergo breast reconstruction after mastectomy: effects of personality characteristics. *Plastic and reconstructive surgery*, *127*(1), 10-17. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21200194

Berry, D. A., Cronin, K. A., Plevritis, S. K., Fryback, D. G., Clarke, L., Zelen, M., Mandelblatt, J. S., Yakovlev, A. Y., Habbema, J. D., & Feuer, E. J. (2005). Effect of screening and adjuvant therapy on mortality from breast cancer. *New England Journal of Medicine*, *353*(17), 1784-1792. https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007%2F978-1-4419-0685-4_1#page-1

Bloom, J. R., Stewart, S. L., Chang, S., & Banks, P. J. (2004). Then and now: quality of life of young breast cancer survivors. *Psycho-Oncology*,*13*(3), 147-160. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15022150

Bolte, S., & Zebrack, B. (2008, May). Sexual issues in special populations: adolescents and young adults. In *Seminars in oncology nursing* (Vol. 24, No. 2, p. 115). https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18442674

Boquiren, V. M., Esplen, M. J., Wong, J., Toner, B., Warner, E., & Malik, N. (2016).
Sexual functioning in breast cancer survivors experiencing body image
disturbance. *Psycho-Oncology*, 25(1), 66-76.
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25916689

Brandberg, Y., Sandelin, K., Erikson, S., Jurell, G., Liljegren, A., Lindblom, A., Lindén, A., von Wachenfeldt, A., Wickman, M., & Arver, B. (2008). Psychological reactions, quality of life, and body image after bilateral prophylactic mastectomy in women at high risk for breast cancer: a prospective 1-year follow-up study. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, *26*(24), 3943-3949.

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18711183

Brunault, P., Champagne, A. L., Huguet, G., Suzanne, I., Senon, J. L., Body, G., Rusch,E., Magnin, G., Voyer, M., Réveillère, C., & Camus, V. (2015). Major depressivedisorder, personality disorders, and coping strategies are independent risk factors for

lower quality of life in non-metastatic breast cancer patients. *Psycho-oncology*, 25(5), 513. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26356037

Brunet, J., Sabiston, C. M., & Burke, S. (2013). Surviving breast cancer: Women's experiences with their changed bodies. *Body Image*, *10*(3), 344-351. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23490552

Burstein, H. J., Prestrud, A. A., Seidenfeld, J., Anderson, H., Buchholz, T. A.,
Davidson, N. E., Gelmon, K.E, Giordano, S.H, Hudis, C.A., Malin, J., & Mamounas, E.
P. (2010). American Society of Clinical Oncology clinical practice guideline: Update on adjuvant endocrine therapy for women with hormone receptor–positive breast cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 28(23), 3784-3796.
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2936467/

Champion, V. L., Wagner, L. I., Monahan, P. O., Daggy, J., Smith, L., Cohee, A., Ziner, K.W., Haase, J.E., Miller, K.D., Pradhan, K., & Unverzagt, F. W. (2014). Comparison of younger and older breast cancer survivors and age-matched controls on specific and overall quality of life domains. *Cancer*, *120*(15), 2237-2246. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4158315/

Chen, C. M., Cano, S. J., Klassen, A. F., King, T., McCarthy, C., Cordeiro, P. G., Morrow, M., & Pusic, A. L. (2010). Measuring quality of life in oncologic breast surgery: a systematic review of patient-reported outcome measures. *The breast journal*, *16*(6), 587-597. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21070435

Cohen, M., Mabjish, A. A., & Zidan, J. (2011). Comparison of Arab breast cancer survivors and healthy controls for spousal relationship, body image, and emotional distress. *Quality of Life Research*, 20(2), 191-198. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11136-010-9747-9

Coldman, A., Phillips, N., Wilson, C., Decker, K., Chiarelli, A. M., Brisson, J., Zhang, B., Payne, J., Doyle, G., & Ahmad, R. (2014). Pan-Canadian study of mammography

screening and mortality from breast cancer. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, *106*(11). https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25274578

Coleman, M.P., Quaresma, M., Berrino, F., Lutz, J.M., De Angelis, R., Capocaccia,
R., Baili, P., Rachet, B., Gatta, G., Hakulinen, T., Micheli, A., Sant, M., Weir,
H.K., Elwood, J.M., Tsukuma, H., Koifman, S.E., Silva, G.A., Francisci,
S., Santaquilani, M., Verdecchia, A., Storm, H.H., & Young, J.L. (2008). Cancer
Survival in five continents: a worldwide population based study (CONCORD). Lancet
Journal of Oncology, 9, 730-756. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18639491%20

Cvetković, J., & Cvetković, M. (2017). Investigation of the depression in breast cancer patients by computational intelligence technique. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *68*, 228-231. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S074756321630783X

DiSipio, T., Rye, S., Newman, B., & Hayes, S. (2013). Incidence of unilateral arm lymphoedema after breast cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *The lancet oncology*, *14*(6), 500-515. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23540561

Drageset, S., Lindstrøm, T. C., Giske, T., & Underlid, K. (2016). Women's experiences of social support during the first year following primary breast cancer surgery. *Scandinavian journal of caring sciences*, *30*(2), 340. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26179112

Ellberg, C., Jernström, H., Broberg, P., Borg, Å., & Olsson, H. (2015). Impact of a paternal origin of germline BRCA1/2 mutations on the age at breast and ovarian cancer diagnosis in a Southern Swedish cohort. *Genes, Chromosomes and Cancer*, *54*(1), 39-50. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25251729

Eltahir, Y., Werners, L. L., Dreise, M. M., van Emmichoven, I. A. Z., Jansen, L., Werker, P. M., & de Bock, G. H. (2013). Quality-of-life outcomes between mastectomy alone and breast reconstruction: comparison of patient-reported BREAST-Q and other health-related quality-of-life measures. *Plastic and reconstructive surgery*, *132*(2), 201-209. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23897347 Esmaili, R., Saiidi, J. A., Majd, H. A., & Esmaieli, M. (2010). A survey of the body image of mastectomies women referring to Imam Khomeini and Imam Hussein hospitals in Tehran, Iran. *Indian journal of psychological medicine*, *32*(1), 34. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3137810/

Falk Dahl, C. A., Reinertsen, K. V., Nesvold, I. L., Fosså, S. D., & Dahl, A. A. (2010).
A study of body image in long-term breast cancer survivors. *Cancer*, *116*(15), 3549-3557. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20564138

Fallbjörk, U., Rasmussen, B. H., Karlsson, S., & Salander, P. (2013). Aspects of body image after mastectomy due to breast cancer–A two-year follow-up study. *European Journal of Oncology Nursing*, *17*(3), 340-345. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23083641

Fang, S. Y., Balneaves, L. G., & Shu, B. C. (2010). " A Struggle Between Vanity and Life": The Experience of Receiving Breast Reconstruction in Women of Taiwan. *Cancer nursing*, *33*(5), 1-11. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20467306

Fang, S. Y., Shu, B. C., & Chang, Y. J. (2013). The effect of breast reconstruction surgery on body image among women after mastectomy: a meta-analysis. *Breast cancer research and treatment*, *137*(1), 13-21. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10549-012-2349-1

Fawcett, T. N., & Drew, A. (2017). The classification, grading and staging of cancer development. *benefits*, *10*, 32. https://www.nursingtimes.net/clinical-archive/cancer/the-classification-grading-and-staging-of-cancer-development/199346.fullarticle

Ferlay, J., Héry, C., Autier, P., & Sankaranarayanan, R. (2010). Global burden of breast cancer. In *Breast cancer epidemiology* (pp. 1-19). New York: Springer.

Ferlay, J., Shin, H. R., Bray, F., Forman, D., Mathers, C., & Parkin, D. M. (2010).
Estimates of worldwide burden of cancer in 2008: GLOBOCAN 2008. *International journal of cancer*, *127*(12), 2893-2917.
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21351269

Ferlay, J., Soerjomataram, I., Dikshit, R., Eser, S., Mathers, C., Rebelo, M., Parkin, D.M., Forman, D., & Bray, F. (2013). Cancer incidence and mortality worldwide: sources, methods and major patterns in GLOBOCAN 2012. *International journal of cancer*, *136*(5), 359-386. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25220842

Fernández, A. G., Chabrera, C., Font, M. G., Fraile, M., Lain, J. M., Gónzalez, S., Corral, C, Torras, M., Torres J., Teixido, M., & Barco, I. (2014). Mortality and recurrence patterns of breast cancer patients diagnosed under a screening programme versus comparable non-screened breast cancer patients from the same population: analytical survey from 2002 to 2012. *Tumor Biology*, *35*(3), 1945-1953. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s13277-013-1260-7

Ferrell, B. R., Dow, K. H., & Grant, M. (1995). Measurement of the quality of life in cancer survivors. *Quality of life Research*, *4*(6), 523-531. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2FBF00634747?LI=true

Fingeret, M. C., Nipomnick, S., Guindani, M., Baumann, D., Hanasono, M., & Crosby,M. (2014). Body Image Screening for Cancer Patients Undergoing ReconstructiveSurgery. *Psycho-oncology*, 23(8), 898.

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4116641/

Fobair, P., Stewart, S. L., Chang, S., D'Onofrio, C., Banks, P. J., & Bloom, J. R. (2006).
Body image and sexual problems in young women with breast cancer. *Psycho-Oncology*, *15*(7), 579-594. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16287197

Groen, J. W., Negenborn, V. L., Twisk, D. J. W. R., Rizopoulos, D., Ket, J. C. F., Smit, J. M., & Mullender, M. G. (2016). Autologous fat grafting in onco-plastic breast reconstruction: A systematic review on oncological and radiological safety,

complications, volume retention and patient/surgeon satisfaction. *Journal of Plastic, Reconstructive & Aesthetic Surgery*, *69*(6), 742-764. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27085611

Grogan, S., & Mechan, J. (2016). Body image after mastectomy: A thematic analysis of younger women's written accounts. *Journal of health psychology*, 1359105316630137. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26916388

Gudenkauf, L. M., Antoni, M. H., Stagl, J. M., Lechner, S. C., Jutagir, D. R., Bouchard, L. C., Blomberg, B.B., Glück, S., Derhagopian, R.P., Giron, G.L., & Avisar, E. (2015). Brief cognitive–behavioral and relaxation training interventions for breast cancer: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of consulting and clinical psychology*, *83*(4), 677. http://psycnet.apa.org/journals/ccp/83/4/677/

Gupta, S., King, W. D., Korzeniowski, M., Wallace, D. L., & Mackillop, W. J. (2016). The Effect of Waiting Times for Postoperative Radiotherapy on Outcomes for Women Receiving Partial Mastectomy for Breast Cancer: a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Clinical Oncology*, *28*(12), 739-749. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27498044

Härtl, K., Engel, J., Herschbach, P., Reinecker, H., Sommer, H., & Friese, K. (2010). Personality traits and psychosocial stress: quality of life over 2 years following breast cancer diagnosis and psychological impact factors. *Psycho-Oncology*, *19*(2), 160-169. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19189279

Hasson-Ohayon, I., Goldzweig, G., Braun, M., & Galinsky, D. (2010). Women with advanced breast cancer and their spouses: diversity of support and psychological distress. *Psycho-Oncology*, *19*(11), 1195-1204. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20029822

Hasson-Ohayon, I., Tuval-Mashiach, R., Goldzweig, G., Levi, R., Pizem, N., & Kaufman, B. (2016). The need for friendships and information: Dimensions of social

support and posttraumatic growth among women with breast cancer. *Palliative and Supportive Care*, *14*(04), 387-392. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26462622

Heinävaara, S., Sarkeala, T., & Anttila, A. (2016). Impact of organised mammography screening on breast cancer mortality in a case–control and cohort study. *British journal of cancer*, *114*(9), 1038-1044. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27010748

Helms, R. L., O'Hea, E. L., & Corso, M. (2008). Body image issues in women with breast cancer. *Psychology, Health and medicine*, *13*(3), 313-325. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18569899

Hughes, S., Jaremka, L. M., Alfano, C. M., Glaser, R., Povoski, S. P., Lipari, A. M., Agnese, D.M., Farrar, W.B., Yee, L.D., Carson, W.E., & Malarkey, W. B. (2014). Social support predicts inflammation, pain, and depressive symptoms: Longitudinal relationships among breast cancer survivors. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, *42*, 38-44. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3970938/

Irish Cancer Society. (2012, December 20). Cancer Statistics. Retrieved https://www.cancer.ie/about-us/media-centre/cancer-statistics#sthash.7ROMIR0f.dpbs

Irish Cancer Society. (2015, December, 17). The Real Cost of Cancer. Retrieved <u>https://www.cancer.ie/sites/default/files/content-</u> attachments/the_real_cost_of_cancer_report_final.pdf

Jagsi, R., Li, Y., Morrow, M., Janz, N., Alderman, A., Graff, J., Hamilton, A., Katz, S., & Hawley, S. (2015). Patient-reported quality of life and satisfaction with cosmetic outcomes after breast conservation and mastectomy with and without reconstruction: results of a survey of breast cancer survivors. *Annals of surgery*, *261*(6), 1198. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4512928/

Jatoi, I., & Proschan, M. A. (2005). Randomized trials of breast-conserving therapy versus mastectomy for primary breast cancer: a pooled analysis of updated

results. *American journal of clinical oncology*, 28(3), 289-294. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15923803

Jemal, A., Bray, F., Center, M. M., Ferlay, J., Ward, E., & Forman, D. (2011). Global cancer statistics. *CA: a cancer journal for clinicians*, *61*(2), 69-90. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21296855

Johns, L. E., Coleman, D. A., Swerdlow, A. J., & Moss, S. M. (2016). Effect of population breast screening on breast cancer mortality up to 2005 in England and Wales: an individual-level cohort study. *British Journal of Cancer*. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27931047

Jutagir, D. R., Gudenkauf, L. M., Stagl, J. M., Carver, C. S., Bouchard, L. C., Lechner, S. C., Glück, S., Blomberg, B.B., & Antoni, M. H. (2016). Ethnic differences in types of social support from multiple sources after breast cancer surgery. *Ethnicity & health*, *21*(5), 411-425. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4731323/

Koch, L., Bertram, H., Eberle, A., Holleczek, B., Schmid-Höpfner, S., Waldmann, A., Zeissig, S.R., Brenner, H., & Arndt, V. (2014). Fear of recurrence in long-term breast cancer survivors—still an issue. Results on prevalence, determinants, and the association with quality of life and depression from the Cancer Survivorship—a multi-regional population-based study. *Psycho-Oncology*, *23*(5), 547-554. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24293081

Kreso, A., & Dick, J. E. (2014). Evolution of the cancer stem cell model. *Cell stem cell*, *14*(3), 275-291. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24607403

Kroenke, C. H., Kwan, M. L., Neugut, A. I., Ergas, I. J., Wright, J. D., Caan, B. J., Hershman, D., & Kushi, L. H. (2013). Social networks, social support mechanisms, and quality of life after breast cancer diagnosis. *Breast cancer research and treatment*, *139*(2), 515-527. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10549-013-2477-2 Kroenke, C. H., Quesenberry, C., Kwan, M. L., Sweeney, C., Castillo, A., & Caan, B. J. (2013). Social networks, social support, and burden in relationships, and mortality after breast cancer diagnosis in the Life After Breast Cancer Epidemiology (LACE) study. *Breast cancer research and treatment*, *137*(1), 261-271. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10549-012-2253-8

Kummerow, K. L., Du, L., Penson, D. F., Shyr, Y., & Hooks, M. A. (2015). Nationwide trends in mastectomy for early-stage breast cancer. *Journal of American Medical Association surgery*, *150*(1), 9-16. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25408966

Lehmann, V., Hagedoorn, M., & Tuinman, M. A. (2015). Body image in cancer survivors: a systematic review of case-control studies. *Journal of Cancer Survivorship*, 9(2), 339-348. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11764-014-0414-y

Leung, J., Pachana, N. A., & McLaughlin, D. (2014). Social support and health-related quality of life in women with breast cancer: a longitudinal study. *Psycho-Oncology*, *23*(9), 1014-1020. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24700668

Litière, S., Werutsky, G., Fentiman, I. S., Rutgers, E., Christiaens, M. R., Van Limbergen, E., Baaijens, M.H., Bogaerts, J., & Bartelink, H. (2012). Breast conserving therapy versus mastectomy for stage I–II breast cancer: 20 year follow-up of the EORTC 10801 phase 3 randomised trial. *The lancet oncology*, *13*(4), 412-419. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22373563

Luengo-Fernandez, R., Leal, J., Gray, A., & Sullivan, R. (2013). Economic burden of cancer across the European Union: a population-based cost analysis. *The lancet oncology*, *14*(12), 1165-1174. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24131614

Maiorino, M. I., Chiodini, P., Bellastella, G., Giugliano, D., & Esposito, K. (2016). Sexual dysfunction in women with cancer: a systematic review with meta-analysis of studies using the Female Sexual Function Index. *Endocrine*, *54*(2), 329. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s12020-015-0812-6 Marta-Simões, J., Mendes, A. L., Oliveira, S., Trindade, I. A., & Ferreira, C. (2016). Validation of the Body Appreciation Scale-2 for the Portuguese population. In *3rd IPLeiria International Health Congress, Leiria, Portugal.* https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Joana_Marta-

Simoes/publication/305220161_Validation_of_the_Body_Appreciation_Scale-2_for_Portuguese_women_abstract_proceeding/links/57dfecf408ae1dcfea866a9e.pdf

Matthews, H., Carroll, N., Renshaw, D., Turner, A., Park, A., Skillman, J., McCarthy, K., & Grunfeld, E. A. (2017). Predictors of satisfaction and quality of life following post-mastectomy breast reconstruction. *Psycho-Oncology*. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28195672

McGaughey, A. (2006). Body image after bilateral prophylactic mastectomy: an integrative literature review. *Journal of midwifery & women's health*, *51*(6), 45-49. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17081926

Mens, M. G., & Scheier, M. F. (2016). The Benefits of Goal Adjustment Capacities for Well-Being Among Women With Breast Cancer: Potential Mechanisms of Action. *Journal of personality*, 84(6), 777. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26270869

Miller, K. D., Siegel, R. L., Lin, C. C., Mariotto, A. B., Kramer, J. L., Rowland, J. H., Stein K. D., Alteri, R., & Jemal, A. (2016). Cancer treatment and survivorship statistics, 2016. *CA: a cancer journal for clinicians*, 66(4), 271-289. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27253694

Mollica, M. A., Nemeth, L. S., Newman, S. D., Mueller, M., & Sterba, K. (2014). Peer navigation in African American breast cancer survivors. *Patient related outcome measures*, *5*, 131. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4230185/

Murphy, J. O., & Sacchini, V. S. (2016). Overall Survival, Disease-Free Survival, Local Recurrence, and Nipple–Areolar Recurrence in the Setting of Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy: A Meta-Analysis and Systematic Review. *Breast Diseases: a YB* Quarterly, 27(3), 201-203.

https://www.breastsurgeons.org/docs2015/press/NSM_DeLaCruz_Slides.pdf

Nasser, M., Baistow, K., & Treasure, J. (Eds.). (2007). *The female body in mind: The interface between the female body and mental health*. England: Routledge.

National Cancer Institute. NCI Dictionary of Cancer Terms.(n.d).Retrieved November 19, 2016, from https://www.cancer.gov/publications/dictionaries/cancer-terms?cdrid=444971.

National Cancer Registry Ireland. (2016, October 17). Facts and Figures. Retrieved from https://www.breastcancerireland.com/facts-and-figures/.

Neff, K. D. (2016). *Mindfulness in Positive Psychology: The Science of Meditation and Wellbeing*. New York: Routledge.

Ozkan, B., Mentes, S., Ozturk, A., & Soyuer, S. (2014). Effects of Follow-up by Phone Interview and Anger Management Training Provided to Patients with Breast Cancer Undergoing Radiotherapy on Levels of Self-Esteem, Anger and Depression. *International Journal of Hematology and Oncology*, *26*(3), 260-269. http://thod.org/pdf/PDF_641.pdf

Padera, T. P., Meijer, E. F., & Munn, L. L. (2016). The lymphatic system in disease processes and cancer progression. *Annual review of biomedical engineering*, *18*, 125-158. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26863922

Parker, P. A., Peterson, S. K., Bedrosian, I., Crosby, M. A., Shen, Y., Black, D. M., Babiera, G., Kuerer, H. M., Ying, J., Dong, W., & Cantor, S. B. (2016). Prospective study of surgical decision-making processes for contralateral prophylactic mastectomy in women with breast cancer. *Annals of surgery*, *263*(1), 178. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4973510/

Pinell-White, X. A., Duggal, C., Metcalfe, D., Sackeyfio, R., Hart, A. M., & Losken, A.(2015). Patient-Reported Quality of Life After Breast Reconstruction: A One-Year

Longitudinal Study Using the WHO-QOL Survey. *Annals of plastic surgery*, 75(2), 144-148. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26165569

Przezdziecki, A., Sherman, K. A., Baillie, A., Taylor, A., Foley, E., & Stalgis-Bilinski,
K. (2013). My changed body: breast cancer, body image, distress and selfcompassion. *Psycho-Oncology*, 22(8), 1872-1879.
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23203842

Pyfer, B., Chatterjee, A., Chen, L., Nigriny, J., Czerniecki, B., Tchou, J., & Fisher, C. (2016). Early postoperative outcomes in breast conservation surgery versus simple mastectomy with implant reconstruction: a nsqip analysis of 11,645 patients. *Annals of surgical oncology*, *23*(1), 92-98. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1245/s10434-015-4770-2

Raggio, G. A., Butryn, M. L., Arigo, D., Mikorski, R., & Palmer, S. C. (2014). Prevalence and correlates of sexual morbidity in long-term breast cancer survivors. *Psychology & health*, 29(6), 632-650. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24404999

Razdan, S. N., Patel, V., Jewell, S., & McCarthy, C. M. (2016). Quality of life among patients after bilateral prophylactic mastectomy: a systematic review of patient-reported outcomes. *Quality of Life Research*, *25*(6), 1409-1421. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11136-015-1181-6

Rezaei, M., Elyasi, F., Janbabai, G., Moosazadeh, M., & Hamzehgardeshi, Z. (2016). Factors Influencing Body Image in Women with Breast Cancer: A Comprehensive Literature Review. *Iranian Red Crescent Medical Journal*, *18*(10). http://ircmj.com/?page=article&article_id=39465

Sabiston, C. M., Rusticus, S., Brunet, J., McDonough, M. H., Hadd, V., Hubley, A. M., & Crocker, P. R. (2010). Invariance test of the Multidimensional Body Self-Relations Questionnaire: do women with breast cancer interpret this measure differently?. *Quality*

of Life Research, *19*(8), 1171-1180. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11136-010-9680-y

Salibian, A. H., Harness, J. K., & Mowlds, D. S. (2017). Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy in the Large, Ptotic Breast. In *Operative Approaches to Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy* (pp. 67-74). Springer International Publishing.
https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-43259-5_7

Satinsky, S., Reece, M., Dennis, B., Sanders, S., & Bardzell, S. (2012). An assessment of body appreciation and its relationship to sexual function in women. *Body Image*, *9*(1), 137-144. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22018776

Sawyer, A. (2014). Complementary exercise and quality of life in patients with breast cancer. *British Journal of Nursing*, *23*(16). https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25203850

Scott, J. L., & Kayser, K. (2009). A review of couple-based interventions for enhancing women's sexual adjustment and body image after cancer. *The Cancer Journal*, *15*(1), 48- 56. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19197174

Selim, A. J., Rogers, W., Fleishman, J. A., Qian, S. X., Fincke, B. G., Rothendler, J. A., & Kazis, L. E. (2009). Updated US population standard for the Veterans RAND 12item Health Survey (VR-12). *Quality of Life Research*, *18*(1), 43-52. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11136-008-9418-2

Sherman, K. A., Woon, S., French, J., & Elder, E. (2017). Body image and psychological distress in nipple-sparing mastectomy: the roles of self-compassion and appearance investment. *Psycho-Oncology*, *26*(3), 337-345. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27167009

Siegel, R. L., Miller, K. D., & Jemal, A. (2015). Cancer statistics, 2015. *CA: a cancer journal for clinicians*, 65(1), 5-29. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24399786 Spatuzzi, R., Vespa, A., Lorenzi, P., Miccinesi, G., Ricciuti, M., Cifarelli, W., Susi, M., Fabrizio, T., Ferrari, M.G., Ottaviani, M., & Giulietti, M. V. (2016). Evaluation of Social Support, Quality of Life, and Body Image in Women with Breast Cancer. *Breast Care*, *11*(1), 28-32. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27051393

Stewart, B. W. (2008). Banding carcinogenic risks in developed countries: a procedural basis for qualitative assessment. *Mutation Research/Reviews in Mutation Research*, 658(1), 124-151. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18357634

Stewart, B. W. K. P., & Wild, C. P. (2014). World cancer report 2014. IARC Press.

Suppli, N. P., Johansen, C., Christensen, J., Kessing, L. V., Kroman, N., & Dalton, S. O. (2014). Increased risk for depression after breast cancer: A nationwide populationbased cohort study of associated factors in Denmark, 1998-2011. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, *32*(34), 3831-3839. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25349294

Surowy, H. M., Sutter, C., Mittnacht, M., Klaes, R., Schaefer, D., Evers, C., Burgemeister, A.L., Goehringer, C., Dikow, N., Heil, J., & Golatta, M. (2014). Clinical and molecular characterization of the BRCA2 p. Asn3124Ile variant reveals substantial evidence for pathogenic significance. *Breast cancer research and treatment*, *145*(2), 451-460. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10549-014-2943-5

Swami, V. (2009). Body appreciation, media influence, and weight status predict consideration of cosmetic surgery among female undergraduates. *Body Image*, *6*(4), 315-317. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19656747

Swami, V., Stieger, S., Haubner, T., & Voracek, M. (2008). German translation and psychometric evaluation of the Body Appreciation Scale. *Body Image*, *5*(1), 122-127. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18405871

Tiggemann, M., & McCourt, A. (2013). Body appreciation in adult women: Relationships with age and body satisfaction. *Body image*, *10*(4), 624-627. Tylka, T. L., & Wood-Barcalow, N. L. (2015). The body appreciation scale: item refinement and psychometric evaluation. *Body image*, *12*, 53-67. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1740144514001314

Vos, J. R., Oosterwijk, J. C., Aalfs, C. M., Rookus, M. A., Adank, M. A., van der Hout, A. H., van Asperen, C.J., Garcia, E.B., Mensenkamp, A.R., Jager, A., & Ausems, M. G. (2016). Bias Explains Most of the Parent-of-Origin Effect on Breast Cancer Risk in BRCA1/2 Mutation Carriers. Cancer epidemiology, biomarkers & prevention: a publication of the American Association for Cancer Research, cosponsored by the American Society of Preventive Oncology, 25(8), 1251. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27277847

Zafar, S. Y., Peppercorn, J. M., Schrag, D., Taylor, D. H., Goetzinger, A. M., Zhong,
X., & Abernethy, A. P. (2013). The financial toxicity of cancer treatment: a pilot study assessing out-of-pocket expenses and the insured cancer patient's experience. *The oncologist*, *18*(4), 381-390.

http://theoncologist.alphamedpress.org/content/18/4/381.short

Zhang, J., Zhu, X., Tang, L., Chen, G., Wang, Y., Yang, Y., & Li, L. (2014). Psychometric features of the body image after breast cancer questionnaire-Chinese version in women with breast cancer. *Journal of Central South University. Medical sciences*, *39*(1), 73-77. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24473391

Appendices

Appendix A

Applicable to Breast Cancer Group

The Body Image after Breast Cancer Questionnaire

Age:		When Diagnosed:
Height:	(cm/ft)	Approx. Weight:
Surgical Procedure u	indertaken:	
Single Mastectomy	Double Mastect	omy Breast Reconstruction Breast Conservation Yes No
Married: Yes No	Partner:	Yes No No Single: Yes No
Children: Yes 🗌 N	No	

INSTRUCTIONS - PLEASE READ CAREFULLY

The following pages contain statements about how people might think, feel, or behave after developing breast cancer. You are asked to indicate the way each statement pertains to you personally over the past month.

Please read each statement carefully and decide how it applies to you. When answering, consider how you have felt over the past month. Your answers are confidential so please do not write your name on any of the pages. Using the scales listed below, indicate your answers by writing them to the left of the statements. There are two types of statements. For the first type of statement the following scale is use:

- 1 = Strongly Disagree
- 2 = Disagree
- 3 = Neither Disagree
- 4 = Agree
- 5 = Strongly Agree

Example 2 1. Skin Dryness is a problem for me.

In the blank space enter 1 if you strongly disagree with the statement, 2 if you disagree with the statement, 3 if you neither agree nor disagree with the statement, 4 if you agree with the statement and 5 if you strongly agree with the statement. In this case the answer is 2, the person disagrees with the statement.

In the second type of statement in the following scale is used

- 1 = Never/Almost Never
- 2 =Infrequently
- 3 =Sometimes
- 4 = Often
- 5 = Always/Almost Always

Example

4 1. I can use my arm normally.

In the blank space enter 1 if the statement is never or almost never true, 2 if the statement is infrequently true, 3 if the statement is sometimes true, 4 if the statement is often true and 5 if the

statement is **always or almost always true**. In this case the answer is **4**, the person can **often** use their arm normally.

Remember that there are no right or wrong answers; just give the answer that is true for you over the past month. Some questions may seem to be more important to you than others. Try to answer all questions to the best of your ability. There should be an answer that is true for you. It is important that you **answer every item**. Please be completely honest. **Your responses are confidential. Your name will never appear on this survey and once your survey is returned, anything that could identify you will be destroyed.**

TYPE ONE STATEMENTS

RESPONSES

- 1 = Strongly Disagree
- 2 = Disagree
- 3 = Neither Agree nor Disagree
- 4 = Agree
- 5 = Strongly Agree
- 1. I try to hide my body.
- 2. The feeling in my arm is normal.
- 3. I avoid looking at my scars from breast surgery.
- 4. I feel there is a time bomb inside of me.
- 5. I am sleepy during the day.
- 6. I am happy with my level of energy.
- 7. I feel prone to cancer.
- 8. I am satisfied with the shape of my body.
- 9. I feel less feminine since cancer.
- 10. I like my body.
- 11. I feel comfortable about the way I look when I exercise.
- 12. I would feel comfortable changing in a public change-room.
- 13. I feel my body has been invaded.
- 14. I am satisfied with the appearance of my arm.
- 15. I feel my body has let me down.
- 16. I like my looks just the way they are.
- 17. Others have had to take over my duties.
- 18. I feel that part of me must remain hidden.
- 19. I am afraid of touching the scars from breast surgery.
- 20. I am satisfied with the appearance of my hips.
- 21. I avoid close physical contact such as hugging.
- 22. I feel that something is taking over my body.
- 23. I am satisfied with the shape of my buttocks.

*The following questions pertain to your feelings about your breast or mastectomy site. If you are missing a breast(s) (if you have had a mastectomy without breast reconstruction) please answer question 24. If you are not missing a breast (if you have had a lumpectomy, a mastectomy with breast reconstruction, or no surgical treatment to your breasts) please skip questions 24 and answer questions 25 to 27. *

Women who are missing one or both breasts should answer the following item.

24. I feel comfortable looking at my mastectomy. *

Women who are not missing a breast should answer the following items.

- 25. I am happy with the position of my nipple. *
- 26. I am satisfied with the size of my breast. *
- 27. I feel comfortable when others see my breasts. *
- 28. The appearance of my breast could disturb others.

TYPE TWO STATEMENTS

RESPONSES

- 1 = Never/Almost Never
- 2 =Infrequently
- 3 =Sometimes
- 4 = Often
- 5 = Always/Almost Always
- 29. I feel that people are looking at my chest.
- 30. I avoid physical intimacy.
- 31. I feel that people are looking at me.
- 32. I hide my body when changing clothes.
- 33. I worry that the cancer is spreading.
- 34. I need to be reassured about the appearance of my bust.
- 35. I think about breast cancer.
- 36. Being tired interferes with my life.
- 37. I feel sexually attractive when I am nude.
- 38. Swelling of my arm is a problem for me.
- 39. I worry about my body.
- 40. I would keep my chest covered during sexual intimacy.
- 41. I feel angry at my body.
- 42. I need reassurance about my health.
- 43. I can participate in normal activities.
- 44. I have problems concentrating.
- 45. My body stops me from doing things I want to do.
- 46. I think my breasts appear uneven to others.
- 47. Arm pain is a problem for me.
- 48. I worry about minor aches and pains.
- 49. I feel normal.
- 50. I feel people can tell my breasts are not normal.

*The following questions pertain to your feelings about your breast or mastectomy site. If you are missing a breast(s) (if you have had a mastectomy without breast reconstruction) please answer question 51. If you are not missing a breast (if you have had a lumpectomy, a mastectomy with breast reconstruction, or no surgical treatment to your breasts) please skip questions 51 and answer questions 52 and 53. *

Women who are missing one or both breasts should answer the following item.

51.I worry about my prosthesis or padding slipping. *

Women who are not missing a breast should answer the following items.

- 52. I think about my breast. *
- 53. My breast is painful to touch. *

* Indicates optional items specific for surgical subgroups, exclude in general comparisons.

(Baxter, Goodwin, Mcleod, Dion, Devins, Bombardier, 2006)

Appendix B

Applicable to Healthy Control Group

The Body Image Questionnaire

Age: Height:	(cm/ft)	Approx. Weight:
Married: Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Partner: Yes No Single: Yes No	o Children: Yes No

INSTRUCTIONS - PLEASE READ CAREFULLY

The following pages contain statements about how people might think, feel, or behave after developing breast cancer. You are asked to indicate <u>the way each statement pertains to you personally</u> over the past two years.

Please read each statement carefully and decide how it applies to you. When answering, consider how you have felt <u>over the past two years</u>. Your answers **are confidential so please do not write your name on any of the pages.** Using the scales listed below, indicate your answers by writing them to the left of the statements. There are **two types of statements**.

Type One Statements

1 = Strongly Disagree
 2 = Disagree
 3 = Neither Disagree
 4 = Agree
 5 = Strongly Agree

Example 2 1. Skin Dryness is a problem for me.

In the blank space enter 1 if you **strongly disagree** with the statement, 2 if you **disagree** with the statement, 3 if you **neither agree nor disagree** with the statement, 4 if you **agree** with the statement and 5 if you **strongly agree** with the statement. In this case the answer is 2, the person **disagrees** with the statement.

Type Two Statements

- 1 = Never/Almost Never
- 2 =Infrequently

4 = Often

5 = Always/Almost Always

Example <u>4</u> 1. I can use my arm normally.

In the blank space enter 1 if the statement is **never or almost never** true, 2 if the statement is **infrequently** true, 3 if the statement is **sometimes** true, 4 if the statement is **often** true and 5 if the statement is **always or almost always true**. In this case the answer is 4, the person can **often** use their arm normally. **Remember that there are no right or wrong answers**; just give the answer that is true for you over the past month. Some questions may seem to be more important to you than others. Try to answer all questions to the best of your ability. There should be an answer that is true for you. It is important that you **answer every item**. <u>Please be completely honest</u>. Your responses are confidential. Your name will never appear on this survey and once your survey is returned, anything that could identify you will be destroyed.

TYPE ONE STATEMENTS

RESPONSES

- 1 = Strongly Disagree
- 2 = Disagree
- 3 = Neither Agree nor Disagree
- 4 = Agree
- 5 = Strongly Agree
- 1. I am sleepy during the day.
- _____ 2. I am happy with my level of energy.
- 3. I am satisfied with the shape of my body.
- 4. I like my body.
- 5. I feel comfortable about the way I look when I exercise.
- 6. I like my looks just the way they are.
- 7. Others have had to take over my duties.
- 8. I am satisfied with the appearance of my hips.
- 9. I am satisfied with the shape of my buttocks.

TYPE TWO STATEMENTS

RESPONSES

1 =Never/Almost Never

- 2 = Infrequently
- 3 =Sometimes

4 = Often

5 = Always/Almost Always

- _____ 10. Being tired interferes with my life.
- _____ 11. I can participate in normal activities.
- _____ 12. I have problems concentrating.
- _____ 13. My body stops me from doing things I want to do.
- _____ 14. I feel normal.

Appendix C

Applicable to Breast Cancer Group QOL Scale/Breast Cancer

Quality of Life Scale/BREAST CANCER PATIENT

Directions: We are interested in knowing how your experience of having cancer affects your Quality of Life. Please answer all of the following questions based on your life at this time.

Please circle the number from 0 - 10 that best describe your experiences:

To what extent are the following a problem for you:

1. Fatigue

no problem	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 severe problem
2. Appetite o	change	s									
no problem	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 severe problem
3. Aches or	pain										
no problem	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 severe problem
4. Sleep char	nges										
no problem	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 severe problem
5. Weight ga	nin										
no problem	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 severe problem
6. Vaginal d	ryness	/menoj	pausal s	ympt	oms						
no problem	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 severe problem
7. Menstrua	l chang	ges or t	fertility								
no problem	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 severe problem
8. Rate your	overal	l physi	cal heal	th							
Extremely poor	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 excellent
Psychologic	al Well	Being	Items								
9. How diffic	cult is i	t for yo	ou to cop	e tod	ay as	a resi	ult of y	our d	isease	?	

Not at all 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 very difficult Difficult

10. How difficult is it for you to **cope** today as a result of your treatment?

Not at all Difficult	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 very difficult		
11. How go	od is y	our qua	lity of l	ife?									
Extremely poor	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 excellent		
12.How mu	ich hap	piness of	lo you f	feel?									
Not at all	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 a great deal		
13. Do you	feel lik	e you a	e in co	ntrol	of sit	uation	s in yo	our lif	e?				
Not at all 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 completely													
14. How sa	tisfying	g is you	life?										
Not at all	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 completely		
15. How is	your pr	esent ab	oility to	conce	entra	te or t	o rem	embe	e r thin	gs?			
Extremely poor	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 excellent		
16. How us	eful do	you fee	:1?										
Not at all	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 extremely		
17. Has you	ır illnes	s or trea	atment c	aused	l char	nges in	your	appe	aranc	e?			
Not at all	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 extremely		
18. Has you	ır illnes	s or trea	atment c	ausec	l char	nges in	your	self c	oncep	t (the	way you see yourself)?		
Not at all	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 extremely		
How distre	essing v	vere the	follow	ing as	spects	s of yo	ur ill	ness a	nd tr	eatme	nt?		
19. Initial d	iagnos	is											
not at all distressing	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 very distressing		
20. Cancer	chemo	otherap	y										
not at all distressing	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 very distressing		
21. Cancer	radiat	ion											
not at all distressing	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 very distressing		
22. Cancer	surge	ry											
not at all	0	1	2 3	; 4	l	56	7	8	9	10) very distressing		

distressing

23. Completion of treatment													
not at all distressing	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 very distressing		
24. How muc	ch anx	tiety d	lo you	ı have	?								
none at all	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 a great deal		
25. How muc	ch dep	oressic	on do	you h	ave?								
none at all 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 a great deal													
To what exte	nt are	you <u>f</u>	earfu	ı <u>l</u> of:									
26. Future d	iagno	ostic t	ests										
no fear	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 extreme fear		
27. A second	l canc	er											
no fear	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 extreme fear		
28. Recurren	nce of	canc	er										
no fear	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 extreme fear		
29. Spreadir	ng (me	etasta	sis) o	f you	r can	cer							
no fear	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 extreme fear		
30. To what	degree	e do y	ou fee	el you	r life	is bac	k to n	orma	ul?				
none at all	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 a great deal		
Social Conce	erns												
31. How dist	ressin	g has	your	illnes	s beer	n for y	our f a	amily	?				
not at all	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 a great deal		
32. Is the am	ount c	of sup	port	you re	eceive	from	other	s suff	icient	to me	eet your needs?		
not at all	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 a great deal		
33. Is your co	ontinu	ing he	ealth o	care ii	nterfe	ring w	vith yo	our pe	ersona	al rela	tionships?		
not at all	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 a great deal		
34. Is your so	exuali	ty imj	pacted	d by y	our il	lness?	?						
not at all	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 a great deal		
35. To what	degree	e has y	your i	llness	and t	reatm	ent in	terfer	ed wi	th you	r employment?		

no problem	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 severe problem
36. To what	degre	e has	your i	illness	s and	treatn	nent ir	nterfei	red wi	th yo	ur activities at home?
no problem	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 severe problem
37. How mu	ch iso	latio	1 do y	ou fee	el is c	aused	by yo	our ill	ness?		
none	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 a great deal
38. How mu breast cance		ncern	ı do yo	ou hav	ve for	your	daugł	nter(s)	or of	her cl	ose female relatives regarding
none at all	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 a great deal
39. How mu	ıch fiı	nanci	al bur	den l	nave y	ou in	curred	l as a	result	of yo	our illness and treatment?
none	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 a great deal
<u>Spiritual W</u>	ell Be	ing									
40. How import temple?	portan	t to y	ou is y	your p	artici	patior	ı in re	ligiou	ıs act	ivitie	s such as praying, going to church
not at all important	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 very important
41. How imp	oortan	t to y	ou are	other	spiri	itual a	activi	ties su	ich as	medi	tation or praying?
not at all important	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 very important
42. How mu	ch has	s you	spiri	tual l	ife ch	ange	d as a	result	t of ca	incer o	diagnosis?
less important	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 more important
43. How mu	ch un	certa	inty d	lo you	feel	about	your	future	?		
not at all uncertain	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 very uncertain
44. To what	exten	t has	your i	llness	made	e posi	tive c	hange	es in y	our li	fe?
none at all	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 a great deal
45. Do you s	sense a	a pur	pose/1	missio	on for	your	life o	r a rea	ason f	or bei	ng alive?
none at all	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 a great deal
46. How ho j	peful	do yo	u feel	?							
not at all	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 very hopeful
										đ	Ferrell, Grant, Hassey-Dow, 1995)

(Ferrell, Grant, Hassey-Dow, 1995)

Appendix D

Applicable Healthy Control Group

Quality of Life Scale

Directions: We are interested in knowing how your experience over the **last two years** has affected your Quality of Life. Please answer all the following questions based on your life over the **past two years**.

Please **circle** the number from **0 - 10** that best describe your experiences:

To what extent are the following a problem for you:

1.	Fatigue
----	---------

no problem	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 severe problem
2. Appetite	chan	ges									
no problem	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 severe problem
3. Aches or	[,] pain										
no problem	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 severe problem
4. Sleep cha	anges										
no problem	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 severe problem
5. Weight g	gain										
no problem	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 severe problem
6. Menopa	usal s	ympt	oms								
no problem	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 severe problem
7. Menstru	al cha	nges	or fe	rtility	r						
no problem	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 severe problem
8. Rate you	r over	all pl	nysica	l hea	lth						
Extremely poor	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 excellent
Psychologi	cal W	ell Bo	eing I	<u>tems</u>							
9. How diff	icult h	as it l	been f	for yo	u to c	ope o	over th	ne last	two	years	?
Not at all Difficult	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 very difficult
10. How dif	ficult	is it f	for yo	u to c	ope to	oday?					
Not at all Difficult	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 very difficult

11. How go	ood is	your	quali	ity of	life?						
Extremely poor	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 excellent
12.How mu	ich h a	appin	ess d	o you	feel?						
Not at all	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 a great deal
13. Do you	feel l	like yo	ou are	e in co	ontrol	l of sit	uatio	ns in y	our li	ife?	
Not at all	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 completely
14. How sa	tisfyi	ing is	your	life?							
Not at all	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 completely
15. How is	your	prese	nt abi	lity to	o conc	entra	ite or	to rei	memt	er thi	ings?
Extremely poor	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 excellent
16. How u s	seful (do you	u feel	?							
Not at all	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 extremely
17. Has you	ır ap j	peara	nce c	hang	ed in	the la	st two	o yeai	rs?		
Not at all	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 extremely
18. Has you	ır sel f	f-cono	cept o	change	ed in t	the las	st two	years	(the	way y	ou see yourself)?
Not at all	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 extremely
19. Do you	feel	your l	ife is	norm	al?						
none at all	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 a great deal
<u>Social Con</u>	cerns	5									
20. How di	stress	ing ha	as illr	lesses	been	for yo	our fa i	mily o	over t	he pa	st two years?
not at all	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 a great deal
21. Is the a	moun	t of sı	uppo	r t you	recei	ve fro	om oth	iers su	ıfficie	ent?	
not at all	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 a great deal
22. Does ye	our he	ealth c	or the	healtl	n of y	our fa	mily i	nterfe	ere wi	th you	r personal relationships ?
not at all	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 a great deal
23. Has your sexuality been impacted over the last two years?											
not at all	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 a great deal
24. Has you	ır hea	alth af	fected	d your	emp	loyme	e nt ov	er the	e last t	wo ye	ears?

no problem	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 severe problem
25. Has you	r healt	h affe	ected	activi	ities a	t hon	1e ove	er the	last tv	vo yea	urs?
no problem	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 severe problem
26. How mu	ich iso	latio	n do y	you fe	el?						
None at all	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 a great deal
27. How mu	ich co i	ncern	ı do y	ou ha	ve for	your	imme	ediate	famil	y or re	elatives regarding their health?
none at all	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 a great deal
28. How m	uch fi i	nanci	al bu	rden	have y	you ex	xperie	nced	over t	he las	t two years?
None at all	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 a great deal
<u>Spiritual W</u>	ell Be	ing									
29. How imple?	portan	t to y	ou is	your j	partici	patio	n in r o	eligio	us act	ivitie	s such as praying, going to church or
not at all important	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 very important
30. How im	portan	t to y	ou are	e othe	r spir	itual	activi	ties s	uch as	s medi	tation or praying?
not at all important	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 very important
31. How mu	ich has	s you	r spir	itual	life ch	ange	d ove	r the l	last tw	vo yea	rs?
less important	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 more important
32. How mu	ich un	certa	inty o	do you	ı feelî	?					
not at all uncertain	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 very uncertain
33. To what	exten	t have	e you	made	posit	ive cl	nange	s in y	our lif	fe ove	r the last two years?
none at all	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 a great deal
34. Do you	sense a	a pur	pose/	missi	on foi	your	life o	r a re	ason f	or bei	ng alive?
none at all	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 a great deal
35. How ho	peful	do yo	u feel	!?							
not at all	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 very hopeful

(Ferrell, Grant, Hassey-Dow, 1995)

Appendix E

Applicable to both groups

Body Appreciation Scale (BAS)

Directions for participants: For each item, please circle the number that best characterises your attitudes and behaviours.

	1 never	2 seldom	3 sometimes	4 often	5 always
1 I respect my body.	1	2	3	4	5
2 I feel good about myself.	1	2	3	4	5
3 On the whole, I am satisfied with my body.	1	2	3	4	5
4 Despite its flaws, I accept my body for what it is.	1	2	3	4	5
5 I feel that my body has at least some good qualities	es. 1	2	3	4	5
6 I take a positive attitude towards my body.	1	2	3	4	5
7 I am attentive to my body's needs.	1	2	3	4	5
8 My self-worth is independent of my body shape or weight.	1	2	3	4	5
9 I do not focus a lot of energy being concerned with my body shape or weight.	1	2	3	4	5
10 My feelings toward my body are positive, for the most part.	1	2	3	4	5
11 I engage in healthy behaviours to take care of to take care of my body.	1	2	3	4	5
12 I do not allow unrealistically thin images of women presented in the media to affect my attitudes toward my body.	1	2	3	4	5
13 Despite its imperfections, I still like my body	1	2	3	4	5

Total Score:_____

(Tylka, 2006)

Appendix F

Information Letter

I am a third-year Psychology student in the National College of Ireland in Dublin. I am carrying out a research study on breast cancer for my final year research project, which will involve women from the ages of 30-65 years of age, have had one of the following three surgical procedures (1) single mastectomy (2) double mastectomy (3) breast reconstruction as a result of breast cancer. The study will be taking place in the Breast Cancer Support Centre, Sligo and Hope Cancer Support Centre, Enniscorthy.

Research Question: Exploration of the link between breast cancer and body image, quality of life and body appreciation.

The research study will involve the participants filling out three short questionnaires (1) Body Image After Breast Cancer Questionnaire (BIBCQ) which measures body image in women after breast cancer, (2) Quality of Life / Breast Cancer Scale (QoL/BCS) measures the quality of life for women following breast cancer, (3) Body Appreciation Scale (BAS) measures appreciation of your body after breast cancer. Taking part in this research study is optional and voluntary. Participants may leave the study at any time without consequence.

Thank You,

Charlotte Lee (Third Year Student).

If you have any question or queries regarding the research study, please contact:

Charlotte Lee on 087 9503331 (Researcher)

Email: x15018237@student.ncirl.ie

Appendix G

Consent form

Dear Participant,

I am writing to you to ask for your informed consent to take part in this research study (Exploration of the link between breast cancer, body image, quality of life and body appreciation). Participants will be asked to complete three questionnaires Body Image After Breast Cancer Questionnaire (BIBCQ), Quality of Life/ Breast Cancer Scale (QoL/BCS) and Body Appreciation Scale (BAS). Participants can withdraw from the study at any time if they wish to do so without any consequences.

I, consent and give permission to the researcher and their supervisor to be included in the research study and for my data to be used in the study.

Yes No

Signed _____

Date _____

Appendix H

Helplines

Breast Cancer Support Line

1800 200 700

Breast Cancer Ireland

1800 940 025